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## 1. Introduction

Outlined in this document are the proposed final service and physical improvement recommendations for the REX Line Service Evaluation Study. The source of these recommendations is the Project's "Transit Service Assessment", the project's "Traffic Operations Assessment", ridership and bus stop analyses, driver interviews, public input, and extensive coordination with the project's Project Management Team (PMT).

This document includes recommendations related to the REX Line's service structure, passenger facilities, and corridor running way and traffic signals. The recommendations in this document represent a set of final recommendations developed based on detailed coordination with the project PMT as well as feedback from the passenger survey.

The remainder of this document is focused on a description of the final recommendations. Included as part of the recommendations is backup data to provide an understanding of the estimated cost and ridership impacts associated with each recommendation. In addition, a proposed time frame for implementation is provided, with short-term implementation to occur in 1-2 years, mid-range implementation to occur in 3-4 years, and long range implementation to occur in 5-6 years.

## 2. Service Recommendations

A. Extend Service on Fort Belvoir to New Post Exchange/Commissary Complex (proposed implementation in the short-term time frame)

1. Final Recommendation - This recommendation is to extend the REX line routing beyond its current terminal point at the Jackson Loop on Fort Belvoir to a new terminal at the Fort Belvoir Post Exchange (PX)/Commissary complex located off of John J Kingman Road, east of Gunston Road. The extension is shown in Figure 1. This extension would be approximately 1.7 miles in length and would add approximately 4 minutes to each one-way trip. Three scenarios for accommodating the extra travel time associated with this extension are evaluated below. The scenarios evaluated include:
a. Accommodate the extension within existing cycle time/recovery time;
b. Add a bus into service, where required, to cover the additional run time while maintaining current headways;
c. Widen headways such that the additional run time can be accommodated within the existing operating cost structure.

Metrobus planners, in conjunction with Fairfax County and the City of Alexandria, will make the final decision on how best to implement the extension based on the analysis outlined below, available financial resources, and potential trade-offs between the benefits of the extension compared to the potential need to widen headways.

Figure 1 - Proposed REX Line Extension to the Fort Belvoir Post Exchange


The detailed evaluation of each of these scenarios is outlined below.
a. Accommodate Extension within the Existing Cycle Time/Recovery Time - The evaluation framework for this scenario is an assessment of the percent of total cycle time that is dedicated to layover and recovery time, based on the current schedule and headway sheets. The concept here is that if there is excess recovery time available within the existing total cycle time, some of that excess time can be dedicated to accommodating the added run time resulting from the route extension. Data on recovery time percentages by day of week is presented in Appendix 2. The most relevant column in the Appendix tables is the column labeled "New Layover as \% of New Revenue Time" on the right side of the Table.

This column shows the percent of round trip revenue run time that would be available for recovery time after the extension run time is added to the new revenue trip time ( 4 minutes is added to each one way trip, or 8 minutes for a round trip). The framework for assessing whether there will be sufficient recovery time remaining after the extension run time is added is the rule-of-thumb requirement that a minimum of $10 \%$ of revenue run time is required for recovery in order to ensure on-time performance and reliable service. The results by day of week are summarized below.

Weekday - The weekday data in Appendix 2 shows that there are a number of instances throughout the day where the recovery time as a percent of round trip revenue run time falls below the rule-of-thumb required minimum of $10 \%$ after the additional extension-related run time is added to the current run time. This means that on weekdays there is not sufficient excess recovery time to accommodate the increase in run time associated with the extension. Therefore, one of the other scenarios noted above, addition of another bus into service, or widening weekday headways, will be necessary in order to accommodate the additional run time associated with a REX Line extension on weekdays.

Saturday - The Saturday data in Appendix 2 shows that in only one instance does the recovery time as a percent of round-trip revenue run time fall below the rule-of-thumb required $10 \%$ minimum, after the additional extension-related run time is added to the current run time. This data indicates that the current scheduled recovery time can easily accommodate the additional extension-related run time while continuing to provide adequate recovery time.

Sunday - The Sunday data in Appendix 2 shows that there are two instances where the recovery time as a percent of revenue run times fall below the rule-of-thumb required minimum of $10 \%$, after the additional extension-related run time is added to the current run time (in both instances the percentage is only slightly below 10\%). This data indicates that the current scheduled recovery time can easily accommodate the additional extension-related run time while continuing to provide adequate recovery time.
b. Add Vehicle to Service in Order to Maintain Current Headways - Based on the analysis outlined above, this scenario would only be applicable to weekdays, where current recovery time would not be sufficient to accommodate the additional run time
associated with an extension. In this instance, an additional bus would be added into service to accommodate the additional extension-related run time while maintaining the existing headway. The cost of this additional bus is outlined below. The cost estimate assumes that an additional bus in service would be required for the entire length of service on weekdays given the results of the analysis outlined in Appendix 2. The cost estimate is outlined below in Table 1.

The fare recovery portion of the cost estimate in Table 1 is based on an increase in ridership of $\underline{\mathbf{1 7 6}}$ riders per day due to the extension. This estimate is based on current boardings per trip on Fort Belvoir and an estimated percentage increase of $50 \%$ of these boardings per trip due to the extension. This estimate may be conservative given the potential activity at the PX/Commissary but in lieu of detailed activity data at the PX, it was felt this conservative estimate was best suited to the analysis. WMATA will closely monitor boardings on the extension to see if service modifications will be required.

Table 1 - Estimated Cost of Adding a Bus into Service to Maintain Current Headways after Route Extension

|  | \# of Additional Buses Required for Extension | Hours of Weekday Daily Service | Additional Revenue Hours | Platform <br> Hour <br> Factor | Additional Platform Hours | Cost Per Platform Hour | Daily <br> Cost of <br> Extension | Annual <br> Cost of Extension (250 weekdays) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday - All Day | 1 | 19 | 19 | 1.1 | 20.9 | \$118.00 | \$2,466.20 | \$616,550 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Estimated Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,466.20 | \$616,550 |
| Estimated Fare Recovery - New Riders |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$228.80 | \$57,200 |
| Net Cost after Fare Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,237.40 | \$559,350 |
| Cost after Regional Subsidy (assumed regional subsidy covers 20\% of net cost) |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,789.92 | \$447,480 |

Provided below in Table 2 are a series of evaluation measures that will allow a comparison of this recommendation against other service improvement recommendations contained in this document.

Table 2 - Evaluation Measures - REX Line Extension - Additional Bus In Service

| Evaluation Factor | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Cost per Existing Impacted Rider | $\$ 7.01$ |
| Cost per Existing Impacted Rider - Net Cost after Fare Recovery | $\$ 6.36$ |
| Cost per Existing Impacted Rider - Net Cost with Regional Subsidy | $\$ 5.09$ |
| Cost per New Rider - Total Cost | $\$ 14.01$ |
| Cost per New Rider - Net Cost after Fare Recovery | $\$ 12.71$ |
| Cost per New Rider - Net Cost with Regional Subsidy | $\$ 10.17$ |

c. Widen Headways and Accommodate Additional Run Time Within the Existing Operating Cost Structure - Table 3 below shows the number of buses in service during each hour of the day between the start of service at 5:00 AM and the end of the PM peak based on the REX Line Headway sheets. The table also contains information on average headways during each hour and in each direction. This table provides the framework for understanding the number of buses required to meet service during different periods of the day under existing run times. Of specific note is the AM peak pull-out of 10 buses and PM peak pull-out of 12 buses.

Table 3 - REX Line Weekday Bus Requirements by Time of Day

| Time Period | \#of Buses in Service | Northbound Average <br> Headway | Southbound Average <br> Headway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 AM -6 AM | 6 | 12 | 30 |
| $6 A M-7 A M$ | 9 | 12 | 15 |
| $7 A M-8 A M$ | 10 | 12 | 15 |
| 8AM -9AM | 10 | 15 | 20 |
| 9AM - 10AM | 6 | 20 | 30 |
| $10 A M-11 A M$ | 6 | 30 | 30 |
| $11 A M-12 P M$ | 4 | 30 | 30 |
| $12 P M-1 P M$ | 5 | 30 | 30 |
| $1 P M-2 P M$ | 7 | 30 | 20 |
| $2 P M-3 P M$ | 8 | 20 | 20 |
| $3 P M-4 P M$ | 10 | 15 | 15 |
| $4 P M-5 P M$ | 12 | 15 | 12 |
| 5PM -6PM | 12 | 15 | 12 |
| $6 P M-7 P M$ |  | 15 | 15 |

The minimum headway that can be achieved while maintaining the existing number of buses in service (and thus also maintaining the existing cost structure) will ultimately depend on how the Metrobus Scheduling Department builds the new REX Line schedules, including how much recovery time is provided (the provision of recovery time in the schedule must also consider the variability of the security procedures for REX Line buses entering Fort Belvoir at the Pence Gate. Based on an assessment of time to get through the gate based on new security procedures, the average time to pass through security is about four minutes but this can be very variable based on unanticipated issues. Schedule adherence and changes in security gate operations will be monitored and if security procedure times increase, or there is greater variability in procedure time, more recovery time to account for this variability and increased procedure times may be required).

Outlined below in Table 4 is an assessment of the potential crowding implications of widening the REX Line headway to accommodate the added service-extension related run time. The data presented in the Table is based on the most conservative assumptions regarding the required headway widening associated with the additional run time. Therefore the potential loading impacts shown in the table may not arise if the Schedules Department can maintain current headways during some parts of the day when building a new schedule. It should be noted that the data in the table show that in no instance does widening headways result in excessive
crowding in either direction, even under the most conservative assumptions regarding required headway widening.

Based on the data contained in Appendix 2 it appears that maintaining the same number of buses in service during the AM and PM peak periods would result in a headway widening from the current 12 minutes to no greater than 14 minutes. Further, it appears that required widened headway in the mid-day would be no more than 35 minutes (from the current 30 minutes). Again, the ultimate required headway modifications would depend on the work of the Scheduling Department.

Table 4 - Load and Crowding Impacts of Potential REX Line Headway Widening to Accommodate Service Extension

| Southbound Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | Hourly On-Board at the Max Load Point | Average Headway Current | Number of Trips per Hour | Average Max Load per trip Current \# of Trips | Potential New Headway After Widening | Number of Trips per Hour - Revised Headway | Average Max Load per Trip Revised \# of Trips |
| 6 AM - 7 AM | 74 | 15 | 4 | 19 | 20 | 3 | 25 |
| 7 AM - 8 AM | 66 | 15 | 4 | 17 | 20 | 3 | 22 |
| 8AM - 9AM | 48 | 20 | 3 | 16 | 30 | 2 | 24 |
| 9 AM - 10 AM | 34 | 30 | 2 | 17 | 40 | 1.5 | 23 |
| 10 AM - 11 AM | 42 | 30 | 2 | 21 | 40 | 1.5 | 28 |
| 11 AM - 12 PM | 45 | 30 | 2 | 23 | 40 | 1.5 | 30 |
| 12 PM -1 PM | 49 | 30 | 2 | 25 | 40 | 1.5 | 33 |
| $1 \mathrm{PM}-2 \mathrm{PM}$ | 67 | 20 | 3 | 22 | 30 | 2 | 34 |
| $2 \mathrm{PM}-3 \mathrm{PM}$ | 77 | 20 | 3 | 26 | 30 | 2 | 39 |
| 3 PM - 4 PM | 113 | 15 | 4 | 28 | 20 | 3 | 38 |
| 4 PM - 5 PM | 153 | 12 | 5 | 31 | 15 | 4 | 38 |
| 5 PM - 6 PM | 140 | 12 | 5 | 28 | 15 | 4 | 35 |
| 6 PM - 7 PM | 105 | 15 | 4 | 26 | 20 | 3 | 35 |
| Northbound Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hour | Hourly OnBoard at the Max Load Point | Average <br> Headway Current | Number of Trips | Average Max Load per trip Current \# of Trips | Potential New Headway After Widening | Number of Trips per Hour - Revised Headway | Average Max Load per Trip Revised \# of Trips |
| 6 AM - 7 AM | 130 | 12 | 5 | 26 | 15 | 4 | 33 |
| 7 AM - 8 AM | 129 | 12 | 5 | 26 | 15 | 4 | 32 |
| 8AM - 9AM | 93 | 15 | 4 | 23 | 20 | 3 | 31 |
| 9 AM - 10 AM | 75 | 20 | 3 | 25 | 30 | 2 | 38 |
| 10 AM - 11 AM | 46 | 30 | 2 | 23 | 40 | 1.5 | 31 |
| 11 AM - 12 PM | 55 | 30 | 2 | 28 | 40 | 1.5 | 37 |
| 12 PM - 1 PM | 50 | 30 | 2 | 25 | 40 | 1.5 | 33 |
| 1 PM - 2 PM | 54 | 30 | 2 | 27 | 40 | 1.5 | 36 |
| $2 \mathrm{PM}-3 \mathrm{PM}$ | 76 | 20 | 3 | 25 | 30 | 2 | 38 |
| 3 PM - 4 PM | 109 | 15 | 4 | 27 | 20 | 3 | 36 |
| $4 \mathrm{PM}-5 \mathrm{PM}$ | 97 | 15 | 4 | 24 | 20 | 3 | 32 |
| $5 \mathrm{PM}-6 \mathrm{PM}$ | 67 | 15 | 4 | 17 | 20 | 3 | 22 |
| $6 \mathrm{PM}-7 \mathrm{PM}$ | 53 | 15 | 4 | 13 | 20 | 3 | 18 |

2. Reason for Recommendation - The new Commissary and $P X$ will be one of the largest activity centers on Fort Belvoir. The proposed extension will provide improved access to the
facility for workers on the base on and will also support the overall base redevelopment efforts.
B. Improve Service Frequencies on Sunday from Current 60 Minutes to $\mathbf{3 0}$ Minutes (proposed implementation in the short-term time frame)
3. Final Recommendation - This recommendation is to improve service frequencies on Sundays from the current 60 minutes to 30 minutes.
4. Reasons for Recommendation - There are two primary reasons for this proposed service improvement. The first is that the current Sunday 60 minute headway does not meet the WMATA service standard for a Priority Corridor Network Line, which is 30 minutes on Sunday. The second is that this service improvement was one of the most requested in the passenger survey completed as part of this study. The estimated daily Sunday ridership increase due to this improvement is $\mathbf{9 5}$ riders (more detail on how this was estimated is provided in Appendix 1). It should be noted the primary driver of this recommendation is to improve service to meet Priority Corridor service standards, not to increase ridership.
5. Recommendation Cost Estimate - The estimated cost of this recommendation is outlined below in Table 5. Table 5 includes the additional operating cost of the recommendation, the net cost after fares are accounted for, and the cost after estimated regional subsidies are accounted for. Since this service improvement will occur on Sunday, no additional vehicles in the REX fleet will be required.

Table 5 Estimated Operating Cost - Improved Sunday Service Frequency

| Additional Vehicle Requirement Calculations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Revenue <br> Round Trip <br> Run Time | Recovery <br> $(10 \%$ of <br> Revenue <br> Run Time) | Round Trip <br> Cycle Time | Headway | Vehicle <br> Requirement <br> - Calculated | Vehicle <br> Requirement <br> - Rounded |
| Current Service | 94 | 9 | 103 | 60 | 1.7 | 2 |
| Future Service | 94 | 9 | 103 | 30 | 3.4 | 4 |
| Additional Buses in Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Cost Calculation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

*Calculated as estimated new riders * average fare of \$1.30
4. Cost Effectiveness and Productivity Evaluation Measures - Provided in this section are a series of evaluation measures (see Table 6) that will allow a comparison of the different recommendations contained in this document. It should also be noted that some of these evaluation factors depend on the calculation of new riders associated with the proposed improvements in service frequencies.

Table 6 - Evaluation Measures - Improved Service Frequency on Sundays

| Evaluation Factor | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Cost per Existing Impacted Rider | $\$ 4.66$ |
| Cost per Existing Impacted Rider - Net Cost after Fare Recovery | $\$ 4.52$ |
| Cost per Existing Impacted Rider - Net Cost with Regional Subsidy | $\$ 3.62$ |
| Cost per New Rider - Total Cost | $\$ 44.27$ |
| Cost per New Rider - Net Cost after Fare Recovery | $\$ 42.97$ |
| Cost per New Rider - Net Cost with Regional Subsidy | $\$ 34.38$ |

5. Additional Considerations - Some members of the Project Management Team questioned the need for this recommendation because of quite frequent alternative Sunday service in the Richmond Highway corridor. The Fairfax Connector 171 is a local service that runs between the Lorton VRE Station and the Huntington Metrorail Station. It mirrors the routing of the REX Line between the Pence Gate entrance to Fort Belvoir and the Huntington Metrorail Station and runs every 30 minutes throughout the day on Sunday. The combined
headway of the REX Line and 171 services is 20 minutes along the common portion of the line. In addition, the 171 service does provide access to Metrorail at the Huntington Metrorail Station.

The key questions regarding implementation of this recommendation relate to the portions of the corridor that are not served by the Fairfax Connector 171 and therefore would still be left with 60 minute service frequencies. These areas include Fort Belvoir and the area north of the Huntington Metrorail Station, between the Huntington Station and the King Street/Old Town Metrorail Station.

Outlined in Table 7 are Sunday boardings and alightings north of Huntington Station by time period in order to provide an understanding of the number of riders who would not have access to the Fairfax Connector 171 service as a substitute service.

Table 7 - REX Line Boardings/Alightings North of the Huntington Metrorail Station (would not have access to Fairfax Connector 171 Service as a substitute service)

| Time <br> Period | Direction | Boardings/Alightings <br> N/O Huntington <br> Station | Total Time Period <br> Boardings/Alightings | Percent of Total <br> Boardings/Alightings <br> Occurring N/O |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB | 26 | 51 | Huntington Station |$|$

Note: For this analysis, alightings are presented in the table for northbound service (for northbound service the section of the REX Line north of Huntington is comprised of the three stops at the end of the line and therefore boardings in this section are minimal). Likewise, boardings are presented for southbound service (for southbound service the section of the REX Line north of Huntington is comprised of the three stops at the start of the line and therefore alightings are minimal).

The data in Table 7 shows that a large percentage of total Sunday boardings or alightings by time period occur north of the Huntington Metrorail station during certain times of the day. The following instances where boardings or alightings north of Huntington exceed 50\% of total boardings or alightings during the time period include:

- Early AM NB (alightings)
- AM Peak NB (alightings)
- PM Peak SB (boardings)
- Early evening SB (boardings)

The data in Table 8 show the number of Sunday passengers transferring to or from Metrorail at the three Metrorail stations served by the REX Line. The data in the table show that a relatively small percentage of the passengers boarding and alighting north of Huntington are transferring to Metrorail at King Street or Eisenhower Avenue, meaning Metrorail transfers are not the predominant market for riders boarding or alighting north of Huntington. This fact means that replacing a Metrorail transfer at King Street or Eisenhower with a transfer at Huntington (utilizing the Fairfax Connector 171) instead would not meet the mobility needs of the large majority of riders boarding or alighting north of Huntington.

Table 8 - Sunday Transfers Between the REX Line and Metrorail

REX Transfers to Rail by Station - September 2015
Station

|  | Day of Week | Monthly Transfers | Days in Month | Daily Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eisenhower Avenue | Sunday | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| King Street | Sunday | 172 | 4 | 43 |
| Huntington | Sunday | 144 | 4 | 36 |
| Rail Transfer to REX |  |  |  |  |
| Eisenhower Avenue | Sunday | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| King Street | Sunday | 160 | 4 | 40 |
| Huntington | Sunday | 131 | 4 | 33 |

A final set of data related to origins and destinations of passengers boarding or alighting north of Huntington is provided in Table 9. The table provides information on passengers transferring to or from REX to other bus lines that connect with REX north of the Huntington station. The data in the table show that the market for transfers to or from other bus lines north of Huntington actually exceeds the market for transfers to or from Metrorail, further highlighting that a large number of REX Line Sunday passengers would not be able to use the Fairfax Connector 171 as a substitute service. Also of interest is the fact that two of the lines with high transfer activity (the 28A and 29N) serve parts of Fairfax County not served by the REX Line, and therefore connections between the REX Line and these other lines may in fact facilitate movements from one part of Fairfax County to another.

Table 9 - Sunday Transfers from REX to Other Bus Lines and From Other Bus Lines to REX

| To REX |  |  | Days in <br> Month | Average <br> Daily |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AT2 - Seminary Plaza - Van Dorn Metro | Sunday | 37 | 4 | 9 |
| AT5 - Braddock Road Metro - Van Dorn Metro | Sunday | 37 | 4 | 9 |
| AT8 - Fairfax \& Pendelton (Old Town) - Van <br> Dorn Metro | Sunday | 88 | 4 | 22 |
| AT10 - King Street Metro - Potomac Yard | Sunday | 12 | 4 | 3 |
| 28A - Leesburg Pike | Sunday | 170 | 4 | 43 |
| 29N - Alexandria - Fairfax | Sunday | 88 | 4 | 22 |
| 9A - Huntington - Pentagon | Sunday | 23 | 4 | 6 |
| Total |  |  |  | 114 |
| From REX |  |  | Days in | Average |
| AT2 - Seminary Plaza - Van Dorn Metro | Sunday | 28 | 4 | 7 |
| AT5 - Braddock Road Metro - Van Dorn Metro | Sunday | 45 | 4 | 11 |
| AT8 - Fairfax \& Pendelton (Old Town) - Van <br> Dorn Metro | Sunday | 84 | 4 | 21 |
| AT10 - King Street Metro - Potomac Yard | Sunday | 10 | 4 | 3 |
| 28A - Leesburg Pike | Sunday | 168 | 4 | 42 |
| 29N - Alexandria - Fairfax | Sunday | 126 | 4 | 32 |
| 9A - Huntington - Pentagon | Sunday | 132 | 4 | 33 |
| Total |  |  |  | 149 |

The final set of data to help identify whether the Fairfax Connector 171 is appropriate as a substitute service for REX Line riders is boardings and alightings on Fort Belvoir, which is not served by the 171 route. This data is outlined below in Table 10. The data in the table show much smaller numbers than boardings and alightings north of Huntington (see Table 7), though during some time periods boardings or alightings on Fort Belvoir exceed 10\% of total boardings or alightings for that time period and direction. These passengers would not have access to the Fairfax Connector 171 as a substitute service for the REX Line.

Table 10 - REX Line Boardings/Alightings On Fort Belvoir (Would not Have Access to Fairfax Connector 171 Service as a Substitute Service)

| Time Period | Direction | Boardings/Alightings Fort Belvoir | Total Time Period Boardings/Alightings | Percent of Total Boardings/Alightings Fort Belvoir |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early AM | NB | 2 | 51 | 4.04\% |
|  | SB | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| AM Peak | NB | 6 | 106 | 5.62\% |
|  | SB | 8 | 49 | 16.85\% |
| Mid-Day | NB | 9 | 182 | 4.71\% |
|  | SB | 17 | 196 | 8.65\% |
| PM Peak | NB | 15 | 142 | 10.41\% |
|  | SB | 8 | 163 | 4.81\% |
| Early Evening | NB | 7 | 66 | 10.57\% |
|  | SB | 8 | 92 | 8.69\% |
| Total |  | 80 | 1,047 | 7.64\% |

Note: For this analysis, boardings are presented in the table for northbound service (for northbound service the section of the REX Line on Fort Belvoir is comprised of the stops at the start of the line and therefore alightings in this section are minimal). Likewise, alightings are presented for southbound service (for southbound service the section of the REX Line on Fort Belvoir is comprised of the stops at the end of the line and therefore boardings are minimal).

Based on the analysis outlined above, it is recommended that WMATA continue with implementation of the improved headways. While the Fairfax Connector 171 does provide duplicate service along much of the corridor, there are large numbers of riders that cannot use the 171 as a substitute service and thus would still benefit from the proposed improved headways.

## C. Evaluate Scheduled Run Time - Adjust as Necessary

1. Analysis Framework - As part of each Priority Corridor Study, an analysis of scheduled run times versus actual run times is completed in order to assess whether scheduled run time is adequate and reflects actual operating conditions. The analysis framework for the comparison is the percent difference between scheduled run time and actual run time for each trip, with any trip where actual run time exceeds scheduled run time by $10 \%$ identified as a run time issue.

The detailed analysis of scheduled versus actual run times is outlined in Appendix 3. The instances where actual run time exceeds scheduled run time by $10 \%$ are highlighted in yellow in the Appendix 3 tables.

For weekdays, the data in Appendix 3 show that there are no run time issues in the northbound direction and a few instances in the southbound direction. In the southbound direction there is one early AM trip that exceeds the $10 \%$ difference. This trip occurs just before longer scheduled trip run times begin, indicating that the longer scheduled trip times should potentially start earlier in the AM peak. In the PM peak there are two trips, just after scheduled one-way run time drops from 55 minutes to 53 minutes that exceed the 10\%
threshold. In this instance schedulers may wish to extend the 55 minute scheduled run time a little later in the PM peak.

The northbound Saturday data in Appendix 3 show a single trip in the early AM where the actual run time exceeds scheduled run time by $10 \%$ as well as four trips in the PM peak. Two of the four PM peak trips occur just after the point when scheduled one-way run times drop from 49 minutes to 45 minutes. As with weekday service, perhaps extending the 49 minute run time later in the day will help address these issues. Southbound Saturday data show two instances where actual run time exceeds scheduled run time by $10 \%$, one in the PM peak and one later in the evening.

Sunday northbound data shows one instance, during the middle of the day, where actual run time exceeds scheduled run time by more than $10 \%$. Sunday southbound data show two instances where actual run time exceeds scheduled run time, both in the early evening and both occurring immediately after scheduled one-way run time drops from 51 minutes to 44 minutes.
2. Final Recommendation - The data analysis contained in Appendix 3 does not show wide scale issues with inadequate scheduled run time. Rather the analysis shows issues during specific times of the day, usually right after there is a drop in scheduled one-way run time. The recommendation here is for Metrobus schedulers to evaluate the potential for extending the number of trips that have longer run times (for instance, on Saturday in the northbound direction, rather than ending the 49 minute one-way run time with the 4:30 PM trip, extend the 49 minute run time to 6:00 PM).
D. Add Trips to Meet Hours of Service Criteria (recommended for implementation in the shortterm time frame)

1. Final Recommendation - This recommendation is to add trips on trips on weekdays and Sundays in order to meet hours-of -service standards for Priority Corridor Network Lines.

The hour-of-service standards by day of week are outlined below in Table 11.

Table11 - Priority Corridor Network Hours-Of-Service Standards

| Day of Week | First Trip of Day Starts <br> No Later Than: | Last Trip of Day Starts No <br> Earlier Than: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday | $5: 45$ AM | $10: 30$ PM |
| Saturday | $6: 00$ AM | $10: 00$ PM |
| Sunday | $6: 30$ AM | $10: 00$ PM |

The specific recommendations are as follows
a. Weekday - add one additional southbound trip in the evening in order to meet hours-of-service standards.
i. Currently the last southbound trip leaves King Street at 10:04 PM, falling short of the 10:30 PM standard.
b. Sunday - add two additional southbound trips and two additional northbound trips in the evening in order to meet hour-of-service standards.
i. Currently the last Sunday trips in the evening in each direction leave at 9:00 PM, short of the 10:00 PM standard. Of note is that the proposal to add two trips in each direction reflects Recommendation $B$, which proposes improving Sunday service frequency to every 30 minutes from the current 60 minutes.

Note: Weekday northbound service meets all hours-of-service standards. Saturday service also meets all hours-of-service standards.
2. Reason for Recommendation - The Priority Corridor Network (PCN) service span standards are meant to ensure that service in corridors within the PCN is premium, high quality service that meets the needs of the riders within the corridor. Adjusting service to ensure that the hour-of-service standards are met will ensure that riders utilizing REX Line service for nontraditional work hours or for other trip purposes that fall outside traditional commute hours have the option of relying on transit for their trip needs.
3. Recommendation Cost Estimate - The estimated operating cost estimate for this recommendation, including accounting for fare recovery and the regional subsidy, is outlined below in Table 12.

Table 12 - Estimated Cost - Add Trips to Meet Hours-of-Service Standards

| Day of Week | Additional Trips | Run <br> Time in Hours | Additional Revenue Hours | Platform <br> Hour <br> Factor | Additional Platform Hours | Cost per Platform Hour | Daily <br> Cost | Annual Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday | 1 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 1.1 | 0.84 | \$115.00 | \$96.98 | \$24,246 |
| Estimated Fare Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$43.29 | \$10,823 |
| Net Cost after Fare Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$53.69 | \$13,423 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sunday NB | 2 | 0.77 | 1.53 | 1.1 | 1.69 | \$115.00 | \$193.97 | \$11,250 |
| Estimated Fare Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$15.21 | \$882 |
| Net Cost after Fare Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$178.76 | \$10,368 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sunday SB | 2 | 0.73 | 1.47 | 1.1 | 1.61 | \$115.00 | \$185.53 | \$10,761 |
| Estimated Fare Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$27.50 | \$1,595 |
| Net Cost after Fare Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$158.04 | \$9,166 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cost Before Fare Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$476.48 | \$46,257 |
| Estimated Total Fare Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$86.00 | \$13,299 |
| Net Cost after Fare Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$390.49 | \$32,957 |
| Cost after regional subsidy (assumed regional subsidy covers 20\% of net cost) |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$312.39 | \$26,366 |

4. Cost Effectiveness and Productivity Evaluation Measures - Provided in this section are a series of evaluation measures (see Table 14) that will allow a comparison of the different recommendations contained in this document. It should also be noted that some of these evaluation factors depend on the calculation of new riders associated with the expansion of service hours. Included first in this section in Table 13 is an estimate of ridership on each trip based on existing ridership on the last trips of the day. The assumption used in estimating the ridership on the new trips is that the pattern of the ridership on the last trips would continue to the new trips but would decline by $10 \%$ to reflect the fact that the new trips are later in the evening when fewer people are riding.

Table 13 - Estimated Ridership - New Trips (based on ridership patterns on current last trips of the day)

| Day of <br> Week | Direction | Trip Time | Total Trip <br> Boardings | Average <br> Boardings | $10 \%$ <br> Decrease | Estimated <br> Ridership |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday | Southbound | $10: 00$ PM | 37 | 37 | 4 | 33 |
| Sunday | Northbound | $8: 00$ PM | 12 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Southbound | $9: 00$ PM | 14 | 13 | 1 |
|  |  | $8: 00$ PM | 24 |  |  | 12 |
|  |  | $9: 00$ PM | 23 | 24 | 2 | 21 |

Table 14 contains the actual evaluation criteria that will allow for comparison of the different proposed recommendations contained in this document.

Table 14 - Evaluation Measures - Add Trips to Meet Hours of Service Standards

| Evaluation Factor | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Weekday |  |
| Cost per New Rider - Total Cost | $\$ 2.91$ |
| Cost per New Rider - Net Cost after Fare Recovery | $\$ 1.61$ |
| Cost per New Rider - Net Cost with Regional Subsidy | $\$ 1.29$ |
| Sunday | $\$ 11.55$ |
| Cost per New Rider - Total Cost | $\$ 10.25$ |
| Cost per New Rider - Net Cost after Fare Recovery | $\$ 8.20$ |
| Cost per New Rider - Net Cost with Regional Subsidy |  |

## E. Implement Dedicated Supervision (proposed implementation in the short-term time frame)

1. Analysis Framework/Final Recommendation - A detailed headway separation analysis for each day of the week and by direction is contained in Appendix 4. The analysis framework for this data is that any trip where actual headway separation exceeds scheduled headway separation by more than $15 \%$ is identified as a headway-separation issue.

The data in Appendix 4 show consistent headway issues throughout the day in both directions on weekdays and in the mid-day and PM peak on Saturdays. Based on the results of this analysis, it is recommended that dedicated supervision be provided during these time periods in order to proactively manage the REX line and help maintain correct headway separation. Given that the headway separation issues occur in the middle of the line in the vicinity of Ladson Lane, the proposed deployment of the dedicated supervision would be at this location in both directions, as follows:

- Ladson Lane Southbound - weekday - 12 hours (6:00 AM to 6:00 PM)
- Ladson Lane Northbound - weekday - 12 hours (6:00 AM to 6:00 PM)
- Ladson Lane Southbound - Saturday - 8 hours (11:00 AM to 7:00 PM)
- Ladson Lane Northbound - Saturday - 8 hours (11:00 AM to 7:00 PM)

It should be noted that the "Service Operations Managers Assignments - Final Report" dated May 2013 recommended dedicated supervision on the REX Line at King Street and Fort Belvoir. These recommendations were based on the best data available at the time of the completion of the report. The more detailed headway separation analysis developed for this study resulted in the modified recommendation included here.
2. Reason for Recommendation - Congestion on the U.S. 1 corridor results in a lack of reliability and poor headway separation, as shown in the data outlined in Appendix 4. Lack of REX Line reliability was also identified by survey respondents as a key issue. Assigning dedicated supervisors at a key point along the REX Line will ensure buses are correctly separated in the middle of the line, ensuring more reliable service, more even distribution of loads and more productive use of capacity.
3. Recommendation Cost Estimate - The estimated cost of this recommendation is outlined below in Table 14

Table 15 - Dedicated Supervision - Cost Estimate

| Time Period | Full <br> Annual <br> Cost per <br> Manager <br> (direct <br> salary <br> and <br> fringe) | Annual Hours | Hourly Cost | Hours of Daily Line Management | Managers Deployed During Hours of Line Management | Total Hours of Daily Line Management | Total Daily Cost | Annual Cost Assumes Works 250 weekdays per Year, 55 <br> Saturdays |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday All Day | \$90,000 | 2,080 | \$43.27 | 12 | 2 | 24 | \$1,038 | \$259,615 |
| Saturday Mid-day, PM Peak | \$90,000 | 2,080 | \$43.27 | 8 | 2 | 16 | \$692 | \$40,154 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$299,769 |

4. Cost-Effectiveness and Productivity Evaluation Measures - Provided in this section is the cost per impacted rider evaluation measure for comparison to other recommendations contained in this report.

## Weekday

Daily weekday cost
Number of Impacted Daily Riders:
Daily Cost per Impacted Rider:

Saturday

Daily Saturday Cost: \$692
Number of Impacted Daily Riders: 2,314
Daily Cost per Impacted Rider: \$.30
\$1,038
3,332
\$. 31

## F. Additional Areas Evaluated - No Recommendations Made

## 1. REX Branding

As part of the project planning process, the Project Management Team evaluated whether maintenance of the specific REX Line brand was still warranted, given that the other MetroExtra services throughout the region have a common MetroExtra brand. This question arose predominantly because the REX Line service will be dispatched from the soon-to-beopened Cinder Bed Road facility. Originally, the Cinder Bed Road facility would be a weekday operation only and therefore weekend REX Line service would have to be provided with MetroExtra branded buses from WMATA's Four Mile facility in Arlington.

Fairfax County has identified a desire to maintain the REX brand. To this end, support of weekend operations at Cinder Bed Road must be planned and implemented. No changes to the REX Line Brand will be made at this point.

## Terminate Service at Huntington Metrorail Station

During the early stages of the planning process, Project Management Team members asked that an evaluation of potentially terminating REX Line service at Huntington be completed. The impetus for this request was the delays and impacts to reliability from running on congested streets in Alexandria, especially during peak periods. An evaluation was completed early in the planning process and, based on the results of the evaluation, a decision was made not to pursue this potential service change. The results of the evaluation and the foundation for the decision not to move forward with this potential recommendation are summarized below.

REX Line Boardings and Alightings North of Huntington - The first piece of the evaluation was an assessment of weekday REX Line boardings and alightings north of Huntington. The results are shown below in Table 15. The data in Table 15 show that approximately $1 / 4$ of all daily boardings and alightings on the REX Line occur north of Huntington.

Table 16 - REX Line Boardings and Alightings North of Huntington

| Direction |  | On | Off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time Period |  |  |
| SB | AM Early | 23 | 0 |
|  | AM Peak | 155 | 54 |
|  | Mid-day | 200 | 23 |
|  | PM Peak | 424 | 27 |
|  | Early Night | 171 | 6 |
| Total |  | 973 | 110 |
| NB | AM Early | 1 | 72 |
|  | AM Peak | 3 | 262 |
|  | Mid-day | 3 | 200 |
|  | PM Peak | 9 | 166 |
|  | Early Night | 1 | 43 |
| Total |  | 17 | 743 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total - Both Directions |  | 990 | 853 |
| Percent of Total Daily Boardings |  | 26.36\% | 23.18\% |

The second piece of the evaluation assessed transfers to other bus lines that are located north of Huntington. This data is displayed in Table 16. The reason for this assessment is that there was speculation that most riders boarding or alighting north of Huntington were transferring to or from Metrorail at the King Street station and therefore that transfer could now occur at the Huntington Station if the service termination at

Huntington was implemented. The data in Table 16 show that 379 weekday REX Line riders are transferring to another bus line north of Huntington. This is approximately 10\% of total daily weekday REX Line boardings.

Table 17 contains comparable data for transfers from other bus routes north of Huntington to the REX Line. The same patterns and general numbers of transfers occur for transfers to the REX Line as transfers from the REX Line.

The final Table in the evaluation is Table 18, which shows the number of transfers to and from Metrorail north of Huntington. The data in the table highlight that the transfers to and from Metrorail at King Street are a relatively small proportion of the total boarding and alighting activity north of Huntington.

Based on the data in Tables 15 through 18, the Project Management Team determined not to recommend the termination of service at Huntington given the potential impact to a large number of riders on the line. Instead, it was determined that the current service and route configuration should be retained.

Table 17 - REX Transfers to Other Bus Routes North of Huntington

| REX transfers to Other Routes - N/O Huntington |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 2015 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { REX } \\ & \text { to: } \end{aligned}$ |  | Monthly | Days in Month | Average Daily |
| AT2 | Weekday | 707 | 22 | 32 |
|  | Saturday | 35 | 4 | 9 |
|  | Sunday | 28 | 4 | 7 |
| AT2X | Weekday | 21 | 22 | 1 |
|  | Saturday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
|  | Sunday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
| AT5 | Weekday | 517 | 22 | 24 |
|  | Saturday | 103 | 4 | 26 |
|  | Sunday | 45 | 4 | 11 |
| AT6 | Weekday | 1,505 | 22 | 68 |
|  | Saturday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
|  | Sunday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
| AT7 | Weekday | 479 | 22 | 22 |
|  | Saturday | 2 | 4 | 1 |
|  | Sunday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
| AT8 | Weekday | 1,330 | 22 | 60 |
|  | Saturday | 111 | 4 | 28 |
|  | Sunday | 84 | 4 | 21 |
| AT10 | Weekday | 427 | 22 | 19 |
|  | Saturday | 34 | 4 | 9 |
|  | Sunday | 10 | 4 | 3 |
| 28A | Weekday | 1,930 | 22 | 88 |
|  | Saturday | 264 | 4 | 66 |
|  | Sunday | 168 | 4 | 42 |
| 29K | Weekday | 676 | 22 | 31 |
|  | Saturday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
|  | Sunday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
| 29N | Weekday | 752 | 22 | 34 |
|  | Saturday | 167 | 4 | 42 |
|  | Sunday | 126 | 4 | 32 |
| Total | Weekday |  |  | 379 |
|  | Saturday |  |  | 181 |
|  | Sunday |  |  | 116 |

Table 18- Transfers from Other Bus Routes North of Huntington to the REX Line

| Transfers from Other Routes to REX - N/O Huntington |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 2015 |  |  |  |  |
| to Rex: |  | Monthly | Days in Month | Average Daily |
| AT2 | Weekday | 565 | 22 | 26 |
|  | Saturday | 44 | 4 | 11 |
|  | Sunday | 37 | 4 | 9 |
| AT2X | Weekday | 14 | 22 | 1 |
|  | Saturday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
|  | Sunday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
| AT5 | Weekday | 465 | 22 | 21 |
|  | Saturday | 79 | 4 | 20 |
|  | Sunday | 37 | 4 | 9 |
| AT6 | Weekday | 1,206 | 22 | 55 |
|  | Saturday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
|  | Sunday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
| AT7 | Weekday | 258 | 22 | 12 |
|  | Saturday | 1 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Sunday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
| AT8 | Weekday | 957 | 22 | 44 |
|  | Saturday | 101 | 4 | 25 |
|  | Sunday | 88 | 4 | 22 |
| AT10 | Weekday | 295 | 22 | 13 |
|  | Saturday | 31 | 4 | 8 |
|  | Sunday | 12 | 4 | 3 |
| 28A | Weekday | 1,698 | 22 | 77 |
|  | Saturday | 221 | 4 | 55 |
|  | Sunday | 170 | 4 | 43 |
| 29K | Weekday | 674 | 22 | 31 |
|  | Saturday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
|  | Sunday | n/a | 4 | n/a |
| 29N | Weekday | 723 | 22 | 33 |
|  | Saturday | 123 | 4 | 31 |
|  | Sunday | 88 | 4 | 22 |
| Total | Weekday |  |  | 313 |
|  | Saturday |  |  | 150 |
|  | Sunday |  |  | 108 |

Table 19 - REX Transfers to and From Metrorail at Stations North of Huntington

| REX Transfer to Rail September 2015 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station |  |  |  |  |
|  | Day of Week | Monthly Transfers | Days in Month | Daily Average |
| Eisenhower Avenue | Weekday | 37 | 22 | 2 |
|  | Saturday | 7 | 4 | 2 |
|  | Sunday | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| King Street | Weekday | 2,195 | 22 | 100 |
|  | Saturday | 328 | 4 | 82 |
|  | Sunday | 172 | 4 | 43 |
| Rail Transfer to REX |  |  |  |  |
| Eisenhower Avenue | Weekday | 54 | 22 | 2 |
|  | Saturday | 8 | 4 | 2 |
|  | Sunday | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| King Street | Weekday | 3,322 | 22 | 151 |
|  | Saturday | 275 | 4 | 69 |
|  | Sunday | 160 | 4 | 40 |

## 3. Passenger Facility Recommendations

## A. Improve Bus Stop Amenities

1. Final Recommendation - As part of the study process, a field review of the REX Line was completed to identify stop amenities at each stop along the line. This list of amenities was then compared against the "WMATA Bus Stop Guidelines" to determine where additional amenities are warranted based on the Guidelines. The Guidelines are structured around a bus stop hierarchy wherein every stop should have a basic set of passenger amenities including a bus stop flag, sidewalk access to the stop, and a sidewalk or landing pad at the stop itself. Further up the hierarchy are amenities that should be installed based on the level of passenger boarding activity at a stop. These additional amenities include an information case, a trash receptacle, a shelter and bench, and real time bus arrival information signs. The proposed basic amenities are outlined first, followed by those amenities that are contingent upon boarding activity at a stop.

This recommendation is to begin the process of installing missing amenities based on the WMATA Bus Stop Guidelines. This recommendation is proposed to begin in the short-term time frame (1-2 years) though it is not anticipated that all amenities would be installed in that time frame. The estimated capital cost of installing the proposed amenities is shown in Table 15.

Fairfax County has a comprehensive list of improvements to improve pedestrian and transit access in the Route 1 corridor, including bus stop improvements. Funding is available and bus stop amenity improvements are set to begin in the summer of 2016. It should also be noted that at those stops where the improvements incorporate a new shelter, the branded REX Line shelters that are currently at REX Line stops may be replaced. Finally, amenity improvements will need to be coordinated with plans to widen Route 1 between Fort Belvoir and North/South Kings Highway to accommodate median-running BRT.

## Table 20 - Bus Stop Amenity Capital Cost Requirements

| Amenity | Units to be <br> Installed | Cost per <br> Unit | Total Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus Stop Shelter/Bench | 10 | $\$ 15,000$ | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Trash Receptacle | 6 | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 6,000$ |
| Information Case <br> (immediate Installation) | 3 | $\$ 200$ | $\$ 600$ |
| Information Case (installed <br> as resources become <br> available) | 7 | $\$ 200$ | $\$ 1,400$ |
| Bus Stop Pad | 5 | $\$ 1,500$ | $\$ 7,500$ |
| Sidewalk | 5 | $\$ 3,600$ | $\$ 18,000$ |
| Real Time Bus Arrival Sign | 13 | $\$ 15,000$ | $\$ 195,000$ |
| Total |  |  |  |

## Unit Cost Source:

1) Bus Stop Pad - WMATA Anacostia/Congress Heights Stations Access Study assumes $10 \times 10$ pad - $\$ 15.00$ per square foot
2) Sidewalk - WMATA Anacostia/Congress Heights Stations Access Study - assumes sidewalk $6^{\prime}$ in width and 40 ' in length - $\$ 15.00$ per square foot
3) Information Case - WMATA Metrobus 30s Line Study
4) Trash Receptacle - composite average costs based on manufacturer price - multiple manufacturers
5) Shelter and Bench - cost from District of Columbia for current shelter and bench installation
6) Real Time Bus Arrival Information Signs - from WMATA - cost reflects just the cost of the sign. Connectivity costs vary significantly from location to location so these costs are not included here.

Note: Local jurisdictions are responsible for bus shelters and benches, trash receptacles, sidewalks and bus stop pads. Metrobus is responsible for the installation and maintenance of stop information cases.

## 2. Proposed Passenger Amenities by Stop

ADA Bus Stop Pad - The first bus stop amenity that every stop should be equipped with is an ADA compliant bus stop pad. Outlined below in Table 16 is a list of the five REX Line stops that are missing an ADA compliant bus stop pad.

Table 21 - REX Line Stops Missing an ADA Bus Stop Pad

| Stop | Direction | Weekday Average <br> Boardings |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Richmond Hwy \& Arlington Dr | Southbound | 37 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Frye Rd | Southbound | 20 |
| Fort Belvoir Community Hospital | Southbound/ Northbound | 80 |
| Belvoir Rd \& Langfit Loop | Southbound | 0 |
| Belvoir Rd \& $16^{\text {th }}$ St | Southbound | 4 |

Sidewalk Accessibility - The second basic amenity that should be located at every stop is sidewalk accessibility to the stop. Outlined below in Table 17 is a list of the five REX Line stops that do not have sidewalk accessibility.

Table 22 - Rex Line Stops Missing Sidewalk Accessibility

| Stop | Direction | Weekday Average <br> Boardings |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Richmond Hwy \& Southgate Dr | Southbound | 82 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Lockheed Blvd | Southbound | 66 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Arlington Dr | Southbound | 37 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Belford Dr | Southbound | 69 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Frye Rd | Southbound | 20 |

Information Cases Tables 18 and 19 summarize bus stops that warrant installation of an information case. The field visit identified the location of all information cases along the REX Line. All stops with more than 50 boardings per day that do not currently have an information case were identified as candidates for immediate (short-term) installation. All stops with more than 20 boardings per day that do not currently have an information case were identified as candidates for future (long-term) installation.

A total of 10 stops are missing bus information cases along the line; three of these stops warrant immediate installation and seven warrant long-term installation.

Table 23 - REX Line Stops Missing Information Cases that Require Immediate (Short-Term) Installation

| Stop | Direction | Weekday Average <br> Boardings |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Dulany St \& Duke St | Southbound | 258 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Belford Dr | Southbound | 69 |
| Fort Belvoir Community Hospital | Southbound/ Northbound | 80 |

Table 24 - REX Line Stops Missing Information Cases that Require Future (Long-term) Installation

| Stop | Direction | Weekday Average <br> Boardings |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Belvoir Rd \& 16 th St | Northbound | 21 |
| Belvoir Rd \& $16^{\text {th }}$ St | Southbound | 4 |
| Belvoir Rd \& Langfit Loop | Southbound | 0 |
| Belvoir Rd \& Langfit Loop | Northbound | 30 |
| Belvoir Rd \& Pence Gate | Northbound | 43 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Frye Rd | Southbound | 20 |
| Dulany St \& Duke St | Northbound | 9 |

Trash Receptacles - The next step in the amenity hierarchy is trash receptacles, which are proposed based on the level of boardings at a stop. The field visit identified the location of all trash receptacles along the REX Line. All stops with more than 25 boardings per day that do not currently have a trash receptacle were identified as candidates for installation. Since WMATA does not install trash receptacles, WMATA would request Alexandria and Fairfax County to install them.

There are 8 candidates for installation of a trash receptacle. These are listed below in Table 20.

Table 25 - REX Line Candidates for the Installation of a Trash Receptacle

| Stop | Direction | Weekday Average <br> Boardings |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Dulany St \& Duke St | Southbound | 258 |
| Eisenhower Ave \& Swamp Fox Rd | Southbound | 168 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Southgate Dr | Southbound | 82 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Arlington Dr | Southbound | 37 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Belford Dr | Northbound | 69 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Ladson Ln | Northbound | 152 |
| Fort Belvoir Community Hospital | Southbound/ Northbound | 80 |
| Jackson Loop | Southbound/ Northbound | 42 |

Stop and Shelter - The next step in the amenity hierarchy is shelters and benches. The "WMATA Bus Stop Guidelines" indicate that any stop with more than 50 boardings is a candidate for a shelter and bench. Since WMATA does not install benches and shelters, WMATA would request Alexandria and Fairfax County to install them. As shown in Table 21, the REX Line has six stops that are candidates for installation of a shelter and bench.

Table 26 - REX Line Candidates for the Installation of a Bench and Shelter

| Stop | Direction | Weekday Average <br> Boardings |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Dulany St \& Duke St | Southbound | 258 |
| Eisenhower Ave \& Swamp Fox Rd | Southbound | 168 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Belford Dr | Northbound | 69 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Ladson Ln | Northbound | 152 |
| Richmond Hwy \& Mt Vernon <br> Memorial Hwy | Southbound | 64 |
| Fort Belvoir Community Hospital | Southbound/ Northbound | 80 |

Real Time Bus Arrival Information Signs - The final step in the amenity hierarchy is real time bus arrival information signs. Any stop with greater than 100 daily boardings is a candidate for a real time bus arrival information sign. As shown in Table 22, the REX Line has 13 stops that are candidates for installation of a real time bus arrival information sign.

Table 27 - REX Line Candidates for the Installation of a Real Time Bus Arrival Information Sign

| Stop | Direction | Weekday Average <br> Boardings |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| King Street Metrorail Station | Southbound | 549 |
| Dulany/Duke Street | Southbound | 258 |
| Eisenhower Metrorail Station | Southbound | 168 |
| Huntington Metrorail Station | Southbound | 435 |
| Richmond Highway/Cooper Road | Northbound | 165 |
| Richmond Highway/Frye Road | Northbound | 189 |
| Richmond Highway/Mohawk Lane | Northbound | 184 |
| Richmond Highway/Ladson Lane | Northbound | 152 |
| Richmond Highway/Belford Drive | Northbound | 144 |
| Richmond Highway/Dart Drive | Northbound | 278 |
| Richmond Highway/Southgate Drive | Northbound | 112 |
| Richmond Highway/Kings Highway | Northbound | 108 |
| Huntington Metrorail Station | Northbound | 144 |

## 4. Traffic and Running Way Improvements

## A. Proposed Traffic and Running Way Improvements

1. Final Recommendation - A number of traffic and running way issues in the REX Line service area were identified based on the completion of the project "Traffic Operations Assessment". The next step in implementing improvements to address these issues will be to complete more detailed analysis at the identified intersections and other locations, including traffic counts, intersection capacity analysis, intersection geometry analysis, and signal timing and phase length analysis. This recommendation is to start the work on these next analysis steps as well as coordinate on the work with Alexandria, Fairfax County and
the Virginia Department of Transportation. The specific proposed areas of analysis are outlined below.

## Transit Signal Priority

Transit Signal Priority (TSP), which would be designed to improve bus run times in the Route 1 Corridor, will be one of the corridor improvements that are included in the "Embark Richmond Highway" initiative that includes Bus Rapid Transit between Huntington and Fort Belvoir as well as planned increased land use densities and more walkable communities along the corridor. At this point, no TSP has been implemented in the corridor.

## Signal Timing and Phasing

Bus delays were observed at several locations along the REX Line. Delays and queues that could be attributed to non-optimal signal timing are summarized below.

## Recommendation

The recommendation for each of the intersections described below is to conduct turning movement/pedestrian counts and optimize cycle lengths/splits based on demand for all traffic movements. The results of this analysis may address some bus delay, though traffic volumes on other legs of the intersection may preclude providing additional green time to the bus movement.

- Route 1 \& Ladson Lane

A long northbound queue in the $A M$ peak prevents the bus from getting to the near side bus stop. The bus has to wait for the northbound green signal to get to the stop. During our field visit, it took two signal cycles to clear the intersection.

- Route 1 \& Boswell Ave

A long northbound queue in the AM peak that is due to a long red phase causes delays to bus operations. During our field visit, the bus cleared the intersection in one light cycle.

- Route 1 \& Lockheed Boulevard/ Dart Drive

A long northbound queue in the AM peak prevents the bus from servicing the nearside bus stop, causing delays to bus operations. During our field visit, it took one full cycle for the bus to service all boarding and alighting passengers. The bus traveled through the intersection in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ cycle.

- Route 1 \& Huntington Avenue

A long northbound queue in the AM peak stretching back to the Holiday Inn prevented the bus from getting into the left turn pocket. During our field visit, the left turn from Route 1 onto Huntington Avenue took three cycles.

## - Telegraph Road \& Huntington Avenue

The very long red signal in the northbound AM peak for right turning vehicles causes delays to bus operations (the signal was observed to last over two minutes).

- Eisenhower Avenue \& Stovall Street

There is a long right turn queue in the northbound AM and PM peaks due to short right turn green time. Right-turn-on-red (RTOR) is allowed at this intersection but conflicting traffic makes RTOR difficult.

- Dulany \& Jamieson

There is a long left turn queue in the northbound AM and PM peak as a result of a short left turn green phase. This queue causes delays to bus operations. Most vehicles at this approach are turning left. During our field visit, the bus took two cycles to clear the intersection in the AM peak and three cycles in the PM peak.

- Route 1 \& Lockheed Boulevard/ Dart Drive

A long northbound queue in the PM peak spills back to the upstream intersection at Arlington Drive. During our field visit, the bus required two light cycles to pick up passengers, drop off passengers, and travel through the Arlington Boulevard intersection. The bus also needed two light cycles to pick up passengers, drop off passengers, and clear the Lockheed Boulevard/ Dart Drive intersection.

- Route 1 \& South King Highway

A long northbound queue in the PM peak causes delays to bus operations. During our field visit, the bus needed one light cycle to clear the intersection and get to the far-side bus stop.

- Stovall St \& Mill Road

The long red signal at this intersection in the southbound AM peak causes delays to bus operations.

- Huntington Ave \& Metroview Parkway

A long queue resulting from insufficient green time causes delays to the buses trying to turn right into the Huntington Metro Station in the southbound AM and PM peak. During our field visit the bus cleared the intersection in one cycle.

- Route 1 \& Beacon Hill

A long AM peak southbound through queue spills back to the upstream intersection at South Gate Drive due to high peak hour volumes and insufficient green time.

- Route 1 \& Arlington Drive

Long AM and PM peak southbound through queues causes delays to bus operations. During our field visit, the bus required two cycles to clear the intersection in the AM peak and one cycle in the PM peak.

- Route 1 \& Buckman Road/ Mt Vernon Highway

A long AM peak southbound queue causes delays to bus operations.

- Route 1 \& Mohawk Lane

A long $A M$ peak southbound queue causes delays to bus operations.

- Route 1 \& Jeff Todd Way/ Mt. Vernon Memorial Hwy

A long AM peak southbound queue causes delays to bus operations. During our field visit the bus traveled in the right turn lane and merged in the middle of the intersection to travel southbound through the intersection.

## Stop Access

A number of stop access issues were identified as part of the operational analysis. Specific instances are outlined below.

- Route 1 \& South King Highway

Long AM and PM peak southbound through queues as a result of long cycle length delay buses trying to access the South King Highway far-side bus stop.

- Route 1 \& South Gate Drive

A long southbound AM peak queue spills back past the bus stop and prevents the bus from accessing the near-side stop. During our field visit, the bus had difficulty merging back into the travel lane. The queue's origin is at the downstream intersection at Beacon Hill.

- Route 1 \& Mohawk Lane

A long northbound AM peak queue makes it difficult to merge back into the travel lane after serving the stop located in the right turn lane.

- Route 1 \& Arlington Drive

A long AM peak northbound queue can grow to prevent the bus from accessing the bus stop. During our field visit, the queue cleared the intersection in one light cycle.

- Route 1 \& Sacramento Drive

A long PM peak northbound queue at Sacramento delays the bus from accessing the Cooper Road stop.

## - Route 1 \& Sherwood Hall

A long PM peak northbound queue causes delays for the bus trying to pick up and drop off passengers at the Belford Drive stop.

- Route 1 \& Belford Drive

There are merging issues in the northbound PM peak for the bus after picking up and dropping off passengers at the Belford Drive bus stop.

Recommendation

Evaluate the location of impacted stops to determine if there would be potential benefit from a stop-relocation. This would include an evaluation of the availability of space for a relocated stop as well as the impacts of relocation on riders. Any stop relocation recommendations will be coordinated with the stop amenity improvements scheduled to start in the summer of 2016 as well as the plans to widen Route 1 to accommodate BRT.

## Safety/Operational Issues

- Mill Road \& Telegraph Road

Based on feedback from drivers, it is very difficult for buses to turn right onto Telegraph Road in the southbound direction because of poor sight lines and the inability of drivers to see very fast oncoming traffic coming over a rise on southbound Telegraph Road.

Recommendation
Drivers recommended consideration of running service directly from the King Street Metro to Telegraph Road via Duke Street in order to avoid this turn. This re-route would bypass the Eisenhower Metrorail Station stop on the REX Line. No recommendation regarding this re-route is provided at this time. Monitoring of ridership at the Eisenhower Metrorail station in light of increased development in the station area will continue in order to determine the impact to riders of a potential re-route. No changes are proposed at this time.

## Appendix 1 New Rider Calculations - Sunday Headway Improvement

Recommendation B (improved Sunday headways) proposed will involve an improvement in Sunday service levels. In order to assess the ridership impacts associated with this service improvement, an elasticity factor was utilized. The source of the equation used to calculate ridership impacts from the service change is "Patronage Impact of Changes in Transit Fares and Services, US Department of Transportation Urban Mass Transportation Administration, 1980". The elasticity value (e in the equation below) comes from AECOM and WMATA staff work on the PCN networks and observations on riders response to these changes.

The actual equation and elasticity value (the percentage change in ridership associated with each percentage improvement in travel time associated, either through an improvement in service frequencies or an improvement in actual travel times, or both) is outlined below. The actual changes in ridership associated with the Sunday service improvement is provided after the explanation.

Formula:
$r 2=r 1 *\left(\left((f 1+f 2)+-e^{*}(f 2-f 1)\right) /((f 1+f 2)-\right.$-e*(f2-f1)))
r2 = projected ridership
r1 = current ridership
f1 = current frequency
$\mathrm{f} 2=$ planned frequency
e= . 15

New Rider Calculations - Sunday Headway Change

| Improved Headway - Sunday |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Ridership Affected (Sunday Boardings) | 898 |
| Saturday 6:00 AM to 7:00 PM | 60 |
| Current Frequency (F1) | 30 |
| Planned Frequency (F2) | -0.15 |
| e | 993 |
| New Daily Riders | 993 |
| New Projected Total Boardings (R2) <br> All Service |  |

## Appendix 2

## Calculation of Layover as Percentage of Total Revenue Run Time

Weekday Layover Percentages - With and Without REX Line Extension


| Block | Start <br> Terminal | Trip Start Time | Trip End Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { Terminal } \end{aligned}$ | Revenue Run Time | Layover Time | Current Round Trip Revenue Time (Minutes) | Layover Time | Current <br> Layover <br> Time as \% of Revenue Time | Current Cycle time | Additional Revenue Time From Extension | New <br> Revenue Time Extension | Remaining Layover With No Schedule Change | New Layover as \% of New Revenue Time | Round Trip Time Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR06 | Fort Belvoir | 5:57 AM | 6:41 AM | King Street | 0:44 | 0:09 | 95 | 16 | 16.84\% | 111 | 8 | 103 | 8 | 7.77\% | AM Peak |
|  | King Street | 6:50 AM | 7:41 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:51 | 0:07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 7:48 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR07 | Fort Belvoir | 6:09 AM | 6:59 AM | King Street | 0:50 | 0:06 | 101 | 10 | 9.90\% | 111 | 8 | 109 | 2 | 1.83\% | AM Peak |
|  | King Street | 7:05 AM | 7:56 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:51 | 0:04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 8:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR07 | Fort Belvoir | 10:30 AM | 11:19 AM | King Street | 0:49 | 0:11 | 100 | 20 | 20.00\% | 120 | 8 | 108 | 12 | 11.11\% | Mid-Day |
|  | King Street | 11:30 AM | 12:21 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:51 | 0:09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 12:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR08 | Fort Belvoir | 6:19 AM | 7:09 AM | King Street | 0:50 | 0:11 | 101 | 15 | 14.85\% | 116 | 8 | 109 | 7 | 6.42\% | AM Peak |
|  | King Street | 7:20 AM | 8:11 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:51 | 0:04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 8:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR10 | Fort Belvoir | 7:24 AM | 8:17 AM | King Street | 0:53 | 0:23 | 105 | 31 | 29.52\% | 136 | 8 | 113 | 23 | 20.35\% | AM peak |
|  | King Street | 8:40 AM | 9:32 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:52 | 0:08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 9:40 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR15 | King Street | 12:30 PM | 1:21 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:51 | 0:09 | 103 | 27 | 26.21\% | 130 | 8 | 111 | 19 | 17.12\% | Mid-Day |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 1:30 PM | 2:22 PM | King Street | 0:52 | 0:18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 2:40 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 5:12 PM | 6:05 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:53 | 0:10 | 108 | 15 | 13.89\% | 123 | 8 | 116 | 7 | 6.03\% | PM Peak |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 6:15 PM | 7:10 PM | King Street | 0:55 | 0:05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 7:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Block | Start <br> Terminal | Trip Start Time | Trip End Time | End Terminal | Revenue Run Time | Layover Time | Current Round Trip Revenue Time (Minutes) | Layover Time | Current Layover Time as \% of Revenue Time | Current Cycle time | Additional Revenue Time From Extension | New <br> Revenue Time Extension | Remaining Layover With No Schedule Change | New <br> Layover as \% of New Revenue Time | Round Trip Time Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 26 | Fort Belvoir | 9:00 PM | 9:52 PM | King Street | 0:52 | 0:08 | 99 | 15 | 15.15\% | 114 | 8 | 107 | 7 | 6.54\% | Evening |
|  | King Street | 10:00 PM | 10:47 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:47 | 0:07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 10:54 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR27 | King Street | 4:00 PM | 4:55 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:55 | 0:05 | 117 | 18 | 15.38\% | 135 | 8 | 125 | 10 | 8.00\% | PM Peak |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 5:00 PM | 6:02 PM | King Street | 1:02 | 0:13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 6:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 5:00 PM | 6:02 PM | King Street | 1:02 | 0:13 | 115 | 25 | 21.74\% | 140 | 8 | 123 | 17 | 13.82\% | PM <br> Peak/Evening |
|  | King Street | 6:15 PM | 7:08 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:53 | 0:12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 7:20 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR28 | King Street | 4:36 PM | 5:31 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:55 | 0:14 | 110 | 19 | 17.27\% | 129 | 8 | 118 | 11 | 9.32\% | PM Peak |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 5:45 PM | 6:40 PM | King Street | 0:55 | 0:05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 6:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR29 | King Street | 7:30 PM | 8:23 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:53 | 0:07 | 105 | 15 | 14.29\% | 120 | 8 | 113 | 7 | 6.19\% | Evening |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 8:30 PM | 9:22 PM | King Street | 0:52 | 0:08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 9:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 8:30 PM | 9:22 PM | King Street | 0:52 | 0:08 | 99 | 21 | 21.21\% | 120 | 8 | 107 | 13 | 12.15\% | Evening |
|  | King Street | 9:30 PM | 10:17 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:47 | 0:13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 10:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR25 | Fort Belvoir | 3:15 PM | 4:14 PM | King Street | 0:59 | 0:10 | 114 | 21 | 18.42\% | 135 | 8 | 122 | 13 | 10.66\% | PM Peak |
|  | King Street | 4:24 PM | 5:19 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:55 | 0:11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 5:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 7:00 PM | 7:53 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:53 | 0:07 | 105 | 15 | 14.29\% | 120 | 8 | 113 | 7 | 6.19\% | Evening |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 8:00 PM | 8:52 PM | King Street | 0:52 | 0:08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 9:00 PM | 9:47 PM | Fort Belvoir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 8:00 PM | 8:52 PM | King Street | 0:52 | 0:08 | 99 | 21 | 21.21\% | 120 | 8 | 107 | 13 | 12.15\% | Evening |
|  | King Street | 9:00 PM | 9:47 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:47 | 0:13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 10:00 PM | 10:46 PM | King Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Saturday Layover Percentages - With and Without REX Line Extension

| Block | Start Terminal | Trip Start Time | Trip End Time | End Terminal | Revenue Run Time | Layover Time | Current Round Trip Revenue Time (Minutes) | Layover Time | Current Layover Time as \% of Revenue Time | Current Cycle time | Additional Revenue Time From Extension | New Revenue Time Extension | Remaining Layover With No Schedule Change | New Layover as \% of New Revenue Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR04 | King Street | 5:30 AM | 6:14 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:44 | 0:16 | 82 | 38 | 46.34\% | 120 | 8 | 90 | 30 | 33.33\% |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 6:30 AM | 7:08 AM | King Street | 0:38 | 0:22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 7:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 6:30 AM | 7:08 AM | King Street | 0:38 | 0:22 | 84 | 36 | 42.86\% | 120 | 8 | 92 | 28 | 30.43\% |
|  | King Street | 7:30 AM | 8:16 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:46 | 0:14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 8:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 10:00 AM | 10:51 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:51 | 0:09 | 104 | 16 | 15.38\% | 120 | 8 | 112 | 8 | 7.14\% |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 11:00 AM | 11:53 AM | King Street | 0:53 | 0:07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 12:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR01 | Fort Belvoir | 5:00 AM | 5:38 AM | King Street | 0:38 | 0:22 | 82 | 38 | 46.34\% | 120 | 8 | 90 | 30 | 33.33\% |
|  | King Street | 6:00 AM | 6:44 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:44 | 0:16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 7:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 6:00 AM | 6:44 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:44 | 0:16 | 92 | 28 | 30.43\% | 120 | 8 | 100 | 20 | 20.00\% |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 7:00 AM | 7:48 AM | King Street | 0:48 | 0:12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 8:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 7:00 AM | 7:48 AM | King Street | 0:48 | 0:12 | 94 | 26 | 27.66\% | 120 | 8 | 102 | 18 | 17.65\% |
|  | King Street | 8:00 AM | 8:46 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:46 | 0:14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 9:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Block | Start Terminal | Trip Start Time | Trip End Time | End Terminal | Revenue Run Time | Layover Time | Current Round Trip Revenue Time (Minutes) | Layover Time | Current Layover Time as \% of Revenue Time | Current Cycle time | Additional Revenue Time From Extension | New Revenue <br> Time Extension | Remaining Layover With No Schedule Change | New Layover as \% of New Revenue Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR02 | Fort Belvoir | 5:30 AM | 6:08 AM | King Street | 0:38 | 0:22 | 82 | 38 | 46.34\% | 120 | 8 | 90 | 30 | 33.33\% |
|  | King Street | 6:30 AM | 7:14 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:44 | 0:16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 7:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 6:30 AM | 7:14 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:44 | 0:16 | 92 | 28 | 30.43\% | 120 | 8 | 100 | 20 | 20.00\% |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 7:30 AM | 8:18 AM | King Street | 0:48 | 0:12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 8:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 7:30 AM | 8:18 AM | King Street | 0:48 | 0:12 | 94 | 26 | 27.66\% | 120 | 8 | 102 | 18 | 17.65\% |
|  | King Street | 8:30 AM | 9:16 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:46 | 0:14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 9:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR03 | Fort Belvoir | 6:00 AM | 6:38 AM | King Street | 0:38 | 0:22 | 82 | 38 | 46.34\% | 120 | 8 | 90 | 30 | 33.33\% |
|  | King Street | 7:00 AM | 7:44 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:44 | 0:16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir ${ }^{\text {8:00 AM }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 7:00 AM | 7:44 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:44 | 0:16 | 92 | 28 | 30.43\% | 120 | 8 | 100 | 20 | 20.00\% |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 8:00 AM | 8:48 AM | King Street | 0:48 | 0:12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 9:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 8:00 AM | 8:48 AM | King Street | 0:48 | 0:12 | 99 | 21 | 21.21\% | 120 | 8 | 107 | 13 | 12.15\% |
|  | King Street | 9:00 AM | 9:51 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:51 | 0:09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 10:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR10 | King Street | 3:30 PM | 4:21 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:51 | 0:09 | 100 | 50 | 50.00\% | 150 | 8 | 108 | 42 | 38.89\% |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 4:30 PM | 5:19 PM | King Street | 0:49 | 0:41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street 6:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 4:30 PM | 5:19 PM | King Street | 0:49 | 0:41 | 100 | 50 | 50.00\% | 150 | 8 | 108 | 42 | 38.89\% |
|  | King Street | 6:00 PM | 6:51 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:51 | 0:09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 7:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR11 | King Street | 1:30 PM | 2:27 PM | Fort Belvoir | 0:57 | 0:03 | 113 | 37 | 32.74\% | 150 | 8 | 121 | 29 | 23.97\% |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 2:30 PM | 3:26 PM | King Street | 0:56 | 0:34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 4:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## Sunday Layover Percentages - With and Without REX Line Extension

| Block | Start Terminal | Trip Start <br> Time | Trip End Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { End } \\ \text { Terminal } \end{gathered}$ | Revenue Run Time | Layover Time | Current <br> Round Trip <br> Revenue Time (Minutes) | Layover Time | Current <br> Layover <br> Time as \% of Revenue Time | Current Cycle time | Additional Revenue Time From Extension | New Revenue Time Extension | Remaining <br> Layover With No Schedule Change | New Layover as \% of New Revenue Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SRO1 | Fort Belvoir | 5:00 AM | 5:40 AM | King Street | 0:40 | 0:20 | 80 | 40 | 50.00\% | 120 | 8 | 88 | 32 | 36.36\% |
|  | King Street | 6:00 AM | 6:40 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:40 | 0:20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 7:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 6:00 AM | 6:40 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:40 | 0:20 | 80 | 40 | 50.00\% | 120 | 8 | 88 | 32 | 36.36\% |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 7:00 AM | 7:40 AM | King Street | 0:40 | 0:20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 8:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 7:00 AM | 7:40 AM | King Street | 0:40 | 0:20 | 80 | 40 | 50.00\% | 120 | 8 | 88 | 32 | 36.36\% |
|  | King Street | 8:00 AM | 8:40 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:40 | 0:20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 9:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 8:00 AM | 8:40 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:40 | 0:20 | 85 | 35 | 41.18\% | 120 | 8 | 93 | 27 | 29.03\% |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 9:00 AM | 9:45 AM | King Street | 0:45 | 0:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 10:00 AM | 10:48 AM | Fort Belvoir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 9:00 AM | 9:45 AM | King Street | 0:45 | 0:15 | 93 | 27 | 29.03\% | 120 | 8 | 101 | 19 | 18.81\% |
|  | King Street | 10:00 AM | 10:48 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:48 | 0:12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 11:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SRO2 | Fort Belvoir | 6:00 AM | 6:40 AM | King Street | 0:40 | 0:20 | 80 | 40 | 50.00\% | 120 | 8 | 88 | 32 | 36.36\% |
|  | King Street | 7:00 AM | 7:40 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:40 | 0:20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 8:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 7:00 AM | 7:40 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:40 | 0:20 | 80 | 40 | 50.00\% | 120 | 8 | 88 | 32 | 36.36\% |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 8:00 AM | 8:40 AM | King Street | 0:40 | 0:20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | King Street | 9:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 8:00 AM | 8:40 AM | King Street | 0:40 | 0:20 | 80 | 40 | 50.00\% | 120 | 8 | 88 | 32 | 36.36\% |
|  | King Street | 9:00 AM | 9:40 AM | Fort Belvoir | 0:40 | 0:20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort Belvoir | 10:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Appendix 3
Actual vs. Scheduled Run Time Comparison

Weekday Northbound Actual vs. Scheduled Run Time Comparison

| Weekday Northbound <br> Actual Run Time vs. Scheduled Run Time |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Start | Scheduled Run Time | Actual Run Time | Difference | $\%$ <br> Difference |
| 5:00 AM | 44 | 47.6 | 3.6 | 8.18\% |
| 5:15 AM | 44 | 43.6 | -0.4 | -0.91\% |
| 5:30 AM | 44 | 44.4 | 0.4 | 0.91\% |
| 5:45 AM | 44 | 45.2 | 1.2 | 2.73\% |
| 5:57 AM | 44 | 44.9 | 0.9 | 2.05\% |
| 6:09 AM | 50 | 46.7 | -3.3 | -6.60\% |
| 6:19 AM | 50 | 48.1 | -1.9 | -3.80\% |
| 6:29 AM | 50 | 47.5 | -2.5 | -5.00\% |
| 6:39 AM | 50 | 48.3 | -1.7 | -3.40\% |
| 6:49 AM | 50 | 54.3 | 4.3 | 8.60\% |
| 7:00 AM | 53 | 50.7 | -2.3 | -4.34\% |
| 7:12 AM | 53 | 49.1 | -3.9 | -7.36\% |
| 7:24 AM | 53 | 52.7 | -0.3 | -0.57\% |
| 7:36 AM | 53 | 52.5 | -0.5 | -0.94\% |
| 7:48 AM | 53 | 48.6 | -4.4 | -8.30\% |
| 8:00 AM | 53 | 49.1 | -3.9 | -7.36\% |
| 8:15 AM | 53 | 50.6 | -2.4 | -4.53\% |
| 8:30 AM | 53 | 49 | -4 | -7.55\% |
| 8:45 AM | 49 | 47.1 | -1.9 | -3.88\% |
| 9:00 AM | 49 | 46.2 | -2.8 | -5.71\% |
| 9:20 AM | 49 | 51.4 | 2.4 | 4.90\% |
| 9:40 AM | 49 | 48.3 | -0.7 | -1.43\% |
| 10:00 AM | 49 | 48.6 | -0.4 | -0.82\% |
| 10:30 AM | 49 | 45.3 | -3.7 | -7.55\% |
| 11:00 AM | 52 | 51.3 | -0.7 | -1.35\% |
| 11:30 AM | 52 | 55.9 | 3.9 | 7.50\% |
| 12:00 PM | 52 | 48.2 | -3.8 | -7.31\% |
| 12:30 PM | 52 | 53.6 | 1.6 | 3.08\% |
| 1:00 PM | 52 | 50.8 | -1.2 | -2.31\% |
| 2:00 PM | 52 | 49.4 | -2.6 | -5.00\% |
| 2:20 PM | 52 | 53 | 1 | 1.92\% |
| 2:40 PM | 52 | 51.2 | -0.8 | -1.54\% |
| 3:00 PM | 59 | 53.1 | -5.9 | -10.00\% |
| 3:15 PM | 59 | 58.3 | -0.7 | -1.19\% |


| Trip Start | Scheduled <br> Run Time | Actual Run <br> Time | Difference | $\%$ <br> Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3:30 PM | 59 | 58.2 | -0.8 | $-1.36 \%$ |
| 3:45 PM | 59 | 55.9 | -3.1 | $-5.25 \%$ |
| 4:00 PM | 62 | 62.9 | 0.9 | $1.45 \%$ |
| 4:15 PM | 62 | 60 | -2 | $-3.23 \%$ |
| 4:30 PM | 62 | 60.6 | -1.4 | $-2.26 \%$ |
| 4:45 PM | 62 | 59.5 | -2.5 | $-4.03 \%$ |
| 5:00 PM | 55 | 58.3 | 3.3 | $6.00 \%$ |
| 5:15 PM | 55 | 56.1 | 1.1 | $2.00 \%$ |
| 5:30 PM | 55 | 54.7 | -0.3 | $-0.55 \%$ |
| 5:45 PM | 55 | 55.2 | 0.2 | $0.36 \%$ |
| 6:00 PM | 55 | 51.4 | -3.6 | $-6.55 \%$ |
| 6:15 PM | 55 | 55 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 6:30 PM | 55 | 50 | -5 | $-9.09 \%$ |
| 6:45 PM | 52 | 48.1 | -3.9 | $-7.50 \%$ |
| 7:00 PM | 52 | 46.8 | -5.2 | $-10.00 \%$ |

Weekday Southbound Actual vs. Scheduled Run Time Comparison

| Weekday Southbound <br> Actual Run Time vs. Scheduled Run Time |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Start | Schedule | Actual | Difference | $\%$ <br> Difference |
| 5:35 AM | 46 | 44 | -2 | -4.35\% |
| 5:50 AM | 46 | 50.1 | 4.1 | 8.91\% |
| 6:05 AM | 46 | 49.1 | 3.1 | 6.74\% |
| 6:20 AM | 46 | 53.5 | 7.5 | 16.30\% |
| 6:35 AM | 51 | 52.8 | 1.8 | 3.53\% |
| 6:50 AM | 51 | 53.9 | 2.9 | 5.69\% |
| 7:05 AM | 51 | 50.8 | -0.2 | -0.39\% |
| 7:20 AM | 51 | 55.5 | 4.5 | 8.82\% |
| 7:35 AM | 51 | 50.1 | -0.9 | -1.76\% |
| 7:50 AM | 51 | 50.2 | -0.8 | -1.57\% |
| 8:05 AM | 52 | 51.2 | -0.8 | -1.54\% |
| 8:20 AM | 52 | 56.9 | 4.9 | 9.42\% |
| 8:40 AM | 52 | 51.3 | -0.7 | -1.35\% |
| 9:00 AM | 52 | 52 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 9:30 AM | 51 | 50.4 | -0.6 | -1.18\% |
| 10:00 AM | 51 | 52.9 | 1.9 | 3.73\% |
| 10:30 AM | 51 | 54.5 | 3.5 | 6.86\% |
| 11:00 AM | 51 | 52.4 | 1.4 | 2.75\% |
| 11:30 AM | 51 | 51.8 | 0.8 | 1.57\% |
| 12:00 PM | 51 | 52.7 | 1.7 | 3.33\% |
| 12:30 PM | 51 | 54.2 | 3.2 | 6.27\% |
| 1:00 PM | 51 | 55.8 | 4.8 | 9.41\% |
| 1:20 PM | 51 | 54.1 | 3.1 | 6.08\% |
| 1:40 PM | 55 | 53.4 | -1.6 | -2.91\% |
| 2:00 PM | 55 | 54.1 | -0.9 | -1.64\% |
| 2:20 PM | 55 | 59.7 | 4.7 | 8.55\% |
| 2:40 PM | 55 | 55.5 | 0.5 | 0.91\% |
| 3:00 PM | 55 | 55.8 | 0.8 | 1.45\% |
| 3:15 PM | 55 | 55.2 | 0.2 | 0.36\% |
| 3:30 PM | 55 | 56 | 1 | 1.82\% |
| 3:45 PM | 55 | 53.1 | -1.9 | -3.45\% |
| 4:00 PM | 55 | 54.5 | -0.5 | -0.91\% |
| 4:12 PM | 55 | 54.1 | -0.9 | -1.64\% |
| 4:24 PM | 55 | 57.5 | 2.5 | 4.55\% |
| 4:36 PM | 55 | 58.3 | 3.3 | 6.00\% |


| Trip Start | Schedule | Actual | Difference | $\%$ <br> Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:48 PM | 53 | 61.8 | 8.8 | $16.60 \%$ |
| 5:00 PM | 53 | 54.5 | 1.5 | $2.83 \%$ |
| 5:12 PM | 53 | 55.5 | 2.5 | $4.72 \%$ |
| 5:24 PM | 53 | 59 | 6 | $11.32 \%$ |
| 5:36 PM | 53 | 55.1 | 2.1 | $3.96 \%$ |
| 5:48 PM | 53 | 54.9 | 1.9 | $3.58 \%$ |
| 6:00 PM | 53 | 55.2 | 2.2 | $4.15 \%$ |
| 6:15 PM | 53 | 54.9 | 1.9 | $3.58 \%$ |
| 6:30 PM | 53 | 54.8 | 1.8 | $3.40 \%$ |
| 6:45 PM | 53 | 53.7 | 0.7 | $1.32 \%$ |
| 7:00 PM | 53 | 50.8 | -2.2 | $-4.15 \%$ |

Saturday Northbound Actual vs. Scheduled Run Time Comparison

| Saturday Northbound <br> Actual Run Time vs. Scheduled Run Time |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Start | Scheduled Run Time | Actual Run Time | Difference | \% Difference |
| 5:00 | 38 | 37.9 | -0.1 | -0.26\% |
| 5:30 | 38 | 42.4 | 4.4 | 11.58\% |
| 6:00 | 38 | 41.1 | 3.1 | 8.16\% |
| 6:30 | 38 | 40.7 | 2.7 | 7.11\% |
| 7:00 | 48 | 46 | -2 | -4.17\% |
| 7:30 | 48 | 46.3 | -1.7 | -3.54\% |
| 8:00 | 48 | 46.1 | -1.9 | -3.96\% |
| 8:30 | 48 | 42.9 | -5.1 | -10.63\% |
| 9:00 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 9:30 | 53 | 51.2 | -1.8 | -3.40\% |
| 10:00 | 53 | 51.9 | -1.1 | -2.08\% |
| 10:30 | 53 | 53.9 | 0.9 | 1.70\% |
| 11:00 | 53 | 48.6 | -4.4 | -8.30\% |
| 11:30 | 53 | 52.5 | -0.5 | -0.94\% |
| 12:00 | 53 | 55.1 | 2.1 | 3.96\% |
| 12:30 | 56 | 53.3 | -2.7 | -4.82\% |
| 13:00 | 56 | 51 | -5 | -8.93\% |
| 13:30 | 56 | 47.7 | -8.3 | -14.82\% |
| 14:00 | 56 | 54.3 | -1.7 | -3.04\% |
| 14:30 | 56 | 53.8 | -2.2 | -3.93\% |
| 15:00 | 56 | 53.5 | -2.5 | -4.46\% |
| 15:30 | 49 | 55.9 | 6.9 | 14.08\% |
| 16:00 | 49 | 54.9 | 5.9 | 12.04\% |
| 16:30 | 49 | 49.8 | 0.8 | 1.63\% |
| 17:00 | 45 | 48.4 | 3.4 | 7.56\% |
| 17:30 | 45 | 49.7 | 4.7 | 10.44\% |
| 18:00 | 45 | 56.4 | 11.4 | 25.33\% |
| 18:30 | 45 | 49.4 | 4.4 | 9.78\% |
| 19:00 | 45 | 45.7 | 0.7 | 1.56\% |
| 19:30 | 45 | 44.9 | -0.1 | -0.22\% |
| 20:00 | 45 | 47.1 | 2.1 | 4.67\% |

Saturday Southbound Actual vs. Scheduled Run Time Comparison

| Saturday Southbound <br> Actual Run Time vs. Scheduled Run Time |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Start | Scheduled Run Time | Actual Run Time | Difference | $\%$ <br> Difference |
| 5:30 AM | 44 | 41.6 | -2.4 | -5.45\% |
| 6:00 AM | 44 | 42.3 | -1.7 | -3.86\% |
| 6:30 AM | 44 | 43.4 | -0.6 | -1.36\% |
| 7:00 AM | 44 | 40.4 | -3.6 | -8.18\% |
| 7:30 AM | 46 | 49.3 | 3.3 | 7.17\% |
| 8:00 AM | 46 | 43.5 | -2.5 | -5.43\% |
| 8:30 AM | 46 | 48.9 | 2.9 | 6.30\% |
| 9:00 AM | 51 | 45.6 | -5.4 | -10.59\% |
| 9:30 AM | 51 | 52 | 1 | 1.96\% |
| 10:00 AM | 51 | 50.9 | -0.1 | -0.20\% |
| 10:30 AM | 51 | 51.1 | 0.1 | 0.20\% |
| 11:00 AM | 51 | 54.2 | 3.2 | 6.27\% |
| 11:30 AM | 57 | 55.5 | -1.5 | -2.63\% |
| 12:00 PM | 57 | 53.3 | -3.7 | -6.49\% |
| 12:30 PM | 57 | 55.2 | -1.8 | -3.16\% |
| 1:00 PM | 57 | 52.3 | -4.7 | -8.25\% |
| 1:30 PM | 57 | 59.3 | 2.3 | 4.04\% |
| 2:00 PM | 57 | 54.2 | -2.8 | -4.91\% |
| 2:30 PM | 57 | 57.2 | 0.2 | 0.35\% |
| 3:00 PM | 57 | 54.6 | -2.4 | -4.21\% |
| 3:30 PM | 51 | 51.2 | 0.2 | 0.39\% |
| 4:00 PM | 51 | 52.9 | 1.9 | 3.73\% |
| 4:30 PM | 51 | 51.5 | 0.5 | 0.98\% |
| 5:00 PM | 51 | 57.8 | 6.8 | 13.33\% |
| 5:30 PM | 51 | 54.9 | 3.9 | 7.65\% |
| 6:00 PM | 51 | 50.2 | -0.8 | -1.57\% |
| 6:30 PM | 46 | 48.8 | 2.8 | 6.09\% |
| 7:00 PM | 46 | 45.3 | -0.7 | -1.52\% |
| 7:30 PM | 46 | 54.4 | 8.4 | 18.26\% |
| 8:00 PM | 46 | 47.1 | 1.1 | 2.39\% |

Sunday Northbound Actual vs. Scheduled Run Time Comparison

| Sunday Northbound <br> Actual Run Time vs. Scheduled Run Time |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Start | Scheduled Run Time | Actual Run Time | Difference | \% Difference |
| 5:00 AM | 40 | 38.6 | -1.4 | -3.50\% |
| 6:00 AM | 40 | 40.3 | 0.3 | 0.75\% |
| 7:00 AM | 40 | 42.6 | 2.6 | 6.50\% |
| 8:00 AM | 40 | 43.7 | 3.7 | 9.25\% |
| 9:00 AM | 45 | 42.8 | -2.2 | -4.89\% |
| 10:00 AM | 45 | 45.3 | 0.3 | 0.67\% |
| 11:00 AM | 45 | 46.2 | 1.2 | 2.67\% |
| 12:00 PM | 50 | 46.2 | -3.8 | -7.60\% |
| 1:00 PM | 50 | 53.3 | 3.3 | 6.60\% |
| 2:00 PM | 50 | 55.1 | 5.1 | 10.20\% |
| 3:00 PM | 50 | 48.3 | -1.7 | -3.40\% |
| 4:00 PM | 50 | 50.4 | 0.4 | 0.80\% |
| 5:00 PM | 46 | 48.5 | 2.5 | 5.43\% |
| 6:00 PM | 46 | 46.5 | 0.5 | 1.09\% |
| 7:00 PM | 46 | 44.3 | -1.7 | -3.70\% |
| 8:00 PM | 46 | 42 | -4 | -8.70\% |
| 9:00 PM | 46 | 48.9 | 2.9 | 6.30\% |

Sunday Southbound Actual vs. Scheduled Run Time Comparison

| Sunday Southbound <br> Actual Run Time vs. Scheduled Run Time |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Start | Scheduled Run Time | Actual Run Time | Difference | \% Difference |
| 6:00 AM | 40 | 42 | 2 | 5.00\% |
| 7:00 AM | 40 | 38.9 | -1.1 | -2.75\% |
| 8:00 AM | 40 | 41.3 | 1.3 | 3.25\% |
| 9:00 AM | 40 | 42.1 | 2.1 | 5.25\% |
| 10:00 AM | 48 | 46.2 | -1.8 | -3.75\% |
| 11:00 AM | 48 | 45 | -3 | -6.25\% |
| 12:00 PM | 48 | 50.3 | 2.3 | 4.79\% |
| 1:00 PM | 52 | 54.1 | 2.1 | 4.04\% |
| 2:00 PM | 52 | 51.6 | -0.4 | -0.77\% |
| 3:00 PM | 52 | 52.1 | 0.1 | 0.19\% |
| 4:00 PM | 51 | 52.9 | 1.9 | 3.73\% |
| 5:00 PM | 51 | 50.7 | -0.3 | -0.59\% |
| 6:00 PM | 51 | 53 | 2 | 3.92\% |
| 7:00 PM | 44 | 52.4 | 8.4 | 19.09\% |
| 8:00 PM | 44 | 50.2 | 6.2 | 14.09\% |
| 9:00 PM | 44 | 42.4 | -1.6 | -3.64\% |

## Appendix 4

Headway Separation Analysis

Weekday Northbound Headway Separation Analysis - Headway Separation at U.S. 1 and Ladson Lane

| REX Line Weekday Northbound Service Headway Separation at U.S. 1 and Ladson Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Start | Trip Departure @ Ladson Lane | Scheduled <br> Headway <br> Separation | Actual Headway Separation from Previous Trip | Headway Separation Difference | Percent Difference from Scheduled Headway |
| 5:00 AM | 5:20:32 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 5:15 AM | 5:35:37 AM | 15:00 | 15:05 | 00:05 | 0.56\% |
| 5:30 AM | 5:50:24 AM | 15:00 | 14:47 | 00:13 | 1.44\% |
| 5:45 AM | 6:05:51 AM | 15:00 | 15:27 | 00:27 | 3.00\% |
| 5:57 AM | 6:18:29 AM | 12:00 | 12:38 | 00:38 | 5.28\% |
| 6:09 AM | 6:31:07 AM | 12:00 | 12:38 | 00:38 | 5.28\% |
| 6:19 AM | 6:41:13 AM | 10:00 | 10:06 | 00:06 | 1.00\% |
| 6:29 AM | 6:50:22 AM | 10:00 | 09:09 | 00:51 | 8.50\% |
| 6:39 AM | 7:03:40 AM | 10:00 | 13:18 | 03:18 | 33.00\% |
| 6:49 AM | 7:13:45 AM | 10:00 | 10:05 | 00:05 | 0.83\% |
| 7:00 AM | 7:24:03 AM | 11:00 | 10:18 | 00:42 | 6.36\% |
| 7:12 AM | 7:36:10 AM | 12:00 | 12:07 | 00:07 | 0.97\% |
| 7:24 AM | 7:46:16 AM | 12:00 | 10:06 | 01:54 | 15.83\% |
| 7:36 AM | 7:58:12 AM | 12:00 | 11:56 | 00:04 | 0.56\% |
| 7:48 AM | 8:11:45 AM | 12:00 | 13:33 | 01:33 | 12.92\% |
| 8:00 AM | 8:22:02 AM | 12:00 | 10:17 | 01:43 | 14.31\% |
| 8:15 AM | 8:38:45 AM | 15:00 | 16:43 | 01:43 | 11.44\% |
| 8:30 AM | 8:52:13 AM | 15:00 | 13:28 | 01:32 | 10.22\% |
| 8:45 AM | 9:07:14 AM | 15:00 | 15:01 | 00:01 | 0.11\% |
| 9:00 AM | 9:25:14 AM | 15:00 | 18:00 | 03:00 | 20.00\% |
| 9:20 AM | 9:46:28 AM | 20:00 | 21:14 | 01:14 | 6.17\% |
| 9:40 AM | 10:03:20 AM | 20:00 | 16:52 | 03:08 | 15.67\% |
| 10:00 AM | 10:23:23 AM | 20:00 | 20:03 | 00:03 | 0.25\% |
| 10:30 AM | 10:51:01 AM | 30:00 | 27:38 | 02:22 | 7.89\% |
| 11:00 AM | 11:25:22 AM | 30:00 | 34:21 | 04:21 | 14.50\% |
| 11:30 AM | 11:56:35 AM | 30:00 | 31:13 | 01:13 | 4.06\% |
| 12:00 PM | 12:24:09 PM | 30:00 | 27:34 | 02:26 | 8.11\% |


| Trip Start | Trip Departure @ Ladson Lane | Scheduled <br> Headway <br> Separation | Actual Headway Separation from Previous Trip | Headway Separation Difference | Percent Difference from Scheduled Headway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:30 PM | 12:53:53 PM | 30:00 | 29:44 | 00:16 | 0.89\% |
| 1:00 PM | 1:24:48 PM | 30:00 | 30:55 | 00:55 | 3.06\% |
| 1:30 PM | 1:55:02 PM | 30:00 | 30:14 | 00:14 | 0.78\% |
| 2:00 PM | 2:28:30 PM | 30:00 | 33:28 | 03:28 | 11.56\% |
| 2:20 PM | 2:44:33 PM | 20:00 | 16:03 | 03:57 | 19.75\% |
| 2:40 PM | 3:06:12 PM | 20:00 | 21:39 | 01:39 | 8.25\% |
| 3:00 PM | 3:27:00 PM | 20:00 | 20:48 | 00:48 | 4.00\% |
| 3:15 PM | 3:43:21 PM | 15:00 | 16:21 | 01:21 | 9.00\% |
| 3:30 PM | 3:54:46 PM | 15:00 | 11:25 | 03:35 | 23.89\% |
| 3:45 PM | 4:09:55 PM | 15:00 | 15:09 | 00:09 | 1.00\% |
| 4:00 PM | 4:29:27 PM | 15:00 | 19:32 | 04:32 | 30.22\% |
| 4:15 PM | 4:42:37 PM | 15:00 | 13:10 | 01:50 | 12.22\% |
| 4:30 PM | 4:57:10 PM | 15:00 | 14:33 | 00:27 | 3.00\% |
| 4:45 PM | 5:11:39 PM | 15:00 | 14:29 | 00:31 | 3.44\% |
| 5:00 PM | 5:26:35 PM | 15:00 | 14:56 | 00:04 | 0.44\% |
| 5:15 PM | 5:39:42 PM | 15:00 | 13:07 | 01:53 | 12.56\% |
| 5:30 PM | 5:54:18 PM | 15:00 | 14:36 | 00:24 | 2.67\% |
| 5:45 PM | 6:09:10 PM | 15:00 | 14:52 | 00:08 | 0.89\% |
| 6:00 PM | 6:25:04 PM | 15:00 | 15:54 | 00:54 | 6.00\% |
| 6:15 PM | 6:39:06 PM | 15:00 | 14:02 | 00:58 | 6.44\% |
| 6:30 PM | 6:57:00 PM | 15:00 | 17:54 | 02:54 | 19.33\% |
| 6:45 PM | 7:09:10 PM | 15:00 | 12:10 | 02:50 | 18.89\% |
| 7:00 PM | 7:22:40 PM | 15:00 | 13:30 | 01:30 | 10.00\% |
| 7:20 PM | 7:43:06 PM | 20:00 | 20:26 | 00:26 | 2.17\% |
| 7:40 PM | 8:05:57 PM | 20:00 | 22:51 | 02:51 | 14.25\% |

Note: Framework for identifying potential headway separation issues is if the difference between actual headway separation and scheduled headway separation is greater than $15 \%$, this is tagged as a potential issue. These instances are highlighted in orange. Instances where actual headway separation is less than scheduled headway separation are highlighted in red.

This applies to all tables in Appendix 4.

## Weekday Southbound Headway Separation Analysis - Headway Separation at U.S. 1 and Ladson Lane

| REX Line Weekday Southbound Service Headway Separation at U.S. 1 and Ladson Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Start | Trip Departure <br> @ Ladson <br> Lane | Scheduled <br> Headway Separation | Actual Headway Separation from Previous Trip | Headway Separation Difference | Percent Difference from Scheduled Headway |
| 5:35 AM | 5:59:40 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 5:50 AM | 6:14:47 AM | 15:00 | 15:07 | 00:07 | 0.78\% |
| 6:05 AM | 6:28:47 AM | 15:00 | 14:00 | 01:00 | 6.67\% |
| 6:20 AM | 6:45:49 AM | 15:00 | 17:02 | 02:02 | 13.56\% |
| 6:35 AM | 6:59:32 AM | 15:00 | 13:43 | 01:17 | 8.56\% |
| 6:50 AM | 7:15:26 AM | 15:00 | 15:54 | 00:54 | 6.00\% |
| 7:05 AM | 7:28:37 AM | 15:00 | 13:11 | 01:49 | 12.11\% |
| 7:20 AM | 7:44:27 AM | 15:00 | 15:50 | 00:50 | 5.56\% |
| 7:35 AM | 7:59:26 AM | 15:00 | 14:59 | 00:01 | 0.11\% |
| 7:50 AM | 8:18:26 AM | 15:00 | 19:00 | 04:00 | 26.67\% |
| 8:05 AM | 8:30:57 AM | 15:00 | 12:31 | 02:29 | 16.56\% |
| 8:20 AM | 8:47:58 AM | 15:00 | 17:01 | 02:01 | 13.44\% |
| 8:40 AM | 9:04:32 AM | 20:00 | 16:34 | 03:26 | 17.17\% |
| 9:00 AM | 9:25:12 AM | 20:00 | 20:40 | 00:40 | 3.33\% |
| 9:30 AM | 9:53:40 AM | 30:00 | 28:28 | 01:32 | 5.11\% |
| 10:00 AM | 10:25:59 AM | 30:00 | 32:19 | 02:19 | 7.72\% |
| 10:30 AM | 10:56:13 AM | 30:00 | 30:14 | 00:14 | 0.78\% |
| 11:00 AM | 11:25:06 AM | 30:00 | 28:53 | 01:07 | 3.72\% |
| 11:30 AM | 11:54:57 AM | 30:00 | 29:51 | 00:09 | 0.50\% |
| 12:00 PM | 12:25:56 PM | 30:00 | 30:59 | 00:59 | 3.28\% |
| 12:30 PM | 12:56:58 PM | 30:00 | 31:02 | 01:02 | 3.44\% |
| 1:00 PM | 1:29:31 PM | 30:00 | 32:33 | 02:33 | 8.50\% |
| 1:20 PM | 1:52:51 PM | 20:00 | 23:20 | 03:20 | 16.67\% |
| 1:40 PM | 2:06:28 PM | 20:00 | 13:37 | 06:23 | 31.92\% |
| 2:00 PM | 2:27:59 PM | 20:00 | 21:31 | 01:31 | 7.58\% |
| 2:20 PM | 2:47:08 PM | 20:00 | 19:09 | 00:51 | 4.25\% |
| 2:40 PM | 3:06:17 PM | 20:00 | 19:09 | 00:51 | 4.25\% |
| 3:00 PM | 3:29:57 PM | 20:00 | 23:40 | 03:40 | 18.33\% |
| 3:15 PM | 3:41:54 PM | 15:00 | 11:57 | 03:03 | 20.33\% |
| 3:30 PM | 3:58:12 PM | 15:00 | 16:18 | 01:18 | 8.67\% |
| 3:45 PM | 4:12:13 PM | 15:00 | 14:01 | 00:59 | 6.56\% |


| Trip Start | Trip Departure <br> @ Ladson <br> Lane | Scheduled Headway Separation | Actual Headway Separation from Previous Trip | Headway Separation Difference | Percent Difference from Scheduled Headway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:00 PM | 4:27:44 PM | 15:00 | 15:31 | 00:31 | 3.44\% |
| 4:12 PM | 4:40:39 PM | 12:00 | 12:55 | 00:55 | 7.64\% |
| 4:24 PM | 4:53:06 PM | 12:00 | 12:27 | 00:27 | 3.75\% |
| 4:36 PM | 5:05:08 PM | 12:00 | 12:02 | 00:02 | 0.28\% |
| 4:48 PM | 5:17:20 PM | 12:00 | 12:12 | 00:12 | 1.67\% |
| 5:00 PM | 5:28:21 PM | 12:00 | 11:01 | 00:59 | 8.19\% |
| 5:12 PM | 5:41:32 PM | 12:00 | 13:11 | 01:11 | 9.86\% |
| 5:24 PM | 5:56:30 PM | 12:00 | 14:58 | 02:58 | 24.72\% |
| 5:48 PM | 6:16:12 PM | 24:00 | 19:42 | 04:18 | 17.92\% |
| 6:00 PM | 6:28:39 PM | 12:00 | 12:27 | 00:27 | 3.75\% |
| 6:15 PM | 6:44:06 PM | 15:00 | 15:27 | 00:27 | 3.00\% |
| 6:30 PM | 6:58:34 PM | 15:00 | 14:28 | 00:32 | 3.56\% |
| 6:45 PM | 7:12:45 PM | 15:00 | 14:11 | 00:49 | 5.44\% |
| 7:00 PM | 7:26:13 PM | 15:00 | 13:28 | 01:32 | 10.22\% |
| 7:15 PM | 7:40:50 PM | 15:00 | 14:37 | 00:23 | 2.56\% |
| 7:30 PM | 7:55:52 PM | 15:00 | 15:02 | 00:02 | 0.22\% |
| 7:45 PM | 20:12:47 | 15:00 | 16:55 | 01:55 | 12.78\% |

Saturday Northbound Headway Separation Analysis - Headway Separation at U.S. 1 and Ladson Lane

| REX Line Weekday Northbound Service Headway Separation at U.S. 1 and Ladson Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Start | Trip <br> Departure @ Ladson Lane | Scheduled Headway Separation | Actual Headway Separation from Previous Trip | Headway Separation Difference | Percent Difference from Scheduled Headway |
| 5:00 AM | 5:18:21 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 5:30 AM | 5:51:01 AM | 30:00 | 32:40 | 02:40 | 8.89\% |
| 6:00 AM | 6:20:16 AM | 30:00 | 29:15 | 00:45 | 2.50\% |
| 6:30 AM | 6:53:09 AM | 30:00 | 32:53 | 02:53 | 9.61\% |
| 7:00 AM | 7:19:45 AM | 30:00 | 26:36 | 03:24 | 11.33\% |
| 7:30 AM | 7:51:50 AM | 30:00 | 32:05 | 02:05 | 6.94\% |
| 8:00 AM | 8:21:21 AM | 30:00 | 29:31 | 00:29 | 1.61\% |
| 8:30 AM | 8:52:50 AM | 30:00 | 31:29 | 01:29 | 4.94\% |
| 9:00 AM | 9:20:31 AM | 30:00 | 27:41 | 02:19 | 7.72\% |
| 9:30 AM | 9:51:43 AM | 30:00 | 31:12 | 01:12 | 4.00\% |
| 10:00 AM | 10:21:18 AM | 30:00 | 29:35 | 00:25 | 1.39\% |
| 10:30 AM | 10:55:08 AM | 30:00 | 33:50 | 03:50 | 12.78\% |
| 11:00 AM | 11:28:53 AM | 30:00 | 33:45 | 03:45 | 12.50\% |
| 11:30 AM | 11:52:20 AM | 30:00 | 23:27 | 06:33 | 21.83\% |
| 12:00 PM | 12:25:03 PM | 30:00 | 32:43 | 02:43 | 9.06\% |
| 12:30 PM | 12:52:28 PM | 30:00 | 27:25 | 02:35 | 8.61\% |
| 1:00 PM | 1:26:11 PM | 30:00 | 33:43 | 03:43 | 12.39\% |
| 1:30 PM | 2:00:26 PM | 30:00 | 34:15 | 04:15 | 14.17\% |
| 2:00 PM | 2:24:09 PM | 30:00 | 23:43 | 06:17 | 20.94\% |
| 2:30 PM | 2:54:55 PM | 30:00 | 30:46 | 00:46 | 2.56\% |
| 3:00 PM | 3:26:54 PM | 30:00 | 31:59 | 01:59 | 6.61\% |
| 3:30 PM | 3:56:19 PM | 30:00 | 29:25 | 00:35 | 1.94\% |
| 4:00 PM | 4:26:49 PM | 30:00 | 30:30 | 00:30 | 1.67\% |
| 4:30 PM | 4:51:56 PM | 30:00 | 25:07 | 04:53 | 16.28\% |
| 5:00 PM | 5:23:09 PM | 30:00 | 31:13 | 01:13 | 4.06\% |
| 5:30 PM | 5:53:04 PM | 30:00 | 29:55 | 00:05 | 0.28\% |
| 6:00 PM | 6:25:51 PM | 30:00 | 32:47 | 02:47 | 9.28\% |
| 6:30 PM | 6:55:17 PM | 30:00 | 29:26 | 00:34 | 1.89\% |
| 7:00 PM | 7:21:13 PM | 30:00 | 25:56 | 04:04 | 13.56\% |
| 7:30 PM | 7:50:28 PM | 30:00 | 29:15 | 00:45 | 2.50\% |
| 8:00 PM | 8:23:08 PM | 30:00 | 32:40 | 02:40 | 8.89\% |

## Saturday Southbound Headway Separation Analysis - Headway Separation at U.S. 1 and Ladson Lane

| REX Line Weekday Southbound Service Headway Separation at U.S. 1 and Ladson Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Start | Trip Departure @ Ladson Lane | Scheduled Headway Separation | Actual Headway Separation from Previous Trip | Headway Separation Difference | Percent Difference from Scheduled Headway |
| 5:30 AM | 5:51:38 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 6:00 AM | 6:23:52 AM | 30:00 | 32:14 | 02:14 | 7.44\% |
| 6:30 AM | 6:50:53 AM | 30:00 | 27:01 | 02:59 | 9.94\% |
| 7:00 AM | 7:20:19 AM | 30:00 | 29:26 | 00:34 | 1.89\% |
| 7:30 AM | 7:52:17 AM | 30:00 | 31:58 | 01:58 | 6.56\% |
| 8:00 AM | 8:21:26 AM | 30:00 | 29:09 | 00:51 | 2.83\% |
| 8:30 AM | 8:54:49 AM | 30:00 | 33:23 | 03:23 | 11.28\% |
| 9:00 AM | 9:22:24 AM | 30:00 | 27:35 | 02:25 | 8.06\% |
| 9:30 AM | 9:55:25 AM | 30:00 | 33:01 | 03:01 | 10.06\% |
| 10:00 AM | 10:26:27 AM | 30:00 | 31:02 | 01:02 | 3.44\% |
| 10:30 AM | 10:55:45 AM | 30:00 | 29:18 | 00:42 | 2.33\% |
| 11:00 AM | 11:25:57 AM | 30:00 | 30:12 | 00:12 | 0.67\% |
| 11:30 AM | 11:57:25 | 30:00 | 31:28 | 01:28 | 4.89\% |
| 12:00 PM | 12:29:41 PM | 30:00 | 32:16 | 02:16 | 7.56\% |
| 12:30 PM | 12:58:50 PM | 30:00 | 29:09 | 00:51 | 2.83\% |
| 1:00 PM | 1:29:46 PM | 30:00 | 30:56 | 00:56 | 3.11\% |
| 1:30 PM | 1:58:25 PM | 30:00 | 28:39 | 01:21 | 4.50\% |
| 2:00 PM | 2:29:16 PM | 30:00 | 30:51 | 00:51 | 2.83\% |
| 2:30 PM | 2:59:54 PM | 30:00 | 30:38 | 00:38 | 2.11\% |
| 3:00 PM | 3:28:53 PM | 30:00 | 28:59 | 01:01 | 3.39\% |
| 3:30 PM | 3:57:57 PM | 30:00 | 29:04 | 00:56 | 3.11\% |
| 4:00 PM | 4:29:49 PM | 30:00 | 31:52 | 01:52 | 6.22\% |
| 4:30 PM | 4:55:12 PM | 30:00 | 25:23 | 04:37 | 15.39\% |
| 5:00 PM | 5:30:05 PM | 30:00 | 34:53 | 04:53 | 16.28\% |
| 5:30 PM | 6:01:02 PM | 30:00 | 30:57 | 00:57 | 3.17\% |
| 6:00 PM | 6:27:02 PM | 30:00 | 26:00 | 04:00 | 13.33\% |
| 6:30 PM | 7:02:15 PM | 30:00 | 35:13 | 05:13 | 17.39\% |
| 7:00 PM | 7:24:17 PM | 30:00 | 22:02 | 07:58 | 26.56\% |
| 7:30 PM | 7:57:48 PM | 30:00 | 33:31 | 03:31 | 11.72\% |
| 8:00 PM | 8:24:58 PM | 30:00 | 27:10 | 02:50 | 9.44\% |
| 8:30 PM | 8:53:24 PM | 30:00 | 28:26 | 01:34 | 5.22\% |

Sunday Northbound Headway Separation Analysis - Headway Separation at U.S. 1 and Ladson Lane

| REX Line Sunday Northbound Service Headway Separation at U.S. 1 and Ladson Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Start | Trip Departure <br> @ Ladson Lane | Scheduled Headway Separation | Actual Headway Separation from Previous Trip | Headway Separation Difference | Percent Difference from Scheduled Headway |
| 5:00 AM | 5:18:16 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 6:00 AM | 6:19:20 AM | 1:00:00 | 1:01:04 | 01:04 | 1.78\% |
| 7:00 AM | 7:20:03 AM | 1:00:00 | 1:00:43 | 00:43 | 1.19\% |
| 8:00 AM | 8:20:13 AM | 1:00:00 | 1:00:10 | 00:10 | 0.28\% |
| 9:00 AM | 9:19:47 AM | 1:00:00 | 0:59:34 | 00:26 | 0.72\% |
| 10:00 AM | 10:20:46 AM | 1:00:00 | 1:00:59 | 00:59 | 1.64\% |
| 11:00 AM | 11:20:57 AM | 1:00:00 | 1:00:11 | 00:11 | 0.31\% |
| 12:00 PM | 12:20:53 PM | 1:00:00 | 0:59:56 | 00:04 | 0.11\% |
| 1:00 PM | 1:22:05 PM | 1:00:00 | 1:01:12 | 01:12 | 2.00\% |
| 2:00 PM | 2:28:00 PM | 1:00:00 | 1:05:55 | 05:55 | 9.86\% |
| 3:00 PM | 3:20:18 PM | 1:00:00 | 0:52:18 | 07:42 | 12.83\% |
| 4:00 PM | 4:25:09 PM | 1:00:00 | 1:04:51 | 04:51 | 8.08\% |
| 5:00 PM | 5:24:09 PM | 1:00:00 | 0:59:00 | 01:00 | 1.67\% |
| 6:00 PM | 6:20:38 PM | 1:00:00 | 0:56:29 | 03:31 | 5.86\% |
| 7:00 PM | 7:21:50 PM | 1:00:00 | 1:01:12 | 01:12 | 2.00\% |
| 8:00 PM | 8:17:26 PM | 1:00:00 | 0:55:36 | 04:24 | 7.33\% |

Sunday Southbound Headway Separation Analysis - Headway Separation at U.S. 1 and Ladson Lane

| REX Line Sunday Southbound Service Headway Separation at U.S. 1 and Ladson Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Start | Trip Departure @ Ladson Lane | Scheduled Headway Separation | Actual Headway Separation from Previous Trip | Headway Separation Difference | Percent Difference from Scheduled Headway |
| 6:00 AM | 6:21:03 AM |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 7:19:54 AM | 1:00 | 0:58:51 | 01:09 | 1.92\% |
| 8:00 AM | 8:22:27 AM | 1:00 | 1:02:33 | 02:33 | 4.25\% |
| 9:00 AM | 9:21:42 AM | 1:00 | 0:59:15 | 00:45 | 1.25\% |
| 10:00 AM | 10:23:26 AM | 1:00 | 1:01:44 | 01:44 | 2.89\% |
| 11:00 AM | 11:21:51 AM | 1:00 | 0:58:25 | 01:35 | 2.64\% |
| 12:00 PM | 12:25:12 PM | 1:00 | 1:03:21 | 03:21 | 5.58\% |
| 1:00 PM | 1:25:30 PM | 1:00 | 1:00:18 | 00:18 | 0.50\% |
| 2:00 PM | 2:26:03 PM | 1:00 | 1:00:33 | 00:33 | 0.92\% |
| 3:00 PM | 3:27:00 PM | 1:00 | 1:00:57 | 00:57 | 1.58\% |
| 4:00 PM | 4:27:06 PM | 1:00 | 1:00:06 | 00:06 | 0.17\% |
| 5:00 PM | 5:29:42 PM | 1:00 | 1:02:36 | 02:36 | 4.33\% |
| 6:00 PM | 18:26:56 | 1:00 | 0:57:14 | 02:46 | 4.61\% |
| 7:00 PM | 7:24:06 PM | 1:00 | 0:57:10 | 02:50 | 4.72\% |
| 8:00 PM | 8:26:18 PM | 1:00 | 1:02:12 | 02:12 | 3.67\% |

