

Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan



DOCUMENT NUMBER:

4100-1-01/06

VERSION

6.0

RELEASE DATE:

December 31, 2025

CONTENT OWNER:

Department of Safety
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority
300 7th St., SW Washington, DC 20024
<https://wmata.com/rider-guide/safety/>



This page intentionally left blank



Concurrences and Approvals
WMATA Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan

4100-1-01/06

Jayme M Johnson

Jayme M Johnson (Sep 9, 2025 09:02:40 EDT)

Jayme M. Johnson
Executive Vice President &
Chief Safety and Readiness
Officer

Andrew B Off

Andrew B Off (Sep 10, 2025 11:38:28 EDT)

Andrew B. Off
Deputy General Manager

Leroy M Jones

Leroy M Jones (Sep 11, 2025 09:04:18 EDT)

Leroy M. Jones
Executive Vice President &
Chief Operations Officer

Theresa M. Impastato

Theresa M. Impastato (Sep 9, 2025 09:04:19 EDT)

Theresa M. Impastato
Executive Vice President &
Chief Infrastructure Officer

Kristie Swink Benson

Kristie Swink Benson (Sep 11, 2025 12:59:29 EDT)

Kristie Benson
Executive Vice President &
Chief Planning and Performance
Officer

Sarah Meyer

Sarah Meyer (Sep 11, 2025 09:13:31 EDT)

Sarah Meyer
Executive Vice President &
Chief Experience and
Engagement Officer

Yetunde Olumide

Yetunde Olumide (Sep 10, 2025 12:14:22 EDT)

Yetunde Olumide
Executive Vice President &
Chief Financial Officer

Sherri Dickerson

Sherri Dickerson (Sep 10, 2025 10:49:13 EDT)

Sherri Dickerson
Executive Vice President &
Chief People Officer

Judd L. Nicholson

Judd L. Nicholson (Sep 10, 2025 11:54:37 EDT)

Judd L. Nicholson
Executive Vice President &
Chief Digital Officer

Thomas Webster

Thomas Webster (Sep 10, 2025 18:31:58 EDT)

Thomas Webster
Executive Vice President &
Chief Planning and Performance
Officer


Provided in Appendix F

Randy S. Clarke
General Manager &
Chief Executive Officer



Table of Contents

Safety Management Policy Letter	1
1.0 General Requirements	2
1.1 Transit Agency Information	2
1.2 Plan Development, Approval, and Updates	3
1.3 Safety Performance Targets	5
1.3.1 Safety Performance Target Setting Methodology and Timeline	6
1.3.2 Safety Performance Targets	6
1.3.3 System Reliability Targets	7
1.4 Risk Reduction Program	7
1.4.1 Visibility for Transit Operators	7
1.4.2 Transit Worker Assaults	8
1.5 Employee Safety Reporting Program	10
1.5.1 Employee Safety Reporting Program Engagement	11
1.6 Necessary Authorities, Accountabilities, and Responsibilities	12
1.6.1 Accountable Executive	13
1.6.2 SMS Executive	14
1.6.3 Agency Leadership and Executive Management	18
1.6.4 Key Staff	19
1.6.5 Safety Committees	20
1.7 Development and Implementation of a Safety Management System	23
2.0 Safety Management Policy	24
2.1 Safety Management Policy Statement	25
2.2 Communication of Safety Management Policy	26
3.0 Safety Risk Management	26
3.1 Safety Risk Management Process	27
3.1.1 Safety Hazard Identification	28
3.1.2 Safety Risk Assessment	29
3.1.3 Safety Risk Mitigation	31
3.2 Ongoing Management of Safety Risk	32
3.2.1 Operational Safety Risk Management	33
3.2.2 Occupational Safety and Health Risk Management	33
3.2.3 Safety Certification	33
3.2.4 Environmental Risk Management	33
3.2.5 Hazard and Safety Risk Information	34
3.3 Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan	35



3.3.1	Infectious Disease Mitigation.....	36
3.4	Emergency Risk Management.....	36
3.5	Management of Change.....	36
3.6	Continuous Improvement.....	37
3.7	Corrective Action Plans.....	37
4.0	Safety Assurance	39
4.1	Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement	39
4.1.1	Monitoring Operations and Maintenance Procedures	40
4.1.2	Monitoring of Operational Safety Risk Mitigations	40
4.1.3	Safety Investigations.....	42
4.1.4	Information Monitoring.....	43
5.0	Safety Promotion.....	44
5.1	Competencies and Training.....	45
5.1.1	Employee Safety Training.....	45
5.1.2	Safety Rules and Procedures Training	46
5.1.3	Contractor Safety	47
5.1.4	Training Recordkeeping.....	48
5.1.5	Compliance with Training Requirements	48
5.1.6	SMS-specific Training Requirements.....	48
5.2	Safety Communication	49
5.2.1	Direct Staff communication.....	49
6.0	Documentation	50
	Appendix A – SMS Strategic Roadmap.....	C
	Appendix B – Definitions	1
	Appendix C – Acronyms	1
	Appendix D – Safety-related Training by Group.....	1
	Appendix E – JLMSC ASP Approval Record.....	1
	Appendix F – PTASP General Manager Certification	1
	Appendix G – WMATA Board Resolution Record.....	1
	Appendix H – PTASP SSOA Approval.....	1
	Appendix I – ASP Revision History	1



Safety Management Policy Letter

Dear Colleagues,

Metro's mission is to move the region through safe, equitable, reliable, and cost-effective public transportation. At Metro, safety is a core value and to accomplish our mission we are committed to fostering a culture of safety and implementing an industry-leading Safety Management System (SMS) to proactively manage and mitigate our safety risks.

By embracing the SMS and actively participating in its implementation, we can collectively work towards our goal of achieving and maintaining the highest standards of safety at Metro. Our commitment to safety is unwavering, and we are confident that by working together we can create a safe transit system for our passengers and a safe working environment for our employees.

To continue advancing safety throughout Metro, we reaffirm our commitment to the four key principles of our Safety Management Policy, which defines what being safe at Metro really means to us.

- 1) We **understand our top safety risks**, what is being done about them, and how well it's working.
- 2) We take **proactive** action to reduce safety risks and **prevent** incidents from occurring.
- 3) We **apply lessons learned** from our performance and make continuous safety improvements.
- 4) We are **encouraged and empowered to voice safety concerns** across all levels of the organization using Metro's safety reporting programs, without fear of reprisal.

By living these principles, we help keep our customers, each other, and our assets free from harm and deliver on our promise to provide the region with safe, equitable, reliable, and cost-effective public transportation. Thank you for your ongoing commitment to safety and for your vital contributions to our Safety Management System.

Jayme M Johnson

Jayme M Johnson (Sep 9, 2025 09:02:22 EDT)

Jayme M. Johnson

Executive Vice President &
Chief Safety and Readiness
Officer

Leroy M Jones

Leroy M Jones (Sep 11, 2025 09:05:13 EDT)

Leroy M. Jones

Executive Vice President &
Chief Operations Officer

Theresa M. Impastato

Theresa M. Impastato (Sep 9, 2025 09:03:34 EDT)

Theresa M. Impastato

Executive Vice President & Chief
Infrastructure Officer

Yetunde Olumide

Yetunde Olumide (Sep 10, 2025 12:13:15 EDT)

Yetunde Olumide

Executive Vice President &
Chief Financial Officer

Judd L. Nicholson

Judd L. Nicholson (Sep 9, 2025 12:39:50 EDT)

Judd L. Nicholson

Executive Vice President & Chief
Digital Officer

Thomas Webster

Thomas Webster (Sep 10, 2025 18:32:42 EDT)

Thomas Webster

Executive Vice President &
Chief Planning and Performance Officer

Kristie Swink Benson

Kristie Swink Benson (Sep 11, 2025 12:37:08 EDT)

Kristie Benson

Executive Vice President &
Chief Communications Officer

Sarah Meyer

Sarah Meyer (Sep 11, 2025 10:29:14 EDT)

Sarah Meyer

Executive Vice President & Chief
Customer Officer

Sherri Dickerson

Sherri Dickerson (Sep 11, 2025 17:23:46 EDT)

Sherri Dickerson

Executive Vice President &
Chief People Officer

Andrew B Off

Andrew B Off (Sep 10, 2025 11:36:37 EDT)

Andrew B. Off

Deputy General Manager

Randy Clarke

Randy Clarke (Oct 1, 2025 09:30:24 EDT)

Randy S. Clarke

General Manager &
Chief Executive Officer

1.0 General Requirements

The Federal Transit Administration's (FTA) 49 CFR Part 673 *Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan*, Subpart C *Safety Management Systems* provides the minimum requirements for development of a Safety Management System (SMS) for large urbanized areas. In addition, 49 CFR Part 674 *State Safety Oversight* and the Washington Metrorail Safety Commission's (WMSC) Program Standard provide specific requirements to which the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (hereafter Metro) Metrorail is required to comply.

Metro's Agency Safety Plan (ASP) addresses all applicable requirements and standards as set forth in 49 CFR 673.11(a)(4). The general requirements reflected in this section include providing specific Transit Agency Information; the timeline associated with ASP development, updates, and approvals; reference to the planning associated with emergency preparedness and response; specific safety performance targets; and an overview of the SMS Strategic Roadmap.

Metro's ASP outlines our organization's planned programmatic initiatives as well as our future milestones to continuously improve the safety of our personnel and the riding public in the Washington Metropolitan Region. Our near and mid-term milestones include continuing to advance SMS processes; increasing frontline transit worker involvement; and addressing safety risks through increased awareness and engagement.

This document is a plan, which, by definition, is aspirational in parts as Metro moves towards full implementation of its SMS.

1.1 Transit Agency Information

Transit Agency Name	Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA)		
Transit Agency Address	300 7 th Street, SW Washington, DC 20024		
Name and Title of Accountable Executive	Randy S. Clarke, General Manager & Chief Executive Officer		
Name and Title of Chief Safety & Readiness Officer	Jayme M. Johnson, Executive Vice President and Chief Safety & Readiness Officer		
Authority for State Safety Oversight Program	Washington Metrorail Safety Commission		
Mode(s) of Service Covered by This Plan	Rail, Bus, and Paratransit	List All FTA Funding Types (e.g., 5307, 5337, 5339)	5307, 5340, 5337, 5339, 5310
Mode(s) of Service Provided by the Transit Agency	Directly operated: Rail and Bus Contracted service: Paratransit (automobile)		

The General Manager and Chief Executive Officer GM & CEO (hereafter GM & CEO) is ultimately accountable for ensuring that Metro's SMS is implemented throughout the agency in accordance with this ASP. Additionally, the GM & CEO is accountable for ensuring action is taken to address substandard performance in Metro's SMS when required. The Executive Vice President (EVP) and Chief Safety & Readiness Officer (EVP/CSRO) has been designated by GM & CEO and reports to the Deputy General Manager. The EVP/CSRO is a safety professional that has been adequately trained, holding both the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) Transit Safety and Security Program (TSSP) and Public Transportation Safety Certification Training Program (PTSCTP) certificates (among other credentials). The EVP/CSRO has been empowered with the responsibility for day-to-day implementation and operation of Metro's SMS. The EVP/CSRO does not serve in any other operational or maintenance capacities.

1.2 Plan Development, Approval, and Updates

Name of Entity That Drafted This Plan	Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority	
Approval by Joint Labor and Management Safety Committee (JLMSC)	Voting Record	Date of Approval
	Refer to Appendix E – JLMSC ASP Approval Record	August 29, 2025
Signature by the Accountable Executive	Signature of Accountable Executive	Date of Signature
	Refer to Appendix F – PTASP General Manager Certification	September 30, 2025
Approval by the Board of Directors or an Equivalent Authority	Name of Individual/Entity That Approved This Plan	Date of Approval
	Board of Director's Resolution	September 25, 2025
	Relevant Documentation (Title and Location)	
	Refer to Appendix G – WMATA Board Resolution Record	
Approval by the SSOA	Name of Individual/Entity That Approve This Plan	Date of Approval
	Washington Metrorail Safety Commission	October 21, 2025
	Relevant Documentation (Title and Location)	
	Refer to Appendix H – PTASP SSOA	

The timeline of the Annual Review and Update cycle of the Agency Safety Plan is presented below.

Timeline	Activity	Description
January 1 to December 31	ASP available on Metro's intranet for comment.	The Director of Safety Policy and Promotion ensures the document is posted, the comment form is available, and ensures the opportunity to review and provide feedback. All comments are logged on the Department of Safety's SharePoint site.
March 1 to April 15	Conduct ASP General Review	The Director of Safety Policy and Promotion performs an inventory of inputs (comments received, investigations, policy changes, Corrective Action Plans (CAPs), risk mitigations, Safety Committees, Safety Risk Coordinators (SRCs), etc. to ensure interconnected policies and procedures, etc., that may be affected are addressed.
April 15 to May 15	WMATA Wide Comment Period; JLMSC Review of ASP	The draft ASP revision is posted on the Metro intranet for all employees to review and comment. The draft ASP revision is reviewed with the JLMSC.
May 1 to May 30	WMATA prepares ASP Draft; Initiate WMSC Review Cycles	The Director of Safety Policy and Promotion drafts the revision of the ASP and initiates review cycles with WMSC Staff to obtain "Conditional Approval".
June 1 to June 30	WMSC Review Cycles	Continued review cycles with WMSC Staff to obtain "Conditional Approval" of ASP.
July 1 to July 31	Finalize ASP Revision	The Director of Safety Policy and Promotion conducts a final review to ensure all feedback has been addressed. Conditional approval from the WMSC is secured at the end of this review period and no further material changes are made
August 1 to August 31	JLMSC Approval by 8/15; Executive Safety Committee (ESC) Approval	The JLMSC reviews and approves the ASP. The ESC reviews and approves the ASP.
September 1 to September 30	WMATA Executive and Board Approval	The GM & CEO then approves the ASP, and it is submitted to the Board for review and approval.
October 1 to October 31	WMSC Board Approval	After securing approval from Metro's Board. The ASP is submitted to the WMSC for approval.

November 1 to December 31	ASP Revision Published; Self Certification with FTA	The Program Manager for Safety Promotions distributes and promotes the latest ASP and ensures SMS training, New Employee Orientation, etc. are updated.
---------------------------	---	---

The Director of Safety Policy and Promotion ensures that the Funds and Grants office has a copy of the approved ASP to support the FTA's triennial reviews and the annual certification and assurances process.

If changes occur that affect the roles and responsibilities described in this ASP, then any changes will be communicated to the agency through an administrative notice, which will also be shared with the WMSC and other stakeholders. Assigned roles and responsibilities in the ASP will remain as stated, until the ASP annual review cycle runs its course. All applicable changes will be incorporated in the next annual review process and approved by the JLMSC, ESC, GM & CEO, and the Metro Board.

The full history of changes for each version of this ASP is available in Appendix I – ASP Revision History.

1.3 Safety Performance Targets

In coordination with the Department of Safety and the Office of Performance and Global Benchmarking, departments establish internal safety performance targets, which feed into the overall targets established for Metro. The targets are developed in alignment with the safety performance measures established by the FTA's *National Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan*, as required by 49 CFR 673.11(a)(3), (a)(4), and (7)(iii), specifically:

- **Fatalities:** Total number of fatalities (deaths confirmed within 30 days) reported to the National Transit Database (NTD), excluding fatalities related to illnesses, drug overdoses, or other natural causes. The rate is calculated per total Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) by mode and broken out by person type.
- **Injuries:** Number of customer and transit worker injuries reported to the NTD broken out by person type, excluding injuries resulting from personal security events, such as assaults. This includes both major (an NTD reportable criteria has been met, such as damage above \$25,000) and minor (all others involving transport away from the scene due to injury, such as a slip/trip/fall on an escalator) events. The rate is calculated per total VRM by mode and broken out by person type.
- **Major Events:** Includes all safety and security major events as defined by the NTD. The rate is calculated per total VRM by mode.
 - **Collision Rate:**
 - Pedestrian Collision Rate: Include collisions “with a person” as defined by the NTD. The rate will be calculated per total Vehicle Revenue Miles by mode
 - Vehicular Collision Rate: This includes all collisions “with a motor vehicle” as defined by the NTD. The rate will be calculated per total Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) by mode
 - **Major Safety Events:** Total number of safety events (excluding personal security events) that meet the NTD reporting threshold. Rate is calculated per total VRM by mode.

- **Assaults:** In accordance with the requirements introduced by 49 CFR 673.11(a)(7)(iii), assaults upon on transit workers that meet the NTD major and minor reporting threshold has been incorporated. Rate is calculated per total VRM by mode.
- **System Reliability:** Mean distance between major mechanical failures by mode. The NTD defines a major mechanical system failure as a failure of some mechanical element of the revenue vehicle that prevents the vehicle from completing a scheduled revenue trip or starting the next scheduled revenue trip because vehicle movement is limited or due to safety concerns. For Rail, all mechanical failures are included in the measure.

1.3.1 Safety Performance Target Setting Methodology and Timeline

Safety performance targets are set in alignment with FTA's guidance as specified in the 49 CFR 673, *Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan*. Performance baselines have been established for each target, which is informed by Metro's performance over the past 36 months.

The Department of Safety and Office of Performance and Global Benchmarking, within the Department of Planning & Performance, subsequently worked with Metro leadership to develop target recommendations, factoring in previous performance, the strategic priorities for FY2026, external trends, and available resources. For measures where data was available, comparable performance information is collected from peer transit agencies to provide additional context. All safety targets aim to continue to improve performance relative to current or historical levels.

Safety Performance Target Setting Timeline	
Action	Date
Performance coordinates with Department of Safety to draft the safety performance targets for the following fiscal year	April
Metro rail, Metrobus, and MetroAccess review, finalize, and approve target proposals. JLMSC and ESC reviews and approves the safety performance targets	May
WMSC reviews as part of annual ASP submission	July
The Transportation Planning Board at the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (MWCOC) reviews and provides concurrence.	July

Following submission by Metro, MWCOC coordinates the safety performance targets with the jurisdictional partners (Virginia, Maryland, and Washington, D.C.). Metro will coordinate, to the maximum extent practicable, with the State and Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) to support the selection of safety performance targets.

Failure to meet a safety performance target would require Metro to allocate its safety set-aside in the following fiscal year to safety-related projects eligible under 49 U.S.C. § 5307 that are reasonably likely to assist the transit agency in meeting the safety performance target in the future, in accordance to 49 U.S.C. 5329(d).

1.3.2 Safety Performance Targets

Metro's safety performance targets are established based on incident rates and, where applicable, converted to counts based on anticipated vehicle revenue miles. The ongoing implementation of the strategy outlined in this ASP reflects Metro's commitment to meet these targets and continuously improve safety outcomes. To help achieve this, Metro aims to create an environment that consistently yields positive outcomes, reducing safety events and injuries.

Category	Metric	Bus Target	Rail Target	MetroAccessTarget
Fatalities	Fatalities (Count and Rate*)	0	0	0
	Transit Worker Fatality Rate*	0	0	0
Injuries	Customer Injury Rate*	56.7	15.9	9.3
	Transit Worker Injury Rate*	22.9	8.7	6.3
	Overall Injury Rate*	79.2	24.6	15.3
	Overall Injury Count	305	288	28
NTD Major Events	Major Event Rate*	79.2	10.6	24.4
	Major Event Count	305	124	45
	Collision Rate*	58.8	1.7	23.9
	Collision Count	226	20	44
	Pedestrian Collision Rate*	4.5	0.89	2.9
	Vehicular Collision Rate*	53.1	0.49	21.6
Assaults	Assault on Transit Workers Rate*	59.1	46.5	0
	Assault on Transit Workers Count	227	545	0

**per 10 million vehicle revenue miles*

1.3.3 System Reliability Targets

Maintaining the system in a State of Good Repair is the foundation for Metro to produce consistent, repeatable outcomes that create an environment conducive to a safe experience. Target setting has continuously improved in this area with the calculations based on mean distance between failure standardized across all three modes.

MetroAccess is expecting to release new vehicles from the fleet into service, which is temporarily expected to decrease the mean distance between failure, explaining the improvement from current performance.

Metric	Bus Target	Rail Target	MetroAccess Target
System Reliability (Mean Distance Between Failure)	6,400	32,000	24,000

1.4 Risk Reduction Program

As Metro is a large, urbanized agency that receives Section 5307 funding, it has developed a risk reduction program to improve safety by reducing the number and rates of safety events, injuries, and assaults on transit workers. Many of these efforts are detailed in *Safety Risk Reduction Plan*, 4800-2-12, including the investment in Safety Risk Coordinators (SRCs), the establishment of Safety Committees, and improvements in safety data and performance monitoring. Specific efforts on two high-priority areas are detailed below.

1.4.1 Visibility for Transit Operators

Metro is actively working to reduce the number of vehicular and pedestrian safety events involving buses and trains, specifically focusing on measures to reduce visibility obstructions for bus operators.

- **Collision Avoidance System:** Metro is reviewing the feasibility of a collision avoidance system that may be retrofitted into parts of the bus fleet. This system aims to reduce safety events by providing advanced warning and assistance to bus operators in situations where collisions with pedestrians or objects are likely to occur.
- **Relocation of Transit Control Head:** The device that provides real-time performance information to bus drivers is being relocated to a position that eliminates the need for operators to look over their shoulders. By placing the Transit Control Head in a more convenient and ergonomically suitable location, Metro aims to enhance visibility and reduce the chances of safety events.

1.4.2 Transit Worker Assaults

Metro believes that any physical or verbal assault is one too many and has developed mitigation plans to reduce these incidents, listed in the table below. The Department of Safety's Office of Safety Assurance monitors effectiveness of these mitigation plans through metrics and detailed analysis. Since fiscal year 2023, Metro introduced a new metric to monitor assaults, namely the number of NTD reportable assaults per 10 million vehicle revenue miles. These trends and the associated mitigation plans are shared monthly in various forums, such as MetroSTAT, RailSTAT, BusSTAT, the ESC and the JLMSC.

Mitigation	Description
Bus shields	Bus shields, a physical barrier between the Bus Operator and potential assailants, have been installed on all Metro buses. While the impact of bus shields is difficult to measure quantitatively, their efficacy has been demonstrated through experience and the evaluation of reports. They have proven instrumental in safeguarding bus operators by reducing the number and severity of assaults.
De-escalation training	Metro provides <i>De-Escalation and Stress Management Training</i> to transit workers. This program is designed to equip participants with essential skills to effectively manage their mindset, enhance awareness, improve communication abilities, de-escalate challenging situations, and foster personal resilience. By focusing on these critical areas, the training empowers employees to navigate potentially tense interactions with customers, promoting a safer and more secure environment for all parties involved.
Closed-Circuit Television (CCTV)	Metro has a robust CCTV system across its network, aiming to deter incidents and facilitate the resolution of assaults involving customers and employees. The installation and ongoing maintenance of this system are prioritized. All buses are equipped with audio and video recording capabilities, and to further deter unwanted behaviors, most are equipped with monitors that make patrons aware of being under surveillance. The 7000-series cars, and all future trains will have video surveillance in each car. Furthermore, the stations and facilities are monitored by over 8,000 cameras, with recorded footage serving as valuable evidence for investigators.
Community outreach	Metro remains committed to actively engaging with the community and providing ongoing outreach and education regarding fare policy and policing. Since 2022, MTPD has engaged in a comprehensive re-education program that involved the distribution of informative pamphlets on fare enforcement, an extensive media campaign and an increased presence of MTPD

	personnel near fare gates, among other activities. The adherence to fare policies creates an environment of shared responsibility and improved overall compliance within the transit system.
Increased penalty for assaulting operators	Metro has established a valuable partnership with DC and Prince George's Crime Solvers, permitting MTPD to access the assistance of Crime Solvers to offer rewards, with a potential value of up to \$1,000, for information that leads to the arrest of individuals responsible for assaulting Metro employees. To raise awareness and encourage community involvement, Crime Solvers flyers have been distributed throughout the transit system. Also, in 2024 the DC Council passed the "Secure DC Omnibus Emergency Amendment Act of 2024" which includes tougher penalties for transit worker assaults in the District of Columbia, including increased fines and imprisonment.
Targeted inspections, Increased presence, Helping Hands	Metro has taken proactive measures to enhance visibility and presence in high crime stations and bus routes by targeted deployment of uniformed and plainclothes officers through a program called "Helping Hands." Officers contact employees in the field to check on their welfare and observe buses, train cars and stations. In addition, the MTPD has strategically stationed the Special Response Team in a manner that allows for a faster response to emergency incidents that may involve an active threat.
Metro Integrated Command and Communications Center (MICC)	MICC supports prompt response to transit worker assaults by using technology (e.g. DriveCam, CCTV, emergency alarms, etc.) and streamlined communications protocols to monitor, evaluate and direct first responders and/or staff support to a situation. MICC's Bus Section uses DriveCam to provide additional information to first responders. MICC's Rail Section through Video and Communications relies on closed circuit television and voice control systems to deploy Supervisors and Police in response to Emergency personnel rounding out a total connection to buses, trains, stations, and personnel.
Policing Differently: Your Metro	MTPD operates under the guiding philosophy of Problem-Oriented Policing, utilizing the SARA Model (Scanning, Analysis, Response, Assessment) to address various areas and activities within the transit system. Through this problem-solving approach, MTPD has identified specific issues and developed targeted projects to address them. Some of these projects have achieved their goals and have been successfully closed, while others remain ongoing as part of the continuous improvement process. All MTPD members and officials have undergone comprehensive SARA training, underscoring the significance of this approach as one of the core principles driving the MTPD's operations.
Crisis Intervention Specialists	MTPD Crisis Intervention Specialists are Crisis Intervention Team-trained civilians who work to provide support and guidance to individuals who may be experiencing crisis in Metro's system. They often work alongside MTPD in a co-responder role when necessary and are under the supervision of a Lieutenant and Sergeant while on duty. Their responsibilities include assessment of the nature and extent of the crisis to decide the best course of action, immediate intervention to provide short-term assistance, referral to other services for long-term support, and short-term follow up to ensure the

	individual is making use of the resources and to assess if additional help is needed.
Partnerships with other Police Departments	Metro has strong partnerships with our jurisdictional law enforcement partners. The MTPD attends regular jurisdictional law enforcement meetings pertaining to intelligence, events and strategies. The MTPD is also on a variety of committees hosted by the Council of Governments. The MTPD has entered into Memoranda of Agreement with several jurisdictional police agencies to provide their officers to patrol metro stations during morning and evening rush hours, as their staffing allows.
Environmental design	Metro utilizes design best practices in the built and natural environment to prevent crime. Notably, through SARA projects, significant improvements have been made to enhance safety. This includes the augmentation of lighting at L'Enfant Plaza, the installation of light towers at various stations such as Fort Totten and College Park, and the utilization of visual message boards. Additionally, Metro has been actively engaged in the redesign of fare gates, strategically placing live monitors, and incorporating innovative measures to promote security and deter criminal activity.

1.5 Employee Safety Reporting Program

Metro has multiple avenues by which employees and contractors can report safety concerns, risks, and hazards. All hazard reports are properly documented by the receiving party, no matter the source. The process for coordinating a response to an employee reported safety concern is detailed in the 4132-3-01/01 *Safety Reporting Process: Voluntary Safety Reporting Program* (VSRP). Investigations of hazards are properly documented per Policy Instruction (P/I) 10.4 *Incident and Accident Investigation* and distributed according to that P/I and supporting procedures.

Metro's SMS requires all employees and contractors to identify hazards, mitigate them immediately if possible, and to report them. This includes reporting a Close Call when involved directly or witnessing a near-miss incident, or if a reported safety concern persists. Metro strives for a culture where employees and contractors feel comfortable verbally reporting their safety concerns to their direct supervisor, senior management, SRC, or Department of Safety personnel as soon as they are discovered. However, there may be circumstances where employees and contractors prefer reporting outside their chain of command to maintain confidentiality or anonymity, and out of concern for retaliation. Metro's Safety Management Policy specifically prohibits retaliation, but the concern may still exist. Consequently, the following reporting methods are available:


- **VSRP Online Reporting:** Employees may submit a safety concern through an online submission form. The reported concern is then reviewed by the Office of Safety Risk Management and assigned to the most appropriate SRC for response and action based on the content of the submission. Upon receipt, the SRC follows their departmental Safety Risk Management Process to identify the Safety Risk and to develop mitigations to appropriately mitigate the Safety Risk as low as reasonably practicable. This process is documented in Origami, Metro's Safety Risk Management Software, to track, monitor, and report out on the response.
- **Verbal Reporting:** Employees may report any perceived safety issue or hazard to a Facility Safety Committee (FSC) or Department Safety Committee (DSC) member. It is then routed to the Department's SRC to follow the departmental Safety Risk Management Process. FSCs document Facility-based hazards on a Hazard Log, where they are managed, and

tracked to completion. Department-wide issues or hazards are escalated to the DSC, which are documented in Origami, and tracked to completion.

- **VSRP Phone Reporting:** The Safety Hotline is available for reporting safety concerns 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Reports can be made by calling 202-249-SAFE (7233) or by accessing the online form on the Department of Safety's page on Metro's intranet (Refer to SOP 4132-3-01/01 *Safety Reporting Process: Voluntary Safety Reporting Program* for more information). Once reported to the Safety Hotline, the Safety Information Officer (SIO) documents the information in Origami. The reported concern is then reviewed by the Office of Safety Risk Management and assigned to the most appropriate SRC. Upon receipt, the SRC follows their departmental Safety Risk Management Process to identify the Safety Risk and to develop mitigations to appropriately mitigate the Safety Risk as low as reasonably practicable. This process is documented in Origami, Metro's Safety Risk Management Software, to track, monitor, and report out on the response.
- **Customer Concerns:** The Office of Customer Service, Communications & Marketing (CSCM) can either enter Safety Concerns into the Safety Hotline or by forwarding to the Office of Safety Risk Management. These concerns are either received directly from CSCM or through social media. Actions taken are reported to CSCM for response to customers.
- **Internal Concerns:** The Office of Inspector General (OIG) may forward safety concerns to the Department of Safety. If anonymity has been requested, this will be maintained by OIG and the Department of Safety. Personnel from the Office of Safety Risk Management will review the concern and coordinate with the responsible departmental SRC to implement mitigations. If an investigation is required (either deemed required by the Department of Safety or requested by the OIG), the resulting iCAPAs will be coordinated with the responsible departmental SRC for action. These iCAPAs are then documented by the Department of Safety along with all other RCAs in Metro's Safety Universal Data System with the results reported back to the OIG.
- **Confidential Close Call Reporting Program (C3RP):** Employees may report a close call (either over the phone at 1-888-568-2377 or online) when they are involved directly or witness a near-miss incident, or if a reported safety concern persists. Under the program, the employee reports their safety concern to a third-party data broker. The reporting employee's information is kept confidential with the federal law Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act (CIPSEA), and Metro management does not receive any identifying information from the third-party. Employee behaviors that do not qualify for protection from discipline under the Close Call Program are detailed in the program Memorandum of Understanding for the Confidential Close Call Transit Safety Reporting System for the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority. If an employee feels that retaliation has taken place as a result of reporting a safety concern, they are encouraged to work with their labor representatives (if represented) or the Department of People, Culture, and Inclusion (if non-represented). Policies related to employee conduct have been established to ensure a fair and consistent review that reflects Metro's commitment to protect employees from retaliation.

1.5.1 Employee Safety Reporting Program Engagement

SRCs respond to the safety-related reports received. Outcomes are shared directly with employees and contractors, through employee communications (such as articles in MetroVoices Wire Newsletter, Safety Bulletins, and Safety Alerts), on the Department of Safety site on Metroweb, and through other safety promotional campaigns. Employees may also view Metro's hazard report to view the risks being actively managed at Metro and in their department and progress towards addressing and mitigating their concerns.



Hazard Report

1.6 Necessary Authorities, Accountabilities, and Responsibilities

The scope and magnitude of an SMS encompasses the entire agency. Consequently, the success of an SMS depends on support from across Metro. At a minimum, every employee and contractor at Metro has the responsibility to report safety concerns when they are identified. This responsibility includes actively engaging in the processes described in Section 3, *Safety Risk Management*, of this ASP.

This section expands on the Necessary Authorities, Accountabilities, and Responsibilities identified in P/I 10.8 *Safety Management Policy*. The following Responsible (R), Accountable (A), Consulted (C), and Informed (I) matrix summarizes the support required from Metro leadership and key staff to ensure the successful establishment and implementation of SMS. The ensuing sub-sections expand on the matrix to outline the specific needs identified for each position.

	General Requirements	Safety Policy	Safety Risk Management	Safety Assurance	Safety Promotion
Accountable Executive: General Manager and Chief Executive Officer	A	A	A	A	A
SMS Executive: Executive Vice President and Chief Safety and Readiness Officer	R	R	R	R	R
Agency Leadership and Executive Management					
Deputy General Manager	R	R	R	R	R

Executive Vice President and Chief Operations Officer	R	R	R	R	R
Executive Vice President and Chief Infrastructure Officer	R	R	R	R	R
Executive Vice President and Chief Digital Officer	C	C	R	R	R
Executive Vice President and Chief Planning and Performance Officer	C	C	C	C	C
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	C	C	C	C	C
Executive Vice President and Chief Communications Officer	C	C	C	C	C
Executive Vice President and Chief Customer Officer	C	C	C	C	C
Executive Vice President and Chief People Officer	C	C	C	C	R
Key Staff					
Senior Vice President of Safety	R	R	R	R	R
Department Accountable Leader	R	C	R	R	C
Director of Safety Policy and Promotion	R	R	C	C	R
Safety Risk Coordinators	C	R	R	R	R

1.6.1 Accountable Executive

The GM & CEO is Metro's Accountable Executive. The GM & CEO is ultimately accountable for ensuring that the SMS is implemented throughout Metro in accordance with this ASP. Additionally, the GM & CEO is accountable for ensuring action is taken to address sub-standard performance in Metro's SMS when required.

Metro's GM & CEO meets the FTA criteria for the Accountable Executive designation:

- Has the final authority over Metrorail, Metrobus and MetroAccess operations and maintenance
- Controls the financial resources required for the operations and maintenance of Metro's public transportation system
- Controls the human resources required for the operations and maintenance of Metro's public transportation systems

As the Accountable Executive, the GM & CEO has the following responsibilities for ensuring the SMS:


- Is properly implemented and performed throughout Metro, including employee reporting programs
- Is actively, continuously and visibly communicating the importance of safety throughout Metro

- Is implemented in a manner that ensures that all executive level personnel are held responsible for their spheres of control; and each actively and continuously communicates the Metro SMS Policy and the area-specific SMS requirements to all employees in their areas
- Is the signatory to this ASP and the *Transit Asset Management Plan*, and is responsible to know and understand the contents of both documents
- Is appropriately addressed system wide; and participates actively with the Executive Safety Committee to guide Metro in safety and risk management, understand all risks at the agency, actively directs resource allocation activities and monitors safety performance of all areas
- Directs all required actions to mitigate SMS non-compliances, unacceptable and undesirable risks and implement continuous improvement activities

1.6.2 SMS Executive

Metro's EVP/CSRO of Safety and Readiness has been designated by the GM & CEO and reports to the Deputy General Manager. The EVP/CSRO is a safety professional who has been adequately trained, holding both the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) Transit Safety and Security Program (TSSP) and Public Transportation Safety Certification Program (PTSCTP) certificates (among other credentials). The EVP/CSRO has responsibility for day-to-day operation and maturing of Metro's SMS. The EVP/CSRO leads the Department of Safety Readiness and does not serve in any other operational or maintenance capacities.

The Department of Safety and Readiness contains five offices as described below.



Department of Safety and Readiness Organizational Structure

Occupational Health and Wellness

The Office of Occupational Health and Wellness (OHAW) has primary responsibility for administering a Substance Abuse Testing Program for safety-sensitive employees and contractors in accordance with 49 CFR Part 40, *Procedures for Transportation Workplace Drug and Alcohol Testing Programs*, and 49 CFR Part 655, *Prevention of Alcohol Misuse and Prohibited Drug Use in Transit Operations*. It also provides:

- Continue the implementation of electronic resources to include a comprehensive Drug & Alcohol Compliance database system and an overall Electronic Health Records (EHR) System, which is a multi-year project to efficiently monitor the health and wellness of employees.
- Implement and execute the Employee Assistance Program (EAP) Critical Incident Response Plan to ensure response by skilled Mental Health Clinicians to immediate employee needs, which is included in Metro's Strategic Transformation Plan initiative.
- Health Quality and Compliance is collaborating with multiple inter-connected programs across the authority for the full implementation of the Metro's Fitness for Duty Program. This program will serve to enhance the physical, emotional, and behavioral health & compliance of approximately 8,000 safety-sensitive employees.

Quality Assurance, Internal Compliance and Oversight

This department is an internal management resource to promote transparency and accountability by conducting independent reviews of operational processes; managing all the Policy/Instructions for the agency; tracking and publicly reporting the status of our corrective actions. It also safeguards Metro through implementation of the Quality Management System Plan (QMSP) as the agency's quality standard aligning with both the safety standard, ASP, and Metro's STP. The Department of Quality Assurance, Internal Compliance and Oversight then periodically measures compliance to both the quality and safety standards through compliance audits that measure system compliance and health to these standards. As part of the continuous improvement process, the department identifies:

- Internal Corrective and Preventive Actions (iCAPAs) that are designed to identify root cause, mitigate risk and bring the quality and safety standard items back into compliance.
- Regulatory Corrective Action Plans (CAPs) that are assigned through the WMSC, FTA, NTSB, and other stakeholders are managed and overseen by Quality.
- Opportunities to improve based on the outcome of the Triennial Safety Reviews that are conducted in accordance with 49 CFR 673.

System-Wide Accessibility

This department integrates our American with Disabilities Act (ADA) Policy and Planning office with our office of Eligibility Certification and Outreach work in the region. This streamlined work continues Metro's efforts to continually improve access to our system and effective messaging to the public on their available safe accessibility travel options. Our efforts to address these important initiatives are directly related to the access and usage of our regional transportation system and are only achievable through continued stakeholder engagement, examples include:

- Provide equal access to all our customers in the region, by helping with eligibility and outreach services
- Continuous quality assurance of Metro services to monitor compliance with ADA
- Work with our customers through monthly meetings of the Accessibility Advisory Committee to help address concerns affecting our customers with disabilities and senior citizens.

Technical Training & Development

This department provides skill development and refresher training. This addresses operational readiness through centralized operational, infrastructure, and safety training and certifications across the organization. In the coming year, Technical Training and Development will continue its work to implement organizational improvements, strategic initiatives, and design the future Metro Training Center. While this is a multi-year effort, we are targeting completion of the following milestones:

- Finalize planning and begin to finalize detailed design for the Metro Training Center
- Implement simulator strategy for the integration of interactive and immersive technologies into Metro's training programs.
- Implement Future-State Technical Training and Development Organizational structure

The Department of Safety

This department is responsible for the management of system safety, occupational safety and health, safety event investigation, emergency preparedness, and fire life safety. It performs safety oversight of the following: continuous hazard management process, construction safety, safety certification, safety data collection and analysis, industrial hygiene, safety training, safety program implementation, regulatory compliance, environmental protection, and monitors the implementation of the ASP. The department is organized into four parts that intends to operationalize components of SMS:

Safety Assurance

The Safety Assurance function includes teams for Safety Informatics & Solutions, Safety Investigations, Operations Safety Oversight, and Safety Certification and Engineering.

- The Safety Informatics & Solutions team measures and reports on Metro's SMS effectiveness, tracks performance targets, complies with applicable regulatory reporting requirements, and analyzes data to inform priorities. They use Metro's internally developed Safety Universal Data System to collect the necessary data. They oversee compliance with 49 CFR Part 630 (National Transit Database) and 49 CFR Part 673 (Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan).
- The Safety Investigations team conducts investigations according to specified procedures and manages Recommended Corrective Actions in Metro's Safety Universal Data System for implementation and oversight in accordance with P/I 10.4 *Incident and Accident Investigation, Department of Safety Investigation Procedure* (4131-3-01) and as detailed in Section 4.1.3, Safety Investigations.
- The Operations Safety Oversight team manages compliance and oversees effectiveness of the safety risk management process through monitoring, audits, inspections, and addressing reported concerns. They also respond to reported safety concerns to ensure they are documented in the Safety Universal Data System and coordinated with the responsible department for action. They also oversee safety in Metro construction projects and have the authority to interdict work if safety deficiencies or violations are observed.
- The Safety Certification and Engineering team develops and implements Metro's Safety and Security Certification Program Plan, so that hazards are mitigated throughout the project lifecycle to reduce safety risks and prevent incidents and safety-critical items are identified.

Safety Policy and Promotion

This function provides oversight on SMS implementation overall as well as delivering on two SMS components.

- The Safety Policy and Strategy team maintains the Safety Management Policy, SMS Strategic Roadmap, and this ASP. This includes the SMS Strategic Roadmap's tactical action plans, which are designed to ensure the milestones established are being implemented in a methodical, disciplined manner.
- The Safety Promotion team is responsible for developing and implementing the employee and contractor engagement strategy. This includes targeted campaigns to encourage employee safety reporting, safety communications (such as announcements, alerts, bulletins, hot sheets, videos, and articles in Metro's newsletter, *MetroVoices*), recognition events (such as *MetroAwards*), and SMS trainings.

Safety Risk Management

The Safety Risk Management Function includes the following offices: Operating Practices, Occupational Safety and Health, and Safety Risk Management Program Administration and Environmental Management and Compliance.

- The Operating Practices team maintains Metro Safety Standards and oversees the Rail Safety Standards Committee (RSSC). They coordinate activities related to updating the Metrorail Operating Rules.
- The Occupational Safety and Health team oversees compliance with potentially applicable internal and external safety regulations, which could include 29 CFR Part 1910, *Occupational Safety and Health Standards*. They specialize in industrial hygiene, and occupational safety.
- Safety Risk Management Program administration involves program specialists who facilitate proactive safety risk management across Metro. They oversee safety committees, employee safety reporting, and SRC development and training. The team facilitates hazard and risk assessments.
- The Environmental Management and Compliance Team conducts Environmental Engineering and Environmental Services following Section 5.2.4 of the *Environmental Management, Environmental Management Policy and Program Manual*, and Environmental SOPs. Among other duties, they monitor the implementation of controls necessary to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, set the standards for the correct handling and disposal of hazardous materials, and providing services to effectively manage and minimize pollution.

Emergency Preparedness

The Office of Emergency Preparedness (OEP) consists of two teams working together, though both formal and informal communications, to enhance performance and minimize risk in Metro's incident management, especially as Metro continues to improve its emergency response within the MICC, its integrated control center. The Planning and Exercising team, previously a part of the Department of Safety, has moved to the MICC and become part of their Video and Consequence Management Section. Alongside these teams, there are specific roles in emergency preparedness and operations across Metrorail departments, detailed in the EOP, companion policies, and SOPs.

- The Response and Recovery Coordination (RRC) team staffs the 24/7 Safety Information Officer (SIO) role assisting the MICC as the EVP/CSRO's representative. The SIO's standard operating procedures, training, and responsibilities are finalized to seamlessly integrate into the overall emergency preparedness and incident management function, with a focus on ensuring effective response and customer safety. RRC also staff a primary responder for incident and emergency response which provides technical expertise and incident command functions as a part of Metro's Incident Management Framework (IMF) to

facilitate Authority-wide coordination during emergencies and effective unified command with jurisdictional first responders.

- The Prevention and Mitigation team and Fire Marshal identify and mitigate fire-life-safety hazards proactively, following the 700-WI001 *Fire Inspection Procedure*. They coordinate the incident after-action process, identify lessons learned, and manage corrective actions across departments to continually enhance incident management.

1.6.3 Agency Leadership and Executive Management

In addition to the GM & CEO and EVP/CSRO, the senior executive team includes the Deputy General Manager, a newly created position in 2025, and EVPs listed in the above section 1.6. *Necessary Authorities, Accountabilities, and Responsibilities*. These leaders have been consulted on the development of this ASP, own the responsibility for reviewing and implementing their respective parts and sections of this ASP and understand the roles and responsibilities required of them and their organizations.

Metro's executive leadership is accountable for the safety performance of their respective departments. The following descriptions provide an overview of their respective departments to include additional responsibilities, accountabilities, and authorities related to SMS where applicable. While the most up-to-date information about Metro's organizational structure, including names, titles and organizational charts can be found on Metro's intranet site, a summary is provided below.

Operations

The focus of this department is operations and rolling stock maintenance (e.g., Transportation, Fleet, and MetroAccess services) along with the Metro Integrated Command & Communications Center, Supply Chain Management, and Reliability Engineering & Asset Management. As a result of a 2025 restructure, all personnel related to transportation report to Assistant Chief Transportation Officer for Bus and Rail and all personnel related to vehicle maintenance report to the Chief Fleet Officer. This realignment is meant to bring Metro in closer alignment with industry best practices. Transit Police operations will report directly to the Deputy General Manager.

Infrastructure

The focus of this department is the effective construction, inspection, testing, and maintenance of infrastructure system assets including track and structures, rail stations and facilities, electric traction and power, signal and communications systems, bus stops and facilities, and all vertical transportation assets. Within this department are divisions for each major asset type that combine the engineering and maintenance functions for improved asset management – enabling continuity throughout the infrastructure life cycle (e.g., design, build, maintenance, etc.). The infrastructure department delivers and manages capital infrastructure projects to ensure safe, on-time project completion.

Customer Experience, Community Engagement & Transformation

This department includes the functions of Customer Service, Communications, & Marketing, Strategic Transformation – *Your Metro, the Way Forward* –, and Government Relations. In addition, it is responsible for community relations and outreach, and the general customer experience including advocacy for the customer in internal decision making.

Communications & Customer Service

This department is responsible for general customer service and external communications on behalf of the agency. Their main functions include customer relations, customer information, social media, and lost & found.

Planning & Performance

This department conducts strategic planning for Sustainability, long range Planning and Strategy/Policy Development, Performance, Data & Research, and Intermodal service planning and scheduling. It provides performance-related functions (e.g., data, research, and analysis) across the organization as well as direct departmental support. Its objective is to enable efficient and effective decision-making and to streamline data governance.

Finance

This department includes all financial functions as well as Procurement and Capital Budget, Grants, Funding Management, Capital Review, and Capital Financial Reporting. Also, the Finance department in Real Estate and Development, which oversees a number of operational assets such as parking garages for Metro.

Digital Modernization

This department includes all Information Technology functions as well as a digital modernization function to facilitate Metro's transformation to a more digital organization. Also, they handle all cybersecurity for the agency.

Human Capital

This department combines human capital functions, such as talent acquisition, talent management, employee development, labor and employee relations, compensation, and benefits.

Legal and Compliance

This department manages all legal, ethics, regulatory compliance, and enterprise risk management matters for the Authority. The Legal department and Audit & Compliance department are combined into one organization to optimize the effectiveness of the related roles and frequent collaboration between those departments.

1.6.4 Key Staff

The SVP/ACSO of Safety has been tasked by the EVP/CSRO with maturing Metro's SMS as outlined in this ASP. The SVP/ACSO has overseen the implementation of SMS with the creation of the Safety Risk Coordinator (SRC) position across the Agency, which perform a key function within Metro's SMS.

SRCs have the responsibility of coordinating the Safety Risk Management process and supporting the implementation of the other three components of SMS. In Operations and Infrastructure Departments, SRCs are formal, permanent positions dedicated solely to the SRC role and responsibilities that report directly to the leadership of their associated departments. Departments outside of Operations and Infrastructure have designated SRCs within their department, throughout 2025 the Office of Safety Risk Management (SRM) worked with department leaders to provide sufficient tools for SRCs and to help non-Operations and non-Infrastructure departments determine their SRC needs. The EVP/CSRO reserves the right to determine when new formal SRC positions are needed. In 2026, SRM expects to continue collaborating with the established network of SRCs and determine more ways SRCs can work together to resolve cross-departmental safety hazards in a more efficient manner while continuing to mature Metro's commitment to safety.

The primary responsibilities of the SRCs include, but are not limited to:

- Implement Safety Risk Management as described in this document resulting in the proactive identification, assessment, and mitigation of safety risks
- Perform safety assurance through oversight and monitoring

- Work with accountable leaders to manage departmental risk registers. Ensure that all safety-related corrective action plans are being managed and addressed in coordination with senior leadership
- Work with accountable leaders to ensure that new items are evaluated for safety criticality and that the safety critical item list and relevant data sources are regularly reviewed
- Lead and ensure that FSCs and DSCs are held, and hazards brought forth are tracked and mitigated; Ensure risks associated safety critical items are properly escalated in accordance with department policies and procedures
- Monitor and ensure safety incident investigations are thorough and completed per P/I 10.4 *Incident and Accident Investigation*
- Monitor the Safety Risk Submissions for leading indicators of potential hazards, if applicable;
- Attend and report on SMS-related activities and safety critical items at all DSCs and Executive Safety Committee, and monthly reliability meetings. Assist Accountable Executive or SMS Executive in developing, implementing, and operating the agency's SMS as directed.

In addition to the hands-on coaching and mentorship from the Department of Safety's Safety Risk Management Team, required training for SRCs includes:

- Various internal trainings on Metro's Safety Risk Management Process and Safety Committee Facilitation (led by the Office of Safety Risk Management), completed within three months of hire.
- One (1) hour course on SMS Awareness (FTA/Transportation Safety Institute [TSI] e-Learning), completed at the earliest opportunity;
- Two (2) hour course on Safety Assurance (FTA/TSI instructor-led Virtual Live Training) completed at the earliest opportunity;
- One (1) 20-hour course on SMS Principles for Transit (FTA/TSI, instructor-led) completed at the earliest opportunity;

1.6.5 Safety Committees

As part of SMS, Metro has established a network of safety committees that provide support for operating the SMS as detailed in P/I 10.8 *Safety Management Policy*. These committees are organized in a hierarchical nature to allow for safety risks to be elevated if they require further review or resources to address them. The network includes these safety committees is:




- Executive Safety Committee (ESC) – the governing body responsible for overseeing the development and implementation of SMS as outlined in this ASP. The ESC also manages and allocates the necessary resources to mitigate safety risks throughout Metro and addresses risks associated with safety critical items that have been escalated from DSCs. Monthly, the ESC reviews both high level risks that have been identified or items that have been elevated from other committees, via the SMS Dashboard, to identify areas where additional resources are needed. This allows the ESC to support continuous improvement by identifying safety deficiencies, including potential instances where the agency fails to meet risk reduction program safety performance targets. To facilitate specific tasks, the ESC has established the following subcommittees.
 - Rail Safety Standards Committee (RSSC) – the governing body that reviews, discusses, verifies, and approves any new rules and procedures proposed, modifications or deviations to rules and procedures, and the rescinding of obsolete rule and procedures in accordance with SOP 4800-3-08 *Rail Safety Standard Committee SOP*.
 - Safety Certification Review Committee (SCRC) – the governing body that oversees safety and security certification at Metro in accordance with the *Safety and Security Certification Program Plan*.
 - Joint Labor-Management Safety Committee (JLMSC) – established in accordance with 49 CFR Part 673 Subpart C, composed of equal numbers of represented and non-represented employees. It supports the ESC in overseeing the development and implementation of SMS and proactively managing risk in accordance with this ASP.



- Department Safety Committee (DSC) – The governing body established to manage safety risk at the department-level, owners of departmental risk registers and are essential for the sustainment of the Safety Risk Management process. DSCs are facilitated and managed by the departmental SRC. Departmental Accountable Leaders are responsible for ensuring that risks on the department’s risk register are mitigated to an acceptable level.
 - Safety Oversight Coordination Meetings - In addition to the DSCs there are three (3) coordination meetings that are established and held monthly: Infrastructure Coordination Meeting, Infrastructure and Operations Coordination Meeting, and Operations Coordination Meeting. The meetings bring together departmental SRCs and departmental Accountable Leaders to develop mitigations for safety

risks that affect multiple departments. The meeting is intended to help drive a collaborative approach to Safety Risk Management at Metro



- Facility Safety Committees (FSCs) are responsible for managing safety hazards at a particular facility, encompassing all departments located at that physical location. FSCs actively address and rectify local hazards while also escalating systemic and department-wide hazards and risks to their respective DSCs and SRCs. The facilitation and management of FSCs are carried out by the relevant departmental SRCs or their designated representatives.

DSCs are mandatory for Operations and Infrastructure departments, as well as other administrative functions within Metro, that have personnel exposed to safety risks. The DSC is established to manage their risk registers during SRM Implementation. Administrative and support departments, such as Reliability Engineering and Asset Management, will not have a DSC, but rather support the network of safety committees with their data analysis function. The table below establishes where DSCs are established or are being established through SRM Implementation. This network of DSCs will continue to evolve as SRM matures at Metro.

Department Safety Committees at Metro	
Department Name	Organization
Rail Transportation	Operations
Rail Fleet	Operations
Bus Transportation	Operations
Bus Fleet	Operations
Metro Integrated Command and Communication Center	Operations
Metro Access	Operations

Supply Chain Management	Operations
Metro Transit Police Department	Deputy General Manager
Power	Infrastructure
Communications and Signaling	Infrastructure
Track and Structures	Infrastructure
Facilities Maintenance	Infrastructure
Elevators and Escalators	Infrastructure
Project Delivery	Infrastructure
Information Technology	Digital Modernization
Safety and Readiness	Safety and Readiness

The network of Safety Committees allows for seamless escalation of safety risks from FSCs to DSCs, and ultimately to the ESC. Systemic and department-wide hazards identified at the FSCs are escalated to a relevant DSC. Safety risks and their associated mitigations managed at the DSC level, but requiring additional resources, funding, or addressing system-wide concerns, are escalated immediately to the ESC. The Departmental SRC works with the Safety Risk Management Team to facilitate escalations, ensure the appropriate routing of concerns for mitigation and to provide necessary data for justifying the need for additional resources and funding. If issues are not escalated, the ESC maintains oversight by reviewing Metro's risk profile, via the SMS Dashboard, monthly. This allows the ESC to identify areas that need additional support and resources if progress is not being made to implement approved risk mitigations.

All Safety Committees shall be chartered, documenting the committee members, their roles and responsibilities, meeting frequency, and how they capture hazards and risks from lower-level Safety Committees. The charters are reviewed and approved by the Department of Safety's Safety Risk Management Team and are stored on the Department of Safety's SharePoint site for Safety Committees. The ESC is able to form new safety committees as it determines while Metro continues to mature SMS.

In 2025, the Safety Risk Management team will work to improve the management of SRCs by providing updated work instructions and metrics to assist Departmental leadership in reviewing their performance. In an effort to aid SRCs with engagement within Facility and Departmental safety committees the team made and promoted to all of Metro a Network of Safety Committee map. This tool will make it easier for personnel to be involved in the meetings by providing them a single spot to find all information related to the various FSCs and DSCs at Metro. The Safety Risk Management team hopes that identified safety hazards will be promptly assessed and more accurately rated as an outcome of encouraging more participation in safety committees.

1.7 Development and Implementation of a Safety Management System

Establishing and maturing a robust SMS that is appropriately scaled to the size, scope, and complexity of Metro requires a strategic and deliberate effort. Therefore, the Department of Safety has established an SMS Strategic Roadmap (Appendix A) that reflects the key priorities

and milestones that will be accomplished to establish the SMS. The roadmap is organized in accordance with the four components of SMS: Safety Management Policy, Safety Risk Management, Safety Assurance, and Safety Promotion, against a multi-year timeline.

2.0 Safety Management Policy

The Safety Management Policy component of Metro's SMS has been designed to meet the requirements established by the FTA and the WMSC, as applicable. In accordance with 49 CFR Part 673.23 and WMSC's Program Standard, this section of the ASP includes:

- 2.1 Safety Management Policy
- 2.2 Employee Safety Reporting Program
- 2.3 Communication of the Safety Management Policy

In 2024, the Department of Safety and Readiness, including the Departments of Safety and Technical Training collaborated with the Department of Human Capital to embed safety values into the performance management and review cycle. This collaboration will continue as Metro works to review all its processes and programs as part of its continuous improvement and monitoring. This work continues to 2025 and 2026 with the deployment of a Department of Safety Just Culture Program.

The objective of the pilot is to continue alignment with Department and Agency-wide policies with Just Culture principles to ensure that the highest-level documents for Metro are formally aligned with the principles of Just Culture and codified. The project aims to create a fair and balanced approach to accountability, with a focus on fostering a safety-oriented culture. Key activities to date include a comprehensive review of existing policies, identifying potential gaps and areas for improvement, and making necessary revisions to align with Just Culture. The project involves collaboration with stakeholders from various levels of the organization, including safety committees, departmental leadership, and employees, to help ensure a thorough and effective alignment process as policies and procedures continue to be updated.

The Just Culture Pilot will supplement our continued expansion of department collaborations to ensure a more robust and proactive safety culture. Key activities include working closely with the Department of Safety and Readiness to identify opportunities to incorporate safety-related training, discussions, and simulations into the existing leadership development programs to reinforce a proactive safety culture within the safety management system paradigm. This initiative includes incorporating reporting culture principles in the nomination process as well as training modules that emphasize the importance of effective safety communication and decision-making in leadership roles.

2.1 Safety Management Policy Statement

In accordance with the safety management philosophy and approach, one of the GM & CEO's main responsibilities is to build and maintain an industry-leading safety culture and SMS in accordance with the FTA's adoption of the SMS approach in its National Public Transportation Safety Plan. This ASP establishes Metro's FTA-compliant SMS as the primary strategy to achieve this goal. This Policy Statement also certifies that the ASP is compliant with 49 CFR Part 673, *Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan*, and 49 CFR Part 674, *State Safety Oversight*, and the WMSC's Program Standard.

Safety management is a core value at Metro. All employees are accountable for appropriately identifying and effectively managing risk in all activities and operations to deliver continuous safety improvement and reduce safety risks as low as reasonably practicable, starting with the GM & CEO.

In accordance with the FTA's *National Public Transportation Safety Plan*, and 49 CFR 673.23, Metro's safety objectives are:

- Support the management of safety through the provision of appropriate and sufficient resources to reduce risks to as low as reasonably practicable and to achieve an organizational culture that fosters safe practices, encourages effective employee safety reporting and communication and actively prioritizes the management of risk
- Define for all staff, including executive management, middle management and front-line employees alike, their responsibilities for the delivery of the organization's safety performance
- Establish and operate effective safety risk identification, assessment, and mitigation activities based on SMS safety risk management principles, including an employee safety reporting program that provides a fundamental source for safety concerns and hazard identification, in order to proactively mitigate safety risks and manage safety-critical items
- Ensure that no action will be taken against any employee who discloses a safety concern unless disclosure indicates an illegal act, gross negligence, or a deliberate or willful disregard of regulations or procedures
- Comply with, and wherever possible exceed, legislative and regulatory requirements and standards
- Ensure that skilled and trained human resources are available to implement SMS processes
- Ensure that communications and training result in employees knowing their role within SMS and how to implement the associated processes and tools that are commensurate with their skillset to drive its effectiveness
- Establish safety performance targets and measure against data-driven safety performance indicators
- Continually improve our safety performance through management processes that ensure that appropriate mitigating action is taken and effective
- Ensure the services and products provided in support of Metro's operations are implemented in compliance with SMS as specified in this ASP; this policy will be visibly communicated through my own direct efforts, the efforts of the senior executive team, senior management team, and through training programs and procedures

I affirm this commitment:

Randy Clarke Digitally signed by Randy Clarke
Date: 2025.09.30 19:05:57
-04'00'

Randy S. Clarke,
General Manager and Chief Executive Officer
Accountable Executive

2.2 Communication of Safety Management Policy

As part of the requirements of 49 CFR 673.23, Metro is committed to actively communicating its Safety Management Policy and Policy Statement throughout the organization. This communication involves two parts:

- 1) Dissemination and display of the documentation: Metro ensures that personnel can directly access the policy and statement.
- 2) Dissemination and display of supporting materials: Metro provides additional materials to help personnel understand how the policy applies to their specific contexts and work environments. This engagement begins with New Employee Orientation training and is reinforced through job-specific training, toolbox talks, and job safety briefings.

The Safety Policy and Policy Statement will be disseminated throughout the agency via Safety Bulletins, print and digital signage, newsletters, podcasts, and other employee communications. These will be prominently displayed in areas where personnel gather, such as breakrooms and waiting areas.

Executive management at Metro holds the primary responsibility for personally and actively communicating the Safety Management Policy to employees and contractors. Any changes to the policy must be approved and distributed by the senior executive team. This is achieved through the committee process, and each executive visibly endorses the policy to employees in their area of responsibility. The senior executive team's approval of the ASP, cascading safety communications, designation of Safety Committees and active involvement in driving SMS implementation are crucial for long-term success.

3.0 Safety Risk Management

The Safety Risk Management component of Metro's SMS has been designed to meet the requirements established by the FTA and the WMSC. In accordance with 49 CFR 673.25 *Safety Risk Management*, this section of the ASP includes:

- 3.1 Safety Risk Management Process
- 3.2 Ongoing Management of Safety Risk
- 3.3 Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan
- 3.4 Emergency Risk Management
- 3.5 Management of Change
- 3.6 Continuous Improvement
- 3.7 Corrective Action Plans

The Safety Risk Management methodology outlined in this document is the only methodology Metro uses for evaluating safety risk. There are different risk matrices currently in use across Metro, and their use does not conflict with the Safety Risk Management methodology. Each is designed for use by subject matter experts for the department's purpose at Metro. Each matrix is designed to guide a specific type of analysis and the matrices are designed to properly gauge the level of risk in the analysis. These matrices also help with the development of appropriate mitigations and are used to prioritize resources in reducing risks. The outputs from the matrices assist with communicating risk. For example:

- The Reliability and Asset Management Department uses the Aladon, The Risk and Reliability Global Network matrix, which partially defines risks in terms of loss type.

- The Metro Integrated Risk Management group developed a specific Enterprise Risk Management matrix that is used agency-wide to define risks.

The Safety Risk Management segment of the 2026 SMS roadmap includes key projects that have been prioritized to advance SMS development and implementation:

- Continued maturation and expansion of Safety Risk Management, particularly Safety Committees
- Launch Bus Safety Improvement Strategy
- Coordinate with Operations to conduct Line Operations Safety Assessment
- Launch Fitness for Duty Project with Health and Wellness
- Complete Job Hazard Analysis Implementation


These projects combine and complement one another to support and further the core priority, which is to implement safety risk management across operations and infrastructure.

3.1 Safety Risk Management Process

Metro's Safety Risk Management process emphasizes the proactive identification, assessment, and mitigation of safety risks. This process is derived from the methodology ALARP or "as low as reasonably practicable" and aligns with the FTA's National Public Transportation Safety Plan recommendation. Metro's Office of Safety Risk Management within the Department of Safety supports the SRCs designated across Metro to facilitate and oversee the effectiveness of the Safety Risk Management process. Departments who have implemented Safety Risk Management have completed the following:

1. **Established a departmental Safety Risk Management Process**, using 4200-4-01, *Department Safety Risk Management SOP Template*.
2. **Documented hazards in Origami and featured in Metro's hazard report**. The report formalizes the safety risks identified, corresponding mitigations, status of mitigation implementation, and overall Metro safety risk profile.
3. **Established an organizational Safety Risk Reporting Process**, detailed in 4132-3-01/01, *Safety Reporting Process: Voluntary Safety Reporting Program*.
4. **Dedicated personnel to support safety risk reporting capabilities**. Employees' safety concerns flow directly to the SRC for inclusion in the Safety Risk Management process.

Departmental SRCs execute the process laid out in this ASP, following the process flow below.



Risk Assessment Process Flow

3.1.1 Safety Hazard Identification

The first step in the Safety Risk Management process is to identify hazards. Metro encourages the proactive identification of hazards by all personnel as an essential step in the Safety Risk Management process.

Metro's safety hazard information is regularly monitored and collected by the SRCs from a variety of sources:

- **External Agencies**, e.g., WMSC, FTA, National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) – A hazard analysis on these findings is performed and, where required, provided to the WMSC in accordance with the WMSC Program Standard. Also, any Data and information regarding exposure to infectious diseases provided by the CDC or a State health authority, pursuant to 49 CFR 673.25 (b)(2)(ii).
- **Employee Safety Reporting Program** - These reports are investigated in accordance with this ASP and P/I 10.8 *Safety Management Policy*, and established MOUs
- **Inspections or Audits** - Corrective Actions resulting from inspections and audits are analyzed in accordance with WMSC Program Standard, Section 8.D, *Corrective Actions*, and SOP SARE-QUALITY-1.11 *Corrective Action and Preventive Action* procedure
- **Investigations** – Investigations are conducted on safety events in accordance with the WMSC Program Standard and SOP 800-01 *Incident and Accident Investigations of Rail, Bus, and MetroAccess*
- **Safety Committees** – Safety Committees oversee Safety Risk Management in accordance with this ASP, departmental Safety Risk Management SOPs, and Safety Committee Charters
- **Safety Performance Indicators** - Trends and patterns detected from safety performance indicators are analyzed in accordance with this ASP, Safety Universal Data System (SUDS) and the Quality Management System Plan (QMSP)
- **Data Analysis** - Data driven risk analysis is performed in accordance with 3.1.2 Safety Risk Assessment.
- **Industry Data** - Metro reports to and collects industry data from the National Transit Database (NTD) to compare safety performance
- **Reliability Meetings** – Metro tracks the performance of safety-critical items in monthly reliability meetings and responds to trends in accordance with department policies and procedures.

Origami is a customized software solution that is used to support and sustain the Safety Risk Management process. Specifically, Origami is used by the Department of Safety, SRCs, departmental Accountable Leaders, and Safety Committees to track and manage identified safety hazards, priorities based on risk analysis, and corresponding safety risk mitigations. This information is entered into Origami by SRCs, which then feeds the SMS Dashboard. The Metro Hazard Submission Form is also introduced, and links are established on the department's Metroweb page. The Metro Hazard Submission Form is a simple reporting mechanism that allows employees to submit safety reports directly to their SRC using Origami.

As new reports are received by the SRC, they determine whether an existing risk has already been identified or if a new safety risk needs to be drafted. New information associated with existing risks will be reviewed to determine if the risk definition needs to be updated or assessment needs to change (as outlined in 3.1.2). New information that drives the identification of a new risk will be drafted by the SRC and brought to the DSC for review when required.

3.1.2 Safety Risk Assessment

Metro performs a safety risk assessment to determine the likelihood and severity of the consequences of identified hazards, including existing mitigations, and the prioritization of the hazards based on the safety risk. Determinations of likelihood and severity are made with input from subject matter experts and are informed by relevant data. Typically, these assessments occur during the department safety committee meetings led by the SRC, but additional forums such as the Joint Labor-Management Safety Committee, Executive Safety Committee, or special assessments led by the Department of Safety are also appropriate. In 2024, Metro formalized the process in document Safety Risk Assessment SOP ensuring consistency.

Risk Assessment Process

Metro conducts safety risk assessments as they relate to hazards. Hazards subject to assessment must meet the following criteria:

- Hazard means any real or potential condition that can cause injury, illness, or death; damage to or loss of the facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure of a public transportation system; or damage to the environment (49 CFR Part 673.5).

Once a hazard has been identified, the individual conducting a risk assessment must identify potential consequences. A potential consequence is the effect of a hazard and represents what could happen if a hazard or condition persists. Hazards may have multiple potential consequences and should be specific enough to be measured on a qualitative and quantitative basis.

The next step is to determine the corresponding likelihood and severity of each consequence by factoring both qualitative and quantitative data into this determination. The highest rating of all the potential consequences associated with a particular hazard will determine the overall hazard safety risk rating. The safety risk rating is the composite of the predicted severity and likelihood of all the potential consequences associated with a hazard, and Metro takes the highest rating of all the potential consequences as the safety risk rating.

The risk assessment process considers the following to inform the final determination of the safety risk rating:

- **Inherent Risk Rating:** Inherent risk rating refers to the level of risk associated with an activity or process before any controls or mitigation strategies are implemented. It represents the natural, unavoidable risk that exists due to the inherent characteristics of the activity or process itself.
- **Current Risk Rating:** The current risk rating refers to the level of risk that accounts for the existence of mitigations that have already been implemented.
- **Future or Residual Risk Rating:** Residual risk rating refers to the level of risk that remains after implementing additional controls or other mitigation strategies to reduce inherent and current risk.

Likelihood

Likelihood is measured based on the frequency the potential consequence is expected to occur. WMATA uses the scale below to establish criteria for the likelihood. A combination of quantitative and qualitative should be used to assess likelihood. The quantitative aspect of the assessment should utilize historical data to review the number of occurrences of the potential consequence being realized. The qualitative aspect of the assessment should utilize subject matter expertise to understand historical context that will provide additional insight, especially in cases where no such data exists and a hazard has been unrealized. When determining the inherent likelihood of a potential consequence, personnel are to look at the natural/unmitigated

state only; but when determining the current or future/residual likelihood, personnel should factor in existing or future mitigations.

Probability	Value	Qualitative Meaning	Quantitative Meaning
Frequent	A	Opportunity for risk to be realized expected to occur often	Probability of occurrence greater than or equal to 10^{-1} (10%)
Probable	B	Opportunity for risk to be realized expected on a recurring basis	Probability of occurrence less than 10^{-1} (10%) but greater than or equal to 10^{-2} (1%)
Occasional	C	Opportunity for risk to be realized expected to occur	Probability of occurrence less than 10^{-2} (1%) but greater than or equal to 10^{-3} (0.1%)
Remote	D	Opportunity for risk to be realized not expected to occur but possible	Probability of occurrence less than 10^{-3} (0.1%) but greater than or equal to 10^{-6} (0.0001%)
Improbable	E	Opportunity for risk to be realized not expected to occur and almost inconceivable	Probability of occurrence less than 10^{-6} (0.0001%)

Severity

Severity is measured based on the seriousness of the potential consequences. WMATA uses the scale below to establish criteria for severity. A combination of quantitative and qualitative should be used to assess severity. The quantitative aspect of the assessment should review historical safety performance indicators such as the nature of the injury experienced, extent of property damage, environmental impact, and length of service disruption. The qualitative aspect of the assessment should utilize subject matter expertise to understand historical context that will provide additional insight, especially in cases where no such data exists and a hazard has been unrealized. When determining the inherent severity of a potential consequence, personnel are to look at the natural/unmitigated state only; but when determining the current or future/residual severity, personnel should factor in existing or future mitigations.

Severity	Value	Meaning
Catastrophic	1	Risk realization expected to result in one or more of the following: death, permanent total disability, loss of passenger/crew occupied volume with equipment damage causing separations in structure, infrastructure damage that suspends service through the affected area for greater than 24 hours.
Critical	2	Risk realization expected to result in one or more of the following: permanent partial disability, injuries/illness that results in hospitalization, loss of passenger/crew occupied volume with equipment damage that causes openings but no separations in structure, infrastructure damage that suspends service through the affected area for greater than 2 and up to 24 hours.

Marginal	3	Risk realization expected to result in one or more of the following: injury or illness resulting in one or more lost work day(s), loss of passenger/crew occupied volume with equipment damage that causes no openings in structure, infrastructure damage that suspends service through the affected area for more than 30 minutes and up to 2 hours.
Negligible	4	Risk realization expected to result in one or more of the following: injury or occupational illness that does not result in a lost work day, no loss of passenger/crew occupied volume, equipment or infrastructure damage that does not suspend service nor cause a delay through the affected area for more than a maximum of 30 minutes.

The assessment of likelihood and severity (and therefore, safety risk) of a given hazard is based on the totality of the circumstances associated with each specific hazard.

Risk Matrix

The risk matrix below is used to prioritize the mitigation of hazards and is determined based on the composite of the likelihood and severity of the potential consequences of the hazard.

Specific steps are

required based on the level of risk each hazard presents. The mandated actions in response to certain risk ratings are intended to increase awareness of hazards and facilitate an appropriate response.

Risk Probability	Risk Severity			
	Catastrophic 1	Critical 2	Marginal 3	Negligible 4
Frequent – A	1A	2A	3A	4A
Probable – B	1B	2B	3B	4B
Occasional – C	1C	2C	3C	4C
Remote – D	1D	2D	3D	4D
Improbable – E	1E	2E	3E	4E

Risk Index		
Red	1A, 2A, 3A, 1B, 2B, 3B, 1C, 2C, 1D	CEO, DGM, COO, CIO and CSRO approval required to continue activity without level-changing mitigations in place.
Yellow	4A, 4B, 3C, 2D, 3D, 1E, 2E, 3E	VP level approval required to continue activity without level-changing mitigations in place.
Green	4C, 4D, 4E	Assessed risk is low where the implementation of mitigations may be subject to discussion at the DSC. Risk is effectively mitigated or considered so unlikely its acceptable as-is.

3.1.3 Safety Risk Mitigation

The risk mitigation step involves determining what actions need to be taken to reduce them to as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP). The risks assessed “high” risks are prioritized accordingly, followed by medium risks, and then low risks (as required). The SRC performs research to determine whether there are risk mitigations already in place as well as any other mitigations that are already in progress (e.g., as part of a CAP in response to an audit finding).

The SRC compiles this information for each risk and then holds a session with their DSC. The risk mitigation session is conducted according to SOP 4200-4-01 *Department Safety Risk Management SOP Template*. The following criteria (based on the Hierarchy of Controls) is applied when developing risk mitigations:

- **Elimination:** Mitigations designed into the operation that eliminate the potential for exposure to the risk (e.g., the station is shut down when an escalator malfunctions, eliminating the possibility of customers getting hurt while using them as stairs)
- **Substitution:** Mitigations designed into the operation that result in avoiding the potential for risk exposure (e.g., the station remains open when an escalator malfunctions, but customers are re-directed to actual stairs or elevators to avoid use of the malfunctioning escalator)
- **Engineering Controls:** Mitigations designed into the operation that trigger controls when the potential for risk exposure increases (e.g., an automatic gate is activated when an escalator shuts down, creating a barrier that deters customers from using them as stairs)
- **Administrative Controls:** Mitigations designed to change human performance or behaviors in response to the risk (e.g., every three minutes, the station will announce the escalator is out of service and advise customers to use the actual stairs or elevators)
- **Personal Protective Equipment:** Mitigations designed to protect from exposure to the risk while an activity is performed (e.g., an attendant will hand out helmets to customers so in case they fall while climbing a shutdown escalator, their head is protected).

The SRC takes responsibility for assigning owners and monitoring the implementation of each mitigating action. Once the mitigation actions are established, they are entered into Origami. Approval of all mitigation actions is required from Departmental Accountable Leaders and is conducted as either part of the risk assessment process or part of the DSC. The risk assessment, prepared by the SRC in coordination with department stakeholders, captures the change in the risk profile of an identified hazard following the implementation of mitigations. As the risk mitigations are implemented, the SRC receives updates from the identified stakeholders to evaluate progress and make adjustments to risk levels and priorities accordingly. This ensures ongoing assessment and adaptation of risk profiles within the department.

Any risk mitigations that require additional resources (personnel, funding, etc.) and cannot be sufficiently addressed at the departmental level, including those that are system-wide, or requiring support beyond the mitigating capacity of the DSC will be escalated to the ESC. When necessary, the ESC will task its subcommittees to address safety risks.

3.2 Ongoing Management of Safety Risk

The SRCs play a crucial role in the systematic implementation of the Safety Risk Management process by regularly reviewing and prioritizing identified hazards, risks, and mitigations. This review is driven by various factors such as internal safety reviews, audits, employee reports, performance indicators, and system changes. The SRCs are responsible for facilitating monthly meetings of their respective DSCs and attending additional safety meetings to ensure timely addressing of safety risks.

The DSCs consist of representatives from all levels of the department, including subject matter experts, and support from the Department of Safety and other departments when necessary. The SRCs, with support from the Safety Risk Management Team, utilize Origami as a tool to document hazards, conduct risk assessments, and track mitigations. The Safety Informatics & Solutions team incorporates each department into the SMS dashboard, providing employees with access to safety risks, mitigation plans, measurements of effectiveness, and report submission.

To ensure consistent implementation of the Safety Risk Management process within their departments, SRCs develop standard operating procedures aligned with the *Department Safety Risk Management SOP Template* (4200-4-01) and this ASP.

3.2.1 Operational Safety Risk Management

The Office of Operating Practices maintains the Metrorail Operating Rulebook (MOR) and facilitates updates to its contents as needed through the Rail Safety Standards Committee (RSSC). The RSSC is a collaborative effort between senior leadership for the departments responsible for Metrorail operations and supporting functions. The process for requesting and implementing rule changes through the RSSC is established through the RSSC Charter and SOP 4800-03-01/00 Rail Safety Standards Committee Operations. The RSSC is an established process with demonstrated success. The Office of Operating Practices intends to replicate this process in establishing a Bus Safety Standards Committee.

3.2.2 Occupational Safety and Health Risk Management

The Office of Occupational Safety and Health oversees Metro's Worker Safety and Health programs and provides subject matter expertise in the fields of occupational safety and industrial hygiene. The Office's subject matter experts determine the applicable regulatory requirements and industry best practices to develop and administer Metro's Worker Safety and Health programs. These programs cover as applicable, federal regulations under 29 CFR Part 1910 *Occupational Safety and Health Standards*, 29 CFR Part 1926, *Safety and Health Regulations for Construction* as well as applicable OSHA-approved plans in Virginia and Maryland. The team establishes partnerships with Infrastructure, Operations and other key stakeholders to oversee Worker Safety and Health program implementation and regulatory compliance. The Office's priority is the health, safety, and well-being of Metro's workforce with the goal of minimizing injuries and illnesses from exposure to occupational hazards.

3.2.3 Safety Certification

Under the Department of Safety, the Safety and Security Certification team, per the *Safety and Security Certification Program* plan, conducts a series of processes that verify the safety and security readiness of WMATA equipment and facilities for public use, in compliance with 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 Section 5327, FTA Circular 5800.1, and 49 CFR Part 673. In coordination with the Department of Planning and Performance, Safety Certification will continue to implement corrective actions related to the WMSC's August 2021 finding (WMSC-21-C0118) regarding its safety certification process. In anticipation of supporting Metro's 10-year capital plan, the Office of Safety Certification and Engineering will continue to add staff and advance integration with these key partners to ensure evaluations are conducted and certification requirements are embedded at the beginning of each project's lifecycle.

3.2.4 Environmental Risk Management

WMATA operations must comply with applicable federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. Metro has a responsibility to establish policies and make environmentally-sound decisions impacting its operations and the communities it serves. The *Environmental Management Policy and Manual Program* establishes environmental roles and responsibilities within WMATA and delineates responsibility for applicable environmental compliance requirements.

The Subject Matter Experts in the Office of Environmental Management and Compliance determine the applicable regulatory requirements and industry best management practices to administer WMATA's Environmental Compliance Programs. These programs cover, as

applicable, federal regulations under 40 CFR, which may include federal regulations under the *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act*, 40 CFR parts 260-273; 279-280; *Clean Water Act*, 40 CFR parts 110-117; *Clean Air Act*, 40 CFR parts 60, 63, and 82; *Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act*, 40 CFR part 370; and regulations for underground storage tanks 40 CFR part 280.

To ensure compliance with applicable environmental regulations, senior managers at each facility are assigned collateral duties by the department's senior management as Environmental Compliance Officers and Deputy Environmental Compliance Officers. These individuals undergo a two-day Environmental Compliance Officer training to carry out their compliance responsibilities and are accountable for upholding applicable environmental regulations. The Department of Safety provides them technical guidance and actively monitors regulatory compliance throughout the organization.

At WMATA, industrial, maintenance, support, and construction activities must adhere to relevant federal, state, and local environmental protection laws, standards, and regulations. WMATA's Environmental Management Program Manual forms the foundation of the organization's environmental program, while the Environmental SOPs serve as a reference for daily operations concerning environmental compliance. These and other environmental management policies are available on WMATA's intranet, including *Development of Design Criteria* (4730-3-01) and WMATA's *Construction Safety Manual* (4600-2-01).

3.2.5 Hazard and Safety Risk Information

The activities detailed above to identify, mitigate and track risks would serve little purpose if they were not effectively communicated to Metro personnel. Promotional activities are embedded into the Voluntary Safety Reporting Program, SRC's role, and Safety Committees.

The SMS Dashboard is the main vehicle for consolidating and communicating safety hazard and risk information across Metro. Once a risk has been entered into Origami by departmental SRCs, it reflects on the SMS Dashboard, where front-line personnel can see applicable mitigations that are in place or being implemented to address their concerns. FSCs and DSCs utilize the SMS Dashboard to track mitigations and identify mitigations that need additional attention and effort. The ESC utilizes the SMS Dashboard to monitor the overall Metro Risk Profile. In addition, the ESC monitors past due mitigations and changes in risk ratings to identify where additional resources and funding need to be allocated.

Hazardous Materials

Maintenance and support personnel who handle chemicals and hazardous substances undergo comprehensive training regarding their safe use. Whenever employees transition to new positions, they receive training on the proper handling of any new chemicals assigned to them by their supervisors. The Department of Safety holds the responsibility of developing procedures that comply with the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard and implementing the safety assurance process for hazardous materials.

To support these efforts, the SOP, *Hazard Communication Program* (4404-2-01) incorporates the review process of the Globally Harmonized System Safety Data Sheets (SDS). Before any chemicals or hazardous materials are used or tested by Metro employees or contractors within the Metro operating system, the Department of Safety evaluates and approves them in line with the Hazard Communication Program.

The procurement of chemical products necessitates the prior review and written approval from the Department of Safety. This includes purchases made through blanket orders, purchase cards, construction specifications, or equipment specifications. The Department of Procurement

and Materials handles procurement requests for chemical products only when they possess written approval from the Department of Safety and an assigned SDS number for that specific product. Furthermore, the Procurement Department implements quality control procedures so that receiving storerooms accept chemicals and hazardous materials that have been reviewed, approved, and assigned a unique SDS number by the Department of Safety. Any substitutes for chemical products and hazardous materials must receive approval from the Department of Safety before purchase.

In the case of Metro contracts, contractors must submit chemical products intended for use on Metro property to the Department of Safety for evaluation before their utilization. The Metro project manager or their delegate is responsible for providing the contractor with the SDS Approval/Rejection packages, which they must adhere to. Users of approved products must read and comply with the Evaluation/SDS Approval document before using the product, following all instructions and precautions. The Department of Safety may also conduct site visits at locations where chemicals are used to ensure that workers are aware of the associated hazards and are using appropriate personal protective equipment. Approved SDSs are accessible through the Department of Safety's intranet page, while departments with employees utilizing hazardous materials may provide links to the SDS application on their respective departmental intranet pages.

3.3 Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan

Emergency management functions within Metro are performed in partnership between Office of Emergency Preparedness (OEP) and the Metro Integrated Command and Communications Center (MICC) Video and Consequence Management Section.

OEP is part of the Department of Safety, reporting to the Senior Vice President (SVP)/Assistant Chief Safety Officer (SVP/ACSO) and is responsible for the overall agency emergency preparedness by developing, documenting, and coordinating with other departments to plan for all our emergency responses. The Video and Consequence Management Section reports to the Senior Vice President of the MICC and is responsible for the overall operational response to emergencies, developing and executing training exercise, and working with OEP in the development of Metro's emergency plans. Both parts work together in planning, executing, and documenting Metro's emergency response as required by 49 CFR 673.11(a)(6). Additionally, Metro follows the Federal Emergency Management Agencies' National Incident Management System (NIMS) guidance for safety event response activities.

The *Emergency Operations Plan* (EOP) serves as Metro's Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan. The EOP provides an overall framework for emergency operations for Metro's role in supporting incident or event operations throughout its service area in the National Capital Region. The EOP assigns roles and responsibilities to departments across Metro and individuals for their preparedness prior to, and their implementation during an event. Metro Transit Police Department (MTPD) General Orders provide additional guidance for MTPD operations. Additionally, the EOP identifies employee responsibilities during an emergency and provides detail on the coordination with federal, state, regional, and local officials with roles and responsibilities for emergency preparedness and response in Metro's service area.

The documentation listed below specifies agency-wide plans, programs, and processes to manage the public safety and emergency management functions:

- Emergency Operations Plan
- Continuity of Operations Plan
- Severe Weather Plan
- Family Assistance Plan

- 49 CFR Part 1520, *Protection of Sensitive Security Information*
- 49 CFR 1570.203, *Reporting Significant Security Concerns*
- Standard Operating Procedures, and other departmental safety-critical documentation of daily tasks and activities

Corrective actions resulting from lessons learned, exercises, and related Emergency Preparedness activities are the responsibility of Emergency Preparedness and Video and Consequence Management in coordination with the other Metro departments.

Emergency Exercises

Metro is involved with many drills and exercises throughout the year that represent a large part of Metro's preparedness and partnerships in the region. Currently, three (3) full-scale exercises are conducted annually. This is done in close coordination with our National Capital Region (District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia) jurisdictional partners.

Consequence Management utilizes the guidelines provided in the Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program (HSEEP) when coordinating exercises. A full-scale exercise allows Metro staff and regional first responders to practice and enhance emergency response and recovery skills based on a Metro incident or a scenario.

Consequence Management takes the lead role in coordinating exercises for Metro. Occasionally, one or more external agencies will approach Metro about hosting an exercise on Metro infrastructure or at Metro facilities. Consequence Management works to accommodate such requests, as best as possible, acknowledging the benefit for all involved.

3.3.1 Infectious Disease Mitigation

In accordance with the requirements introduced by the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), OEP maintains a dedicated Pandemic Response Plan. This plan meets the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 5329(d)(1)(D) by outlining the strategies being implemented to minimize the risk of infectious disease exposure for the public, personnel, and property. Metro's Pandemic Response Plan is consistent with guidelines of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the state health authority to minimize exposure to infectious diseases.

3.4 Emergency Risk Management

With the adoption of the Incident Management Framework and agency-wide training in 2023, Metro initiated the Emergency Management Accreditation Program in 2025. This involves demonstration through self-assessment, documentation and peer assessment verification that Metro meets an accredited Emergency Management Standard. The emergency management program uses the accreditation process to validate the capabilities of disaster preparedness and response systems within Metro to be comprehensive and effective.

3.5 Management of Change

Through implementation of its QMP and the Safety Risk Management process, each department establishes controls for design, documentation, purchasing, and process and for capturing and approving changes and modifications. Each department and functional area must, both proactively and through its safety assurance activities, ensure it identifies all change, evaluates it appropriately, and implements mitigations so that risk is managed to acceptable levels during and after the change. No operations may take place in the changed environment until the change is evaluated to determine the impact on safety and if there is increased safety risk, the risk must be mitigated to an acceptable level.

Several departments and functional areas have recognized the importance of Change Control Boards as a means to effectively document and review technological and service changes. As

the associated documentation undergoes regular reviews as required by the department's QMP, the appropriate Safety Risk Management methodology is incorporated into the Boards' processes and decision-making criteria. Some of these documents are listed in the table below.

Dept	Document
Digital Modernization	Quality Management Standards – Process Support SOP, DM.DMEA.SOP.CCB 9001:2015
Infrastructure	- Infrastructure Configuration Control Management, P/I 4.10 - Engineering Modification Instruction (EMI), SOP 114-02
Bus Maintenance and Engineering	Bus Change Control Board (BCCB), BUSV-BMNT-SOP-3.08-10
Rail Vehicle Program Services	- Preparing, Processing and Approvals of Engineering Modification Instructions, SOP 202.01 - Preparing, Processing and Approvals of Engineering Change Notice, SOP 202.08
Communications & Signaling	Systems Configuration Management Plan, SOP ATC-4000

3.6 Continuous Improvement

Continuous Improvement is the process by which Metro examines its safety performance to identify safety deficiencies and carries out a plan to address them. It consists of formal activities designed to evaluate the effectiveness of the SMS in accordance with section 4.1.2 *Monitoring of Operational Safety Risk Mitigations* and QICO-PRO-P01 *Internal Safety Review Notification and Reporting Procedure*. The key objectives of Continuous Improvement are as follows:

- Identify proactively sub-standard safety performance
- Reveal the causes of sub-standard performance within the SMS
- Determine the implications of sub-standard performance of the SMS in operations and maintenance
- Eliminate or mitigate such causes

This process encompasses various critical elements, including the management of activities through the Safety Risk Management process, effective change management, compliance with relevant procedures, and conducting audits. Internal controls play a significant role in this process, with annual performance evaluations conducted before the revision process of the ASP begins. This enables performance measures, departmental targets, and safety objectives to be aligned with areas requiring improvement. Once deficiencies within the SMS are identified, corrective actions plans must be developed and implemented.

3.7 Corrective Action Plans

By implementing corrective actions, Metro applies lessons learned to drive continuous improvement and risk mitigation. SARE-Quality-1.11.03 *Corrective Action and Preventive Action Procedure* identifies when CAPs are required, the procedure to develop them and the

responsibilities to enforce and execute them. Under the direction of the Accountable Executive, CAPs are also required to address safety deficiencies identified during safety performance monitoring from the sources identified in Section 4.1, Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement or at the direction of the WMSC or FTA.

There are four (4) types of corrective action plans and/or mitigations at Metro:

1. **Corrective Action Plans (CAPs)** – WMSC, FTA or NTSB-issued findings require Metro to develop suitable CAPs. Within 30 days from the date the WMSC issues a finding or recommendation applicable to Metrorail, Metro will propose a CAP; the Office of Quality Assurance, Internal Compliance and Oversight (Quality) manages the submittal process, which includes working with the responsible departments on developing a CAP and actionable items that address the finding or recommendation. For WMSC required CAPs, the WMSC will provide a response to the proposed CAP within 14 days, either approving the CAP or providing comments for adjustments. Metro will address any noted deficiencies within 14 days, followed by a 14-day WMSC response time. This process will continue until the CAP is approved by the WMSC. Metro will take the interim steps required to mitigate the risk and provide other safety improvements while CAPs are under development and review in coordination with Quality. These interim steps will be communicated to the WMSC.

Quality facilitates quarterly meetings with CAP owners to review and receive updates (meetings may occur more frequently as deemed necessary). These meetings may be attended by the WMSC; appropriate Metro representatives from Quality and operating departments will be present to discuss CAP implementation and provide any requested verification documentation to the WMSC. Additionally, Quality maintains a publicly available site where all CAP statuses are updated weekly:

<https://www.wmata.com/initiatives/transparency/>.

2. **Internal Corrective and Preventive Action Plans (iCAPAs)** – Findings from the oversight activities of Quality's Assurance Team and the Department of Safety require departments to develop iCAPAs.
3. **Recommended Corrective Actions (RCAs)** – When the Department of Safety's Investigation Team performs an investigation in response to a Safety Event, Recommended Corrective Actions (RCAs) are developed in coordination with the responsible department that are designed to mitigate the risk of a re-occurrence. The RCAs are included in the Investigation Reports, which, if they pertain to Metrorail, are submitted to the WMSC and subject to their review and adoption in accordance with the Program Standard. When a safety event warrants an expedited corrective action, the Department of Safety will collaborate with the impacted departments to mitigate the resulting risk. Within 24 hours of the immediate corrective actions, Metro will provide all information related to the urgent risk and the corrective action in accordance with notification requirements in the WMSC Program Standard. The required CAP elements, as listed in the WMSC Program Standard, are included.
4. **Risk Mitigations** – Proactive risk mitigations are developed during the Safety Risk Management process described in Section 3.1, Safety Risk Management Process and are tracked and managed in Origami and monitored through the SMS Dashboard.

Every CAP requires coordination across relevant Metro departments to ensure all parties agree, to ensure successful implementation, and to avoid the introduction of unintended hazards.

4.0 Safety Assurance

The Safety Assurance component of Metro's SMS has been designed to meet the requirements established by the FTA and WMSC. In accordance with 49 Part 673.27 *Safety Assurance*, this section of the ASP includes:

4.1 Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement

Additionally, the Safety Assurance segment of the SMS roadmap includes key programmatic milestones that have been prioritized to advance SMS development and implementation:

- Implement improved Voluntary Safety Reporting Program
- Build departmental ownership of risk management in Operations and Infrastructure through a shared investigative process
- Strengthen environmental, health and safety data collection, sharing and reporting capabilities
- Initiate the Emergency Management Accreditation Program

To accurately track all safety reports from all sources, the Office of Safety Informatics & Solutions will Coordinate with Operations, Infrastructure, and Digital Modernization to establish a Consolidated Data Warehouse. The project aims to centralize safety-related data, enabling comprehensive analysis, effective decision-making, and better data transparency.

Metro provides WMSC with the data collected by Metrorail when identifying hazards and assessing and mitigating safety risks. To date, Metro has developed processes for access and provided relevant data to WMSC, as the SSOA, through a consolidated dashboard for the following:

- Employee injuries
- NTD Reportable incidents (and injuries)
- Corrective actions from the Safety Investigation Process and AAR process
- Safety Hotline reports (deidentified)
- Inspection Program (OSO Inspection Process)
- Hazards identified through the SRM process and their associated mitigation plans

The data is accessible via the consolidated dashboard and Consolidated Data Warehouse based on Metro's internal access protocols. Data are refreshed from source data systems once per day. Additional data and/or reporting authority needs that may arise are processed as requested and, and if applicable to Metrorail, will provide as required in a timely manner to promote continued collaboration and compliance with our applicable regulatory obligations associated with our SSOA and applicable program standards.

4.1 Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement

Beyond the day-to-day monitoring of safety performance, the Assurance team is engaged in several key initiatives to improve performance. The Voluntary Safety Reporting Program (VSRP) found in *Safety Reporting Process: Voluntary Safety Reporting Program SOP 4132-3-01/01*, began implementation in 2024 and will continue throughout 2025. Key activities include revising and streamlining the reporting processes, providing training and guidance to employees on the importance of reporting, and revamping a confidential and non-punitive reporting system. The project will involve promoting awareness and participation in the program through communication campaigns and ensuring that reported safety concerns are appropriately addressed and investigated.

While full integration into the SMS is not yet possible for Metro's environmental data, the milestone, *Strengthen Environmental, Health and Safety Data Collection, Sharing and Reporting Capabilities*, is the first step in that direction. The effort aims to improve responsiveness to

environmental incidents by improving tank monitoring software and Initiate process to transfer Geographic Information System (GIS) environmental data to Metro Enterprise GIS System. While Enterprise GIS is currently limited to stormwater infrastructure, future improvements will add additional information to permit shared management of facilities and improved planning for future construction.

4.1.1 Monitoring Operations and Maintenance Procedures

The *Quality Management System Plan* (QMSP) monitors compliance with and sufficiency of operational and maintenance procedures at Metro across all offices, departments, vendors, contracts, and projects. It guides personnel on how to meet its 15 core standards, which are aligned with the FTA Quality Management System guidelines. Each organization must produce a Quality Management Plan (QMP) that outlines how it ensures compliance with the QMSP. Each QMP includes workflow, documentation, performance metrics, quality control measures, and continuous improvement initiatives. Along with its supporting documents, each QMP describes the practices, assigns responsibilities to personnel (by name or position), sets the inspection and testing requirements and the acceptance criteria. It includes any regulations, industry standards, organizational policies, internal guidelines, and best practices necessary to provide the desired outcome. The full list of requirements can be found on the Department of Quality's QMSP intranet site.

The Department of Quality communicates the status of QMSP implementation through monthly status reports provided to departmental leadership, which include the following Key Performance Indicators (KPIs):

- The number and status of identified controlled documents for each department
- The number and status of applicable QMS Core Standards
- The number and percent of department employees who have completed the QMSP Computer-Based Training (CBT)

Departments that perform maintenance activities are required to coordinate across Metro to develop and maintain maintenance control plans that outline:

- All inspections, their intervals and requirements, and their documentation, verification, and distribution
- The applicable standards (regulatory, industry and internal) for all aspects of maintenance
- Procedures for all aspects of maintenance
- Testing processes and procedures for all maintenance activities
- Standards and requirements for scheduled maintenance, deferred maintenance, and determination (destruction/condemnation/disposal)
- Sources of reporting for deficiencies
- Equipment, and small and large tools required to perform the maintenance activities, including IT systems, software, and hardware
- Minimum training requirements for personnel engaged in maintenance activities

4.1.2 Monitoring of Operational Safety Risk Mitigations

Metro has multiple internal safety reviews to monitor compliance with its SMS as described in this ASP. These reviews are required under 49 CFR 673.27(b)(1)&(2), and include: The triennial internal safety review program, Internal self-assessments, performance data tracking, the Employee Voluntary Safety Reporting programs.

The agency's assessment of safety performance includes developing and carrying out a plan (or plans), under the direction of the Accountable Executive, to address safety deficiencies

identified during a safety performance assessment (673.27(d)(2)). The Office of Safety Assurance in the Department of Safety assure that these activities take place.

The Triennial Internal Safety Review Program

The Department of Quality manages and executes this program, pursuant to 49 CFR 673. compliance with this ASP, including safety-critical item requirements, is assessed for each department's functional areas to include Engineering & Maintenance, Service Delivery, and Capital Program Management & Execution once every three years. In cases where non-compliances, deficiencies, or failures of SMS are identified, corrective action plans must be developed and implemented by the respective department or functional area.

The Department of Quality Standard Operating Procedure (QICO-PRO-P01, *Internal Safety Review Notification and Reporting Procedure*) documents activities related to triennial reviews. According to this procedure, the Department of Quality notifies the WMSC and provides the Scope of Work, and checklist that will be utilized during the review at least 30 days before the review commences, in accordance with the WMSC Program Standard.

On or before February 1st of each year, the Department of Quality submits an annual Safety Review Report to the WMSC, which is endorsed by the Accountable Executive. WMSC will review and approve the report in accordance with the process reflected in their Program Standard. This report encompasses the following elements:

- A summary of all completed Metro internal safety reviews performed or completed during the prior calendar year (January–December), including safety-critical items.
- Findings of all internal safety reviews with clear indication of whether each safety element complies with the PTASP or other relevant documentation.
- An itemized list of internal Corrective and Preventive Actions (iCAPAs), their actual or scheduled completion date, and the status for each iCAPA.
- A letter signed by the General Manager certifying that Metrorail is compliant with the PTASP. This certification letter must describe compliance with all PTASP elements and not just those elements that were subject to internal safety reviews in the previous year. For areas not in compliance, Metro must state the action being taken to achieve compliance.

The Department of Quality regularly provides reports on the outcomes of internal safety reviews to the ESC on a quarterly basis, at minimum. Furthermore, significant findings from these reviews are reported to the ESC and other relevant safety committees. The Department of Quality also reports on the development of – and progress made with – corrective and preventive actions in accordance with WMATA-SARE-1.11.03 *Corrective Action and Preventive Action Procedure*, in response to review findings.

Internal SMS Self-Assessment

Each department and functional area is responsible for conducting an annual audit of its own SMS compliance. The purpose of this audit is to verify that hazards are being appropriately identified, assessed, and mitigated through the safety risk management process.

While the SRCs are responsible for the establishment of their department's internal assessment process, the Safety Risk Management Team from the Department of Safety works with each SRC to ensure these processes are of high-quality and are consistent across the Authority. Once established, the Assurance team monitors compliance.

Performance Data Tracking

The Department of Safety's Safety Informatics & Solutions team works with the SRCs to determine how the effectiveness of risk mitigations will be measured. Each risk will have KPIs that inform whether mitigations are working as intended or if additional action needs to be taken. At a minimum, Department of Safety holds a monthly meeting with SRCs where lessons learned

from Safety Risk Management implementation are discussed which include but are not limited to determining KPIs, safety target setting, and interpreting changes in KPI performance.

Employee Voluntary Safety Reporting Programs

Each department and functional area within the organization is obligated to monitor employee reporting, take action on reports, and update employees on progress. This is accomplished through the activities of the SRCs and DSCs. As the SMS continues to evolve and improve, the use of dashboards will be implemented to enhance the sharing and presentation of this information. These dashboards will enable more efficient and effective communication and analysis of the collected data.

EVPs shall receive monthly reports on the safety reports for their area through the SMS Dashboard, safety committees, and discussed at the Executive Safety Committee (ESC) or other means of their preference. Additionally, summaries of employee reporting will be made accessible to all departments and areas for their reference and analysis.

The Department of Safety conducts monthly assessments of the Safety Hotline and any reports received directly by the Office of Inspector General (OIG). However, if the OIG's information pertains to an ongoing Department of Safety investigation or if anonymity is specifically requested, it will be handled separately. The OIG will provide reports on any such investigations at the Board's direction.

Safety Oversight Inspections

The Department of Safety conducts regular oversight inspections of the operations and maintenance activities of Metrorail, Metrobus, MetroAccess, and their supporting maintenance groups. These inspections support Metro's compliance with 49 CFR 673.27(b), including:

- Monitoring operations and maintenance activities for compliance with, and sufficiency of, the agency's procedures for operations and maintenance. (673.27(b)(1))
- Monitoring safety risk mitigations to identify any safety risk mitigations that may be ineffective, inappropriate, or were not implemented as intended; (673.27(b)(2))
- Inspecting and validating information reported through any internal safety reporting programs. (673.27(b)(4))

Internal Safety Reviews and data from other quality review activities in section 4.1.1 may be used to inform and prioritize of Safety Oversight activities.

In accordance with the WMSC Program Standard section 6 on WMSC inspection activities, the WMSC may conduct announced and unannounced inspections of WMATA Rail System locations and property.

WMSC Risk Based Inspection Program

In accordance with the WMSC Program Standard section 6 on WMSC inspection activities, the WMSC may conduct inspections of all WMATA Rail System locations, equipment, records, documents, or other area, regardless of storage format or security classification.

For access to WMATA locations and systems, the WMSC shall provide the WMATA WMSC Contracting Officer Technical Representative (COTR) with the list of personnel that require a WMATA OneBadge and WMATA issued laptop. The COTR shall work with the WMATA Office of Security and Infrastructure Protection and the Department of Digital Transformation to provide the badges and laptops.

4.1.3 Safety Investigations

Metro must report all safety events as required by the FTA and, and applicable Metrorail safety events to the WMSC. If an event qualifies as a Metrorail and FTA-reportable, Metro must notify

the WMSC and FTA within two hours. The WMSC Program Standard outlines the reporting process, while the FTA is notified through the USDOT Transportation Operations Center via email at TOC-01@dot.gov or by phone at (202) 366-1863.

The document, *Department of Safety Investigation Procedures*, 4131-3-01, provides detailed instructions on notification and reporting for Rail, Bus, and MetroAccess safety events. It also sets the investigation process, including the notification and investigation steps, timelines, investigation milestones, expected reporting outcomes, and how Metro works with the WMSC. Each safety investigation is conducted in compliance with Metro P/I 7.7.3 *Drug and Alcohol Policy and Testing Program*, which establishes requirements and responsibilities for administering the required programs. The Investigation Report evaluates the contributing factors and root causes and applies the Just Culture Flowchart to the analysis of the choices of the individuals involved. Corrective actions are developed by the Department of Safety investigating entity along with the operational department in accordance with SOP SARE-QUALITY-1.11 *Corrective Action and Preventive Action Procedure*. These actions are electronically tracked using the Safety Universal Data System and monitored through to completion.

If WMSC leads their own investigation, Metro will provide the WMSC with the resources and information necessary to conduct the investigation in an effective manner on a timeline set by them. WMSC investigators will determine whether CAPs are required to address the root and contributing causes of the safety event. At the conclusion of the investigation, the WMSC provides a draft report to Metro. The Department of Safety will convene a working group of applicable departments to review and comment on the report. Metro will submit comments to the WMSC within 15 days of receipt of the report.

In 2025, the Safety Investigations Team will work with the Office of Safety Risk Management to enable SRCs, or another responsible accountable person in the department, to utilize the Safety Risk Management process to support the implementation of Recommended Corrective Actions (RCAs) as they relate to hazards that have been identified as part of an investigation. The Office of Safety Investigations is a part of the monthly SRC meetings which allow for continual follow up on the implementation of RCAs.

4.1.4 Information Monitoring

Metro departments and functional areas are each responsible for working with the Department of Safety to identify, collect and analyze the data required to measure the effectiveness of the actions taken to reduce their safety risks as low as reasonably practicable. This information will be used for three purposes:

1. To ensure all departments and functional areas establish and achieve performance targets related to their daily operations;
2. To ensure that the system-wide performance measures are being met;
3. To ensure that all departments and functional areas are aware of trends, hazards, and safety performance in all other departments.

Sources of data include, but are not limited to:

- Employee voluntary reporting systems and Safety Committee activities
- Supervisory reports, such as field reports and observations
- Inspections and audits, such as preventive maintenance, procedural compliance activities, and quality control activities
- WMSC inspections, WMSC audits, or data resulting from another WMSC oversight activity
- Qualitative Performance results from drills, exercises and actual emergency events

- Quantitative performance results, NTD data collection and reporting, Key performance indicators
- Customer and public comments, complaints, recommendations, injury reports, survey results, etc.
- Safety Event Investigations (hazards, collisions, derailments, security, etc.)
- Planning and scheduling data collection
- Feedback on Safety Promotion activities (job briefings, awareness campaigns, departmental meetings)
- Safety and security certification, system modification and procurement activities
- Drug and alcohol compliance programs
- Training and training QA activities
- Transit asset management activities

All departments have an obligation to actively monitor their operations, both directly through field observations and indirectly through data collection. Additionally, they are responsible for gathering voluntary data and information through the employee safety reporting program. The primary objective of these efforts is to promptly identify potential hazards and ensure that data is collected from various activities, facilitating trend analysis and enabling the prevention of recurring incidents and future adverse consequences.

Safety has Process Number 4132-4-02, *Data Collection for National Transit Database Reportable Events*, which outlines how safety data is managed. To effectively address deficiencies and lapses in terms of risk and resource allocation across the entire system, EVPs are expected to be well-versed in safety-related data and performance information. This familiarity ensures that they can appropriately address any issues during ESC meetings and take necessary actions to mitigate risks and allocate resources effectively.

[WMSC Data Access](#)

In accordance, but not limited to, section 1 parts B and E of the WMSC Program Standard WMATA will give access to the WMATA's Rail System's electronic systems. The WMSC will consult WMATA in determining the most effective form of access to the WMATA Rail System's electronic systems. WMATA will ensure that the WMSC has immediate and unimpeded access to all Metrorail systems, as required by applicable law and policy.

5.0 Safety Promotion

The goal of Safety Promotion is to shape and reinforce the safety culture required to ensure the long-term, sustained effectiveness of SMS. The Safety Promotion component of Metro's SMS has been designed to meet the requirements established by the FTA and WMSC, where applicable. In accordance with 49 CFR 673.29 Safety Promotion includes:

5.1 Competencies and Training

5.2 Safety Communication

Additionally, the Safety Promotion segment of the SMS roadmap includes key programmatic milestones that have been prioritized to advance SMS development and implementation:

- Deliver and reinforce SMS training to the workforce
- Design and execute enhanced recognition program
- Complete Safety Culture Re-assessment
- Develop Employee Stress Management Plan for Critical Incidents
- Launch modernization of RWP training

5.1 Competencies and Training

Instruction in safe methods of operation and safety procedures is included in manuals, handbooks, and other documentation developed for the training and certification of operations and maintenance personnel. Each department has developed training systems that include in-house classroom training, on-the-job training, equipment safety training, and testing. Each department is responsible for establishing safety-training requirements in conjunction with the Department of Safety. The Department of Safety provides occupational and environmental safety training at the Carmen Turner Facility or Metro facilities and maintenance shops.

Managers are required to review training records periodically to ensure employees are in compliance with training and certification requirements. Employees have the responsibility to maintain a general awareness of the training associated with their occupation and overall understanding of their completion status. The Department of Safety evaluates departmental safety training programs and provides technical expertise and oversight as necessary. Identification of protective devices and emergency equipment is included in the training documentation and instruction.

Additionally, safety bulletins, notices, posters, and signage are used as appropriate to enhance safety awareness during all phases of system operations. Proficiency demonstrations and certifications are required of all operations and maintenance personnel. A comprehensive listing of safety-related classes may be found in Appendix D – Safety-related Training by Group.

5.1.1 Employee Safety Training

Metro continuously improves its comprehensive staff training program for operations and maintenance personnel. Specifically, Operations implements this training program in accordance with OPMS-001-11 *OPMS Standard Operating Procedures* and BTRA-BTRN-MAN01-00 *BUS Training Standard Operating Procedures*.

Safety training is overseen by the Department of Technical Training and Development and conducted by multiple departments. Each department is responsible for establishing training requirements and assuring that the necessary training is accomplished. The following safety-related courses are provided:

- **New Employee Onboarding** – Employee onboarding is conducted for all new Metro employees to inform them of the agency's current safety programs and procedures (e.g., safety reporting and emergency response awareness training). This onboarding program includes the *Introduction to Metro's Safety Management System and How to Report Hazards* training and meets the requirements of 49 C.F.R 673 and is mandatory for all Metro employees.
- **First Aid and Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) Training** – First Aid, CPR and Automatic External Defibrillator (AED) training is provided to Rail Supervisors, Station Managers, Power employees, and other employees, as required by class specification. MTPD personnel receive this training at the Police Training Academy and refresher training during mandatory in-service retraining. There are classes open to all Metro employees as well. The National Safety Council or other nationally accredited courses and instruction methodologies are used for First Aid, CPR, and AED training.
- **Special Safety Presentations** – Special safety training presentations are made at work locations to instruct employees on methods to help prevent traffic, passenger, and employee accidents.
- **Safety Related Operations and Maintenance Training** – Safety training is embedded in the technical and operations training courses provided to categories of employees including, but not limited to, train operators, bus operators, non-revenue (service) vehicle

and equipment operators, maintenance of way employees, rail car maintenance employees, MICC personnel, engineering personnel, bus maintenance employees, and MTPD officers.

- **De-escalation training** – designed to reduce verbal confrontations and physical altercations, is administered by MTPD. Metro is working to expand the training to all transit workers, initially a focus on Bus operators, and is in the process of developing a refresher training plan. Currently, all personnel are required to take the course once, but any person may re-take the course for any reason, at any time.
- **Hazard Communication Training** – All infrastructure and support personnel who are required to use chemicals and hazardous or toxic substances are trained in the safe use of such substances. Employees who move to new positions are provided training in the use of any new chemicals that they may be assigned to use by the supervisor.
- **SMS Training for Managers and Employees** – Covers the elements of Safety Management Systems including: the four components of SMS, required notifications per the WMSC Program Standard, safety event reporting and investigations, close call and employee safety reporting, an SMS dashboard overview, and an overview of the Safety Risk Coordinators' role in SMS. Initial training was developed and launched in 2023 and is currently being enhanced with a targeted completion date of the end of 2025. This updated training should launch in January 2026; it will include contractors and require refresher training every two years.

5.1.2 Safety Rules and Procedures Training

Metro personnel are trained to perform their work in accordance with the safety rules and procedures applicable to their office. The Department of Technical Training and Development provides job familiarization training to technical skills employees, which includes an overview of basic job safety and applicable rules.

Roadway Worker Protection Training

Metro's Departments of Safety and Technical Training and Development are redesigning of the Roadway Worker Protection (RWP) training program. The changes are intended to promote worker safety and align with the requirements defined in 49 CFR 671 Rail Transit Roadway Worker Protection. The redesign emphasizes an interactive training program, including experience in scenario-based training and in a representative field setting. It additionally allows for focused, role-based training for transit workers who are responsible for on-track safety. Personnel are trained to identify the hazards inherent with roadway work, as well as the mitigations applied to prevent the realization of the associated risks. This approach emphasizes hands-on training and immersive scenarios to allow workers to actively engage in practical learning, make informed decisions, and assess risks in a controlled environment. Ultimately, the RWP redesign aims to enhance worker skills and improve safety outcomes in RWP operations.

Incident Response Training

Emergency Preparedness Training – Front-line employees are provided training on the National Incident Management System through FEMA's National Incident Management System (NIMS) Independent Study (IS) 100 and IS 700 online courses. Employees who routinely interact with the public and could be a part of or discover an emergency situation are trained as Metro Incident Initial Responders (MIR) under the Incident Management Framework (IMF) program. Other personnel who could assume an incident command role within the Authority during an emergency are provided Metro WMATA Incident Commander (MWIC) training as part of the Incident Management Framework (IMF). This training includes both a didactic and live practical incident command simulation training in both single and unified commands. MTPD Lieutenants

and above as well as the Office of Emergency Preparedness Response & Recovery Coordination staff receive advanced incident command training for larger incidents.

Personnel from local jurisdictional law enforcement, fire departments and transportation federal agencies and departments are provided training in their role of managing traffic and pedestrian flow, roadway safety, access, and various rescue situations, as well as in working with Metro in a Unified Command during a Metro incident.

The impact of an incident can sometimes be felt for long after the immediate threat has passed. The intention behind the milestone Develop an Employee Stress Management Plan for Critical Incidents is to establish a comprehensive framework to support and assist employees in managing stress and emotional challenges resulting from critical incidents. The key activities of this project involve conducting a thorough assessment of potential stressors and identifying strategies and resources to address and mitigate the impact of critical incidents on employee well-being. This includes developing protocols for early intervention, providing access to counseling services, organizing workshops or training sessions on stress management techniques, and fostering a supportive work environment that encourages open communication and peer support. By implementing this plan, the organization aims to promote the mental health and resilience of its employees, ensuring their well-being during and after critical incidents.

Additional Safety Training

Additional Safety training is provided as required by applicable environmental, health, and safety regulations and department training matrixes. Examples of these trainings are provided below:

- Bloodborne Pathogens
- Confined Space Entry
- Cranes
- Electrical Safety
- Fall Protection
- Fire Extinguisher Training
- Hazard Communication
- Hazardous Waste
- Hearing Conservation
- Lockout/Tagout
- Permit Required Confined Space
- Personal Protective Equipment
- Powered Industrial Truck
- Respiratory Protection
- Respirable Crystalline Silica

5.1.3 Contractor Safety

Contractors are responsible for ensuring compliance with the U.S. Department of Labor Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) standards, Metro current policies requirements, and applicable Occupational Safety and Health statutes and regulations of the District of Columbia, State of Maryland, Commonwealth of Virginia, or political subdivision in which the work is being performed.

Contractors must submit to Metro project management all required safety training certifications and relevant safety documentation pertinent to the work to be performed under the contract to be reviewed and approved by SAFE before the commencement of work. Operations Safety Oversight Safety Specialists perform regular safety inspections and audits of contractor work sites to assess contractor safety compliance and adherence to policies and regulations. Following their inspection, Safety Specialists prepare an inspection report documenting deficiencies identified during the inspection. This report is transmitted but limited to the contractor and metro project management personnel associated with the project. Deficiencies noted are tracked in the Safety Inspection Application and Safety Specialists work with contractor personnel to resolve. Data from these inspections may be monitored for trends by the

Office of Safety Informatics & Solutions and hazards are created, mitigated, and monitored when appropriate.

Contractors who perform work on, or interface with the operating system, are required by contract to verify that supervisors and assigned employees attend contractor RWP training when applicable. The contractor must, within five (5) days after receipt of Notice to Proceed, submit through Metro's COTR or their delegate to the Department of Safety, a request to schedule and conduct the Contractor Roadway Worker Protection (CRWP) training for all contract personnel who will be engaged in the performance of contract work on or above the roadway.

CRWP training and qualification must be renewed annually. The contractor must not perform work at the contract site(s) on or above the Roadway, until all personnel of the contract work force are CRWP trained and qualified. The contractor must also follow all applicable rules and procedures while working in the operating rail system. Additional contractor requirements may be found at the Construction Safety Manual located on the Department of Safety MetroDocs site.

5.1.4 Training Recordkeeping

Training records are maintained in an Enterprise Learning Management (ELM) system that is available to all supervisors and employees. The course owners (i.e., those giving the course) are responsible for updating and maintaining their training rosters in this database.

5.1.5 Compliance with Training Requirements

Training requirements for each position and employee are included in ELM. Audits can be performed using the database to review training records of individual employees to determine compliance with training requirements. Per the QMSP, each department head or their designee's responsibility is to develop and maintain a required training matrix for each position and employee within their department and to verify that the matrix is updated and maintained. Periodic notifications are sent to supervisors if required courses are not completed within the required period.

5.1.6 SMS-specific Training Requirements

Metro has identified the following SMS training for employees:

- Individuals directly responsible for safety must meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 672, the *Public Transportation Safety Certification Training Program* (PTSCTP), including a 2-year refresher training interval. These individuals are those whose primary job function includes the development, implementation, and review of the ASP, 49 CFR Part 672 requirements, or WMSC requirements.
This group includes the EVP/CSRO, SVP/ACSO, Senior Director of Safety Assurance, Senior Director of Safety Risk Management, Director of Safety Certification and Engineering, and the VP of Quality Assurance, Internal Compliance and Oversight.
- SRCs are present at the facility and departmental level and are designated to support the Accountable Executive and CSRO in implementing this ASP. These individuals are identified in Appendix D by job title. Recommended training for SRCs includes: One-hour course on SMS Awareness (FTA/TSI e-Learning); Two-hour course on SMS Safety Assurance (FTA/TSI instructor-led Virtual Live Training) and a 20-hour course on SMS Principles for Transit (FTA/TSI, instructor-led). The one-hour course on SMS Awareness (FTA/TSI e-Learning) must be completed within 12 months of designation or hire. Instructor-led training is contingent upon class availability by TSI. The Safety Risk Management team offers initial trainings for newly hired SRCs on principles of SMS, Metro's safety risk

management processes, and Safety Committee facilitation. These trainings are archived and available as guidance on the SRC Hub, an SRC resource page on the Department of Safety's Metroweb site. SRCs receive hands-on coaching and mentorship from the Department of Safety's Safety Risk Management Team.

- SMS training for managers and employees will be developed by incorporating lessons learned to improve upon the training piloted with the MICC. Initial training was developed and launched in 2023, that training is being enhanced and updated with a targeted completion date of the end of 2025. The updated training should launch in January 2026, covering contractors and requiring refresher training every two years. The content of this training includes:
 - Overview of the four components of SMS
 - Required notifications reflected in the WMSC Program Standard
 - Safety Event Reporting and Investigations
 - Close Call and Employee Safety Reporting
 - SMS Dashboard Access and Usage
 - SRCs and Submitting Risks
- As noted in Section 4.1.2, the triennial internal safety review program is executed per the requirements of by 49 CFR 674.27(a)(5). The training requirements for personnel conducting triennial Internal Safety Review includes: One (1) hour course on SMS Awareness (FTA/TSI e-Learning); Two (2) hour course on Safety Assurance (FTA/TSI instructor-led Virtual Live Training) and a 20-hour course on SMS Principles for Transit (FTA/TSI, instructor-led). The (1) hour course on SMS Awareness (FTA/TSI e-Learning) must be completed within 12 months of designation or hire.

5.2 Safety Communication

Effective safety communication is one of the foundational philosophies of SMS. Its purposes are to:

- Ensure that personnel are aware of the SMS;
- Promote awareness on how to identify hazards and how to report safety concerns;
- Convey safety-critical information;
- Explain why particular safety actions are taken;
- Explain why safety procedures are introduced or changed; and
- Provide feedback and updates on employee-reported hazards and safety concerns.

The primary safety communication responsibility of senior executive team at Metro under the requirements of 49 CFR 673.23(c) and 49 CFR 673.29 is to communicate the Safety Management Policy actively and personally to employees and contractors. Any changes to the Safety Management Policy must be approved and distributed by the senior executive team to employees. This is primarily implemented through the committee process, but every executive is also required to visibly endorse the Safety Management Policy to employees in the area they control.

5.2.1 Direct Staff communication

The primary safety communication methods include Safety Alerts and Safety Bulletins, print and digital signage, collateral material, videos, webinars, and Department of Safety team communication with stakeholders. Additionally, Department of Safety partners with the Department of Customer Engagement and Experience to integrate safety messaging into corporate communications and initiatives. The Department of Safety has a recurring section in

Metro's biweekly staff newsletter, MetroVoices Wire, and participates in corporate and department-level promotional awareness campaigns. Additionally, the Department of Safety partners with Operations and Infrastructure to share information (such as Safety Bulletins, Safety Alerts, Operating Practices Advisories, etc.) to use as part of Toolbox Talks, Safety Briefings, Safety stand-downs, manager/supervisor interactions, and daily meetings. Safety performance is further communicated through regular presentations and recorded minutes of Department Safety Committees, Facility Safety Committees, the Joint Labor-Management Safety Committee, and the Executive Safety Committee, in addition to Board of Directors public meeting presentations, agency-wide data dashboards and ad hoc agency-wide correspondence to communicate performance trends and targets. Safety performance is also presented in the annual "State of Safety" webinar held each year by Safety leadership and reinforced by department-specific Safety Risk Coordinators.

Milestones

We continue to emphasize leadership's commitment to improving our safety performance and our safety culture through two high-profile activities that will reach all members of Metro's workforce. The first of these activities is our comprehensive Enhanced Recognition Program which acknowledges and appreciates employees who consistently demonstrate exemplary safety practices. Metro aims to motivate employees to prioritize safety in their daily work activities and contribute to a safer work environment for everyone through the reinforcement of a positive safety culture. This proactive and regular reinforcement on how to identify and mitigate hazards through reporting will perpetuate a Just Culture across the organization and increase awareness of safe organizational practices.

The second milestone is the analysis of the 2025 Safety Culture Re-Assessment. This second iteration of the survey seeks to build upon the initial baseline cultural assessment conducted by MITRE in 2022, which reached 2,218 employees and contractors (20% of the workforce) and gathering their views on leadership's commitment to safety, the effectiveness of reporting programs and other key topics. The full results of the successor assessment will be available on the Department of Safety's site on Metroweb. Following the anticipated Fall 2025 survey, Metro will identify promotional and policy-based modifications based on agency-wide feedback to ensure continued awareness and impact of SMS implementation activities on our safety culture. As a vital extension of our overall safety promotions work, we are continuing our partnership with MITRE to improve the assessment to leverage the momentum of our SMS and Just Culture activities, thereby more accurately measuring the safety culture across all areas of the organization. The modifications are expected to generate more engagement throughout the agency and will provide greater insight into the maturation of our SMS and overall safety culture at Metro.


6.0 Documentation

In accordance with 49 CFR 673.31 Safety plan documentation, Metro retains all documentation associated with the development and implementation of its SMS for a minimum of three years. The governance standard of Metro's controlled documents is established by P/I 1.1 *Document Governance and Hierarchy* and the QMSP; the Department of Safety has identified the procedures and location of SMS documentation in its Document Control Procedure – 0010-3-01 and its Record Retention Process – 0020-3-01. This ASP and key SMS documentation are made available to all Metro personnel on its intranet through the *MetroDocs* site on *Metroweb*.

All the documentation referenced in the ASP is considered SMS documentation and has been provided to the WMSC as part of the ASP annual review and when requested. In accordance with WMSC's Program Standard:

- Not less frequently than every three months, Metro issues a notification to all Metro officials, employees, consultants, and contractors directing all such personnel to cooperate and respond immediately to requests made by WMSC personnel and to promptly provide any requested information directly to the WMSC.
- Metro includes this notification as part of every new employee on-boarding. For contractors, Metro includes this notification to the contractor in each authorization to begin work.
- In addition, Metro must not retaliate against any official, employee, consultant, or contractor who interacts with the WMSC. Metro may not proscribe or otherwise discourage communication, cooperation, or the sharing of information with the WMSC. This is also conveyed in the notification.
- Metro will also cooperate and respond immediately to provide information to Federal entities (DOT, FTA, NTSB, etc.) upon request, as required by applicable law and policy.

Appendix A – SMS Strategic Roadmap



Appendix B – Definitions

Accountable Executive means a single, identifiable person who has ultimate responsibility for carrying out the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan of a transit agency; responsibility for carrying out the transit agency's Transit Asset Management Plan; and control or direction over the human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain both the transit agency's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan, in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5329(d), and the agency's Transit Asset Management Plan in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5326.

Assault on a transit worker means, as defined under 49 U.S.C. 5302, a circumstance in which an individual knowingly, without lawful authority or permission, and with intent to endanger the safety of any individual, or with a reckless disregard for the safety of human life, interferes with, disables, or incapacitates a transit worker while the transit worker is performing the duties of the transit worker.

Administrator means the Federal Transit Administrator or the Administrator's designee.

CDC means the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention of the United States Department of Health and Human Services

Chief Safety Officer means an adequately trained individual who has responsibility for safety and reports directly to a transit agency's chief executive officer, general manager, president, or equivalent officer. A Chief Safety Officer may not serve in other operational or maintenance capacities, unless the Chief Safety Officer is employed by a transit agency that is a small public transportation provider as defined in this part, or a public transportation provider that does not operate a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.

Corrective action plan means a plan developed across the agency that describes the actions the Rail Transit Agency will take to minimize, control, correct, or eliminate risks and hazards, and the schedule for taking those actions. Either a State Safety Oversight Agency or FTA may require a Rail Transit Agency to develop and carry out a corrective action plan.

Direct recipient means an entity that receives Federal financial assistance directly from the Federal Transit Administration

Corrective action plan means a plan developed across the agency that describes the actions the Rail Transit Agency will take to minimize, control, correct, or eliminate risks and hazards, and the schedule for taking those actions. Either a State Safety Oversight Agency or FTA may require a Rail Transit Agency to develop and carry out a corrective action plan.

Emergency means, as defined under 49 U.S.C. 5324, a natural disaster affecting a wide area (such as a flood, hurricane, tidal wave, earthquake, severe storm, or landslide) or a catastrophic failure from any external cause, as a result of which the Governor of a State has declared an emergency and the Secretary has concurred; or the President has declared a major disaster under section 401 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5170)

Equivalent entity means an entity that carries out duties similar to that of a Board of Directors, for a recipient or subrecipient of FTA funds under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53, including sufficient authority to review and approve a recipient or subrecipient's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan.

FTA means the Federal Transit Administration, an operating administration within the United States Department of Transportation.

Hazard means any real or potential condition that can cause injury, illness, or death; damage to or loss of the facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure of a public transportation system; or damage to the environment.

Injury means any harm to persons as a result of an event that requires immediate medical attention away from the scene.

Investigation means the process of determining the causal and contributing factors of a safety event or hazard, for the purpose of preventing recurrence and mitigating safety risk.

Joint labor-management process means a formal approach to discuss topics affecting transit workers and the public transportation system.

Just Culture means a shared culture of accountability and awareness for systems to ensure all respond and behave in a manner that is fair and just to all personnel.

Large urbanized area provider means a recipient or subrecipient of financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. 5307 that serves an urban area with a population of 200,000 or more as determined by the most recent decennial Census.

National Public Transportation Safety Plan means the plan to improve the safety of all public transportation systems that receive Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53

Near-miss means a narrowly avoided safety event.

Operator of a public transportation system means a provider of public transportation.

Performance Measure means an expression based on a quantifiable indicator of performance or condition that is used to establish targets and to assess progress toward meeting the established targets.

Person means a passenger, employee, contractor, consultant, pedestrian, trespasser, or any individual on the property of a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.

Potential consequence means the effect of a hazard.

Public transportation means, as defined under 49 U.S.C. 5302, regular, continuing shared-ride surface transportation services that are open to the general public or open to a segment of the general public defined by age, disability, or low income; and does not include:

- (1) Intercity passenger rail transportation provided by the entity described in 49 U.S.C. chapter 243 (or successor to such entity);
- (2) Intercity bus service;
- (3) Charter bus service;
- (4) School bus service;
- (5) Sightseeing service;
- (6) Courtesy shuttle service for patrons of one or more specific establishments; or
- (7) Intra-terminal or intra-facility shuttle services.

Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan means the documented comprehensive agency safety plan for a transit agency that is required by 49 U.S.C. 5329 and this part.

Public Transportation Safety Certification Training Program means either the certification training program for Federal and State employees, or other designated personnel, who conduct safety audits and examinations of public transportation systems, and employees of public transportation agencies directly responsible for safety oversight, established through interim provisions in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5329(c)(2), or the program authorized by 49 U.S.C. 5329(c)(1).

Rail Fixed Guideway Public Transportation System (RFGPTS) means any fixed guideway system, or any such system in engineering or construction, that uses rail, is operated for public transportation, is within the jurisdiction of a State, and is not subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Railroad Administration. These include but are not limited to rapid rail, heavy rail, light rail, monorail, trolley, inclined plane, funicular, and automated guideway.

Rail Transit Agency means any entity that provides services on a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.

Recipient means a State or local governmental authority, or any other operator of a public transportation system, that receives financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. chapter 53.

Roadway means land on which rail transit tracks and support infrastructure have been constructed to support the movement of rail transit vehicles, excluding station platforms.

Safety Assurance means processes within a transit agency's Safety Management System that functions to ensure the implementation and effectiveness of safety risk mitigation, and to ensure that the transit agency meets or exceeds its safety objectives through the collection, analysis, and assessment of information.

Safety Committee means the formal joint labor-management committee on issues related to safety that is required by 49 U.S.C. 5329 and this part.

Safety Critical Item means any component of an asset containing a characteristic where the failure, malfunction, or absence of which could cause:

- i. A catastrophic or critical failure resulting in loss of, or serious damage to, a major asset or infrastructure; or
- ii. An unacceptable risk of personal injury or loss of life.

Safety event means an unexpected outcome resulting in injury or death; damage to or loss of the facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure of a public transportation system; or damage to the environment.

Safety Management Policy means a transit agency's documented commitment to safety, which defines the transit agency's safety objectives and the accountabilities and responsibilities for the management of safety.

Safety Management System (SMS) means the formal organization-wide approach to managing safety risk and assuring the effectiveness of a transit agency's safety risk mitigation. SMS includes systematic procedures, practices, and policies for managing hazards and safety risk.

Safety Management System (SMS) Executive means a Chief Safety Officer or an equivalent.

Safety Performance Target means a quantifiable level of performance or condition, expressed as a value for the measure, related to safety management activities, to be achieved within a specified time period.

Safety Promotion means a combination of training and communication of safety information to support SMS as applied to the transit agency's public transportation system.

Safety risk means the composite of predicted severity and likelihood of a potential consequence of a hazard.

Safety Risk Assessment means the formal activity whereby a transit agency determines Safety Risk Management priorities by establishing the significance or value of its safety risks.

Safety Risk Management (SRM) means a process within a transit agency's Safety Management System for identifying hazards and analyzing, assessing, and mitigating the safety risk of their potential consequences.

Safety risk mitigation means a method or methods to eliminate or reduce the severity and/or likelihood of a potential consequence of a hazard.

Safety set-aside means the allocation of not less than 0.75 percent of assistance received by a large urbanized area provider under 49 U.S.C. 5307 to safety-related projects eligible under 49 U.S.C. 5307.

Safety Universal Data System is the new name for the former Safety Measurement System, which is a suite of applications that enable the Department of Safety to measure the overall system safety.

Small public transportation provider means a recipient or subrecipient of Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. 5307 that has one hundred (100) or fewer vehicles in peak revenue service across all non-rail fixed route modes or in any one non-fixed route mode and does not operate a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.

State means a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Virgin Islands.

State of Good Repair means the condition in which a capital asset is able to operate at a full level of performance.

State Safety Oversight Agency (SSOA) means an agency established by a State that meets the requirements and performs the functions specified by 49 U.S.C. 5329(e) and (k) and the regulations set forth in 49 CFR part 674.

Subrecipient means an entity that receives Federal transit grant funds indirectly through a State or a direct recipient.

Transit Agency means an operator of a public transportation system that is a recipient or subrecipient of Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. 5307 or a rail transit agency.

Transit Asset Management Plan means the strategic and systematic practice of procuring, operating, inspecting, maintaining, rehabilitating, and replacing transit capital assets to manage their performance, risks, and costs over their life cycles, for the purpose of providing safe, cost-effective, and reliable public transportation, as required by 49 U.S.C. 5326 and 49 CFR part 625.

Transit worker means any employee, contractor, or volunteer working on behalf of the transit agency.

Urbanized area means, as defined under 49 U.S.C. 5302, an area encompassing a population of 50,000 or more that has been defined and designated in the most recent decennial census as an urban area by the Secretary of Commerce.

Vehicle means any rolling stock used on a rail fixed guideway public transportation system, including but not limited to passenger and maintenance vehicles as well as buses, and automobiles used for paratransit services.

Appendix C – Acronyms

ACSO	Assistant Chief Safety Officer
AED	Automatic External Defibrillator
ASP	Agency Safety Plan
C3RP	Confidential Close Call Reporting Program
CAP	Corrective Action Plans
CBT	Computer-Based Training
CCTV	Closed-Circuit Television
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CIPSEA	Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act
COO	Chief Operations Officer
COTR	Contracting Officer Technical Representative
CPR	Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation
CRWP	Contractor Roadway Worker Protection
CSCM	Office of Customer Service, Communications & Marketing
CSRO	Chief Safety and Readiness Officer
DC	District of Columbia
DGM	Deputy General Manager
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
DSC	Departmental Safety Committee
ELM	Enterprise Learning Management
EOP	Emergency Operations Plan
ESC	Executive Safety Committee
EVP	Executive Vice President
FSC	Facility Safety Committee
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
GIS	Geographic Information System
GM	General Manager
iCAPA	Internal Corrective and Preventive Action Plans
IIJA	Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act
IMF	Incident Management Framework
JHA	Job Hazard Analysis
JLMSC	Joint Labor and Management Safety Committee
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
MAC	Mission Assurance Coordinator
MICC	Metro Integrated Command & Communications Center
MIR	Metro Incident Responders
MOA	Memoranda of Agreement
MOR	Metrorail Operating Rules
MOU	Memoranda of Understanding
MTPD	Metro Transit Police Department

MWCOG	Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
NIMS	National Incident Management System
NTD	National Transit Database
NTSB	National Transportation Safety Board
OEP	Office of Emergency Preparedness
OHAW	Office of Occupational Health and Wellness
OIG	Office of Inspector General
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
P/I	Policy/Instruction
PTASP	Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan
PTSCTP	Public Transportation Safety Certification Training Program
QMP	Departmental Quality Management Plan
QMSP	Quality Management System Plan
RCA	Recommended Corrective Action
RRC	Response and Recovery Coordination
RSSC	Rail Safety Standards Committee
RWP	Roadway Worker Protection
SARA	Scanning, Analysis, Response, Assessment
SDS	Safety Data Sheets
SME	Subject Matter Expert
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SRC	Safety Risk Coordinator
SRM	Safety Risk Management
SSOA	State Safety Oversight Agency
SUDS	Safety Universal Data System
SVP	Senior Vice President
TAM	Transit Asset Management Plan
TSI	Transportation Safety Institute
TSSP	Transit Safety and Security Program
U.S.C.	United States Code
VRM	Vehicle Revenue Miles
WMATA	Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority
WMSC	Washington Metrorail Safety Commission

Appendix D – Safety-related Training by Group

The Department of Safety (provided or outsourced)

- Permit Required Confined Space
- Powered Industrial Truck
- Respirable Crystalline Silica
- Asbestos Awareness
- Bloodborne Pathogens
- Body Mechanics
- Compressed Gas Safety
- Confined Space Entry
- CPR/AED/First Aid
- Crane, Derrick, and Hoist Safety
- Crawler, Locomotive, and Truck Cranes
- Defensive Driving
- Electrical Safety Work Practices – Awareness
- Electrical Safety Work Practices – Qualified Person
- Emergency Action Plan
- Employee Alarm Systems
- Environmental Compliance Officer, Deputy Compliance Officer
- Fall Protection
- FEMA ICS300
- FEMA ICS400
- Fire Extinguisher
- Fire Prevention Plan (Fire Watch)
- Fixed and Portable Ladders
- Forest Glen Station Evacuation
- Hand and Portable Power Tools
- Hazard Communication
- Hazardous Waste Management
- Hearing Conservation
- Hot Work Permits, Welding and Cutting
- Housekeeping
- Incident and Injury Investigations
- Incident Management Framework Tier 1
- Incident Management Framework Tier 2
- Incident Management Framework Tier 2 Refresher
- Incident Management Framework EOC/Executive Tier
- Initial Emergency Response for Bus Operators
- Lockout/Tagout
- Machine Guarding
- Manlifts/Aerial Lifts
- New Employee Orientation – Safety
- OSHA 30 Hour for General Industry
- OSHA 10 Hour Construction
- OSHA 10 Hour General Industry
- OSHA 30 Hour for Construction
- Personal Protective Equipment
- Pesticide Safety
- Power Presses (Mechanical and Hydraulic)

- Respiratory Protection
- Safety Management Systems – Agency Safety Plan
- Safety Universal Data System – Data Management
- Safety Observations
- Scaffolding
- Slings
- Storage and Handling of Flammable and Combustible Liquids
- Trauma Kit Training

Technical Training and Development - Rail Operations Quality Training

- Interlocking Operations Training
- Line Platform Instructor Training / Refresher
- Rail Operations Supervisor
- Rail Traffic Controller Training
- Station Manager Training
- Train Operations Training

Technical Training and Development - Bus Transportation Training

- Bus and Rail Assault Response
- Bus Maintenance SOP NPB Training
- Bus Operator Back-To-Bus Training
- Bus Operation Essential Supervisor Refresher Training
- Bus Operator Quality Assessment Refresher Training
- Bus Operator Refresher Training
- Bus Training & Safety Instructor Training
- Commercial Driver's License (CDL) Training
- Defensive Driving for Bus Maintenance Mechanics
- Defensive Driving for Non-Revenue
- DriveCam Coaching Training
- Line Platform Instructor Training / Refresher
- Mechanical Commercial Driver's License Training
- New Bus Operator Candidate Training Course (NPB)
- Remedial/Post-Accident Bus Operator Training

Technical Training and Development - Maintenance Training, Bus Fleet

- Bloodborne Pathogens
- Brakes 302 MAN Drum
- Brakes 303 Meritor Disc
- Brakes 304 Meritor Drum
- Compressed Natural Gas CBT
- Driver Safety
- Fall Protection
- Fire Suppression CBT
- Forklift
- Hybrid CBT
- Lockout Tagout
- MAN Disc
- Pneumatics
- Service Lane
- Steering Suspension
- BEB Familiarization
- BEB High Voltage Shutdown (LOTO)

Technical Training and Development - Roadway Worker Protection

- General Track Access and Roadway Safety
- Initial RWP – A
- Initial RWP – B
- Initial Crew Watchperson / Advanced Watchperson
- Recurrent General Track Access and Roadway Safety CBT
- Recurrent RWP – A
- Recurrent RWP – B
- Recurrent Crew Watchperson / Advanced Watchperson

Technical Training and Development - Technical Training, Elevators and Escalators

- Advance Level Controllers
- Advance Level Electrical/Electronic Systems)
- Basic Mechanical Theory & Applications / 111a
- Basic Radio Communications
- Customer Service/101C
- Electrical I – AC Fundamentals / 109
- Electrical I – DC Fundamentals / 108
- Elevator Doors
- Elevator-Inspection & Basic Maintenance/219
- Elevator-Other Systems/220 (Includes NAESA Exam)
- Elevator-Principles of Operations / 213
- Escalator-Principles of Operation/208
- Hydraulic Theory & Applications / 110
- Kone Escalators Model Trans-180
- Mathematics Review/104
- Maxton Control Valve and Adjustments
- Overview of Vertical Transportation/200
- Safety, First Aid, CRP AED 102A&B
- Study Skills /101B

- Tools and Material Handling / 103
- TSMT Orientation/101A

Technical Training and Development - Technical Training, Communications

- CCTV/DVR Fundamentals
- Honeywell Vista - 128BPT
- Passenger Information Display System
- Public Address Systems
- RAMEX PERS/INTERCOM
- Swing Gate Training
- Networking Principles
- Comprehensive Radio Communications 490 MHz

Technical Training and Development - Technical Training, Facilities

- AC Fundamentals
- Basic Mechanical
- Basic Motor Controls
- Cleaning for Health / Green Cleaning
- DC Fundamentals
- Floor Cleaner Operations
- High Voltage Self Awareness
- HVAC Certification
- Introduction to Custodial Training
- Ladder & Scaffolding Initial Training
- Overview Drainage Pumping Stations
- Powered Industrial Trucks
- Small Engine Repair
- Tunnel Fan PMI
- Drainage Pumping Station

Technical Training and Development - Technical Training, AFC/PLE

- Introduction to Automatic Fare Collection
- Introduction to Parking Lot Equipment
- Introduction to SOC
- Dulles Faregates
- STraffic Faregates I
- STraffic Faregates II
- AC / DC Fundamentals
- Vender Machine Refresher

Technical Training and Development - Technical Training, Signaling

- 10-day phase 3 Track circuit logics
- 15-day phase 2 Track circuits
- ATC Informational and Training Session
- ATC Journeyman 10-Day Phase 1 Intro to ATC Automatic Train Control On-the-Job Training
- Baseline Track Circuit and Wayside
- CAB Signal Level ATC Platform and Spillover Measurement
- GM 4000 intro and Switch Adjustments
- M3 switch - Adjust, Maintain, Troubleshoot
- OJT Mentor Training
- Phase 4 Switches - 15 day
- Phase 5 Data Transmission
- Practical test prep

Technical Training and Development - Technical Training, Track and Structures

- Aspen Aerial
- Ballast Regulator Knox Kershaw Operator
- Basic Laborer Training
- Basic Rigging
- Basic Training for Vehicle Flag Person
- Bridge Inspection Refresher Training
- Building Condition Assessment Training
- De-icer Flatcars-RCC and Plasser DI-40
- Emergency Response Team (ERT) Training
- Equipment Operator Recertification Training
- Equipment Operator Refresher
- Flag Person Recertification
- Frog Welding
- Geismar Operator Training
- Hi-Rail Bridge Lift (Scissor) Truck (22501)
- Hi-Rail Bridge Lift (Scissor) Truck 248/260
- Hi-Rail Gear Utility Truck (21587)
- Initial Equipment Operator Training
- Initial Track Inspection Training
- Introduction to Structural Evaluation Technician
- Maryland DOT Traffic Control
- NORDCO Bundle
- Plasser PMC-50
- Plasser Tamper 4x4 Operator
- PM SV01&02
- Safety Inspection of in-Service Bridges 130055
- Scissor Lift Truck
- Silica Power Air Purified Respirator Training
- Snowplow
- String Lining and Combination Gauge & Level
- TGV Operation and Maintenance
- Thermite Welding – Vendor
- Third Rail Measurement Training
- Track Charts
- Track Inspection Recertification Training
- Track Repairer 1

- Tunnel Safety Inspection FHWA-NHI-130110
- Vacuum Truck 21588-589
- Forklift Training
- Manlift Training
- Skid steer Training

Technical Training and Development - Maintenance Training, Rail Fleet

- 7K Back to Back Measurements
- 7K Sub-System Electrical
- 7K Sub-System Mechanical
- 7K System Introduction and Troubleshooting
- 7K Trucks/Couplers
- HVAC EPA 608-609
- HVAC Fundamentals Refresher
- HVAC Refresher Training
- MRO Refresher Training
- Preventive Maintenance Electrical 2/3/6/7/K
- Preventive Maintenance Mechanical 2/3/6/7/K
- QA/OJT
- Rail Car Daily Inspection 2/3/6/7 K
- Blue Signaling (SOP 301.20)
- Safety/CPR/AED
- ATO/ADO
- S&I Refresher Training
- Train Movement In to/Out of CMNT Shops
- Wheel Lathe

Appendix E – JLMSC ASP Approval Record

Voting Results of Metro's 2025 ASP

JLMSC Member	Voting Result
Amalgamated Transit Union Local 689 (ATU L-689)	Approve
Amalgamated Transit Union Local 689 (ATU L-689)	Vote Not Received
Amalgamated Transit Union Local 689 (ATU L-689)	Vote Not Received
International Brotherhood of Teamsters Local 922 (IBT L-922)	Approve
Office of Professional Employees International Union (OPEIU) Local 2	Approve
Fraternal Order of Police (FOP)	Approve
Transportation - Rail	Approve
Transportation - Bus	Approve
Infrastructure	Approve
Infrastructure	Approve
Safety & Readiness	Approve
Metro Transit Police Department	Approve

Appendix F – PTASP General Manager Certification



PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AGENCY SAFETY PLAN CERTIFICATION

I, Randy S. Clarke, General Manager and Chief Executive Officer, have reviewed the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) and certify that the safety plan is satisfactory and complies with each of the requirements of the PTASP rule (49 CFR Part 673) and that the safety plan effectively will guide WMATA with the management of safety risks of the rail and bus operations of the Authority.

Randy Clarke

Digitally signed by Randy
Clarke
Date: 2025.09.30 19:05:01
-04'00'

Date: September 2025

Randy S. Clarke
General Manager and
Chief Executive Officer

**Washington
Metropolitan Area
Transit Authority**

300 7th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20024
202/962-1234

wmata.com

*A District of Columbia,
Maryland and Virginia
Transit Partnership*

Appendix G – WMATA Board Resolution Record

PRESENTED AND ADOPTED: September 25, 2025

SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF THE 2026 PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AGENCY SAFETY PLAN

2025-24

RESOLUTION
OF THE
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF THE
WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, The U.S. Department of Transportation ("DOT") (49 U.S.C. Section 5329(d)) and the Federal Transit Administration ("FTA") (49 C.F.R. Section 673.11(a)(1)) require the Board of Directors to approve WMATA's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan ("PTASP") and any updates to the PTASP; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with FTA regulation 49 C.F.R. Section 673.11(a)(5), WMATA staff has conducted an annual review and update of the PTASP (Attachment A); and

WHEREAS, In accordance with the Washington Metrorail Safety Commission ("WMSC") Program Standard (November 15, 2024), WMSC staff has reviewed and approved the updated PTASP; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with applicable DOT laws (49 U.S.C. Section 5329(d)) and FTA regulation 49 C.F.R. Section 673.11(a)(1), the Joint Labor and Management Safety Committee has reviewed and approved the updated PTASP; and

WHEREAS, The General Manager and Chief Executive Officer has signed the PTASP and certified to the Board of Directors that the PTASP is satisfactory and complies with each of the requirements of the PTASP rule (49 C.F.R. Part 673), and that the PTASP will effectively guide WMATA with the management of safety risks;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Directors approves the 2026 Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan, as shown in Attachment A; and be it finally

Motioned by Mr. Alcorn, seconded by Mr. Smedberg

Ayes: 6- Ms. Santos, Mr. Smedberg, Mr. McAndrew, Dr. Hadden Loh, Mr. Alcorn and Ms. Jarvis

RESOLVED, That this Resolution shall be effective 30 days after adoption in accordance with Compact Section 8(b).

Reviewed as to form and legal sufficiency,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Patricia Y. Lee", is written over a horizontal line.

Patricia Y. Lee
Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer,
and General Counsel

WMATA File Structure No.:
22.6.1 Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Safety Oversight

Appendix H – PTASP SSOA Approval

WMSC R-2025-06
October 21, 2025

THE WASHINGTON METRORAIL SAFETY COMMISSION RESOLUTION APPROVING THE WMATA PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AGENCY SAFETY PLAN

WHEREAS, 49 CFR § 674.29 requires the Washington Metrorail Safety Commission (WMSC), as the State Safety Oversight Agency (SSOA) overseeing the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority's (WMATA) rail operations, to evaluate whether WMATA's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) complies with 49 CFR part 673;

WHEREAS, the WMSC must evaluate whether the PTASP complies with the National Public Transportation Safety Plan, as described in 49 U.S.C. § 5329, and the WMSC's Program Standard, effective October 1, 2025;

WHEREAS, the WMSC confirms that all requirements set forth in 49 CFR § 674.29 have been met; and

WHEREAS, the WMSC confirms that WMATA's PTASP meets the requirements of the WMSC Program Standard.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE WASHINGTON METRORAIL SAFETY COMMISSION:

The WMSC approves WMATA's PTASP, effective December 31, 2025.

Adopted by the Washington Metrorail Safety Commission at its public meeting on October 21, 2025.

Appendix I – ASP Revision History

Section	Description of Change
Version 1.0, Oct 8, 2021	
Entire Document	Initial release
Version 2.0, Dec 31, 2021	
Executive Summary	New section
Entire Document	Improved organization, Incorporated the SMS Strategic Roadmap
Version 3.0, Dec 31, 2022	
Safety Management Policy Letter	Replaced executive summary
1.1 Transit Agency Information	Updated to reflect new headquarters address and new GM
1.3.1 Infectious Disease Mitigation	New sub-section, added to meet the new requirements introduced by the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act
1.4 Safety Performance Targets	Updated to reflect refreshed targets and meet the new requirements introduced by the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act
1.5 Development and Implementation of a Safety Management System	Refreshed to introduce the latest SMS Strategic Roadmap
2.0 Safety Management Policy	Updated to reflect the priorities outlined in the SMS Strategic Roadmap.
2.1 Safety Management Policy Overview	Added language to explain the establishment of the Metro's safety policy as a standalone document.
2.1.1 Safety Management Policy Statement	Updated to reflect new GM & CEO.
2.2 Employee Safety Reporting Program	Updated to reflect Metro's partnership with MITRE to advance Employee Safety Reporting.
2.3 Communication of the Safety Management Policy	Update to reflect the strategy for communicating the safety management policy statement.
2.4.2 SMS Executive	Updated to reflect the latest version of SAFE's planned organizational future state
2.4.3.1.1 Rail Services	Updated to include the recently established Power Operations Center
2.4.2.1.2 Bus Services	Updated to refresh content on bus services.
3.0 Safety Risk Management	Updated to reflect the priorities outlined in the SMS Strategic Roadmap.
3.1 Safety Risk Management Process	Updated to reflect the latest priorities for Safety Risk Management rollout and the role of the JLMSC, which has been established to meet the requirements introduced by the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act.
3.2.1 Occupational Safety and Health Risk Management	New sub-section added.
3.2.2 Operational Safety Risk Management	New sub-section added.
3.2.3 Rodway Worker Protection	New sub-section added.
3.2.4 Safety Certification	New sub-section added.

3.2.5 Security Risk / Threat Analysis	New sub-section added.
4.0 Safety Assurance	Updated to reflect the priorities outlined in the SMS Strategic Roadmap.
4.1 Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement	Update to reflect the progress made to develop the Operations Safety Oversight team.
4.1.3 Safety Investigations	Updated to reflect the progress made to establish an incident management framework, mature mission assurance coordinator and primary responder functions, and expand the investigations team capacity.
4.4.1 Employee Safety Reporting Corrective Action Plan	New sub-section added.
5.0 Safety Promotion	Updated to reflect the priorities outlined in the SMS Strategic Roadmap.
5.1.1 Employee Safety Training	Updated to reflect progress toward developing an SMS Communications, Training, and Engagement strategy.
5.2 Safety Communication	Updated to reflect strategy with MITRE.
Appendices A and C	New appendices.
Version 4.0, Dec 31, 2023	
Safety Management Policy Letter	Updated to reflect new leadership commitment.
1.0 General Requirements	Updated to reflect ASP meets all requirements of FTA's Public Transportation Safety Program and the National Public Transportation Safety Plan.
1.1 Transit Agency Information	
1.2 Plan Development, Approval, and Updates	Updated to include process for management of changes affecting roles and responsibilities described in ASP.
1.3 Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan	Updated to reflect Pandemic Response Plan is consistent with applicable guidelines and regulations.
1.3.1 Infectious Disease Mitigation	
1.4 Safety Performance Targets	
1.4.1 Safety Performance Target Setting Methodology and Timeline	
1.4.2 Safety Performance Targets	Sub-headings removed, streamlined for readability, targets updated.
1.4.3 System Reliability Targets	Targets updated.
1.5 Risk Reduction Program	New section.
1.5.1 Visibility for Bus Operators	New section.
1.5.2 Transit Worker Assaults	New section.
1.6 Development and Implementation of a Safety Management System	
2.0 Safety Management Policy	
2.1 Safety Management Policy Statement	
2.2 Employee Safety Reporting Program	
2.3 Communication of the Safety Management Policy	

2.4 Necessary Authorities, Accountabilities, and Responsibilities	Updated to reflect organizational realignment announced December 2022.
2.4.1 Accountable Executive	
2.4.2 SMS Executive	Updated to reflect organizational realignment announced December 2022.
2.4.3 Agency Leadership and Executive Management	Updated to reflect organizational realignment announced December 2022.
2.4.4 Key Staff	Updated to reflect organizational realignment announced December 2022.
2.4.5 Safety Committees	Updated to reflect the establishment of Department and Facility Safety Committees.
3.0 Safety Risk Management	Updated to reflect safety risk management core priority.
3.1 Safety Risk Management Process	Updated to reflect new Safety Risk Management Process Flow.
3.1.1 Safety Hazard Identification	
3.1.2 Safety Risk Assessment	
3.1.3 Safety Risk Mitigation	
3.2 Ongoing Management of Safety Risk	
3.2.1 Occupational Safety and Health Risk Management	Updated to clarify office of Occupational Safety and Health role and organizational realignment announced December 2022.
3.2.2 Operational Safety Risk Management	
3.2.3 Safety Certification	
3.2.4 Environmental Risk Management	Previously section 5.2.5, re-organized to group with other Safety Risk Management activities
4.0 Safety Assurance	Updated to reflect Safety Informatics & Solutions activities.
4.1 Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement	
4.1.1 Monitoring Operations and Maintenance Procedures	
4.1.2 Monitoring of Operational Safety Risk Mitigations	
4.1.3 Safety Investigations	Updated to reflect new procedural documents, shared investigative process, and SRC role in Just Culture analysis
4.1.4 Information Monitoring	Updated to reflect new procedural documents
4.1.5 Emergency Risk Management	New section.
4.2 Management of Change	
4.3 Continuous Improvement	
4.4 Corrective Action Plans	Updated to reflect root cause analysis and corrective action process.
5.0 Safety Promotion	
5.1 Competencies and Training	Updated to reflect Safety's role in departmental safety training programs.
5.1.1 Employee Safety Training	Updated to reflect current training requirements
5.1.2 Safety Rules and Procedures Training	Updated to reflect organizational realignment announced December 2022; updates to RWP training program
5.1.3 Contractor Safety	Updated to reflect new safety inspections process.

5.1.4 Training Recordkeeping	
5.1.5 Compliance with Training Requirements	
5.1.6 SMS-specific Training Requirements	Updated to reflect training requirements for personnel conducting triennial Internal Safety Reviews.
5.2 Safety Communication	
5.2.1 Direct Staff Communication	New section.
5.2.2 Hazard and Safety Risk Information	Renumbered; updated to note Origami as risk database.
5.2.3 Employee Safety Reporting Program Engagement	Renumbered; updated with new SMS dashboard view.
6.0 Documentation	Previously section 4.5, moved to match structure of 673 as section 29 follows assurance.
Appendix A – SMS Strategic Roadmap	New, replaces duplication of P/I 10.8/0 Safety Management Policy.
Appendix B – Definitions	Updated with new terminology.
Appendix C – Acronyms	Updated with new terminology.
Appendix D – Safety-related Training by Group	Updated to reflect new training offerings.
Appendix E – JLMSC ASP Approval Record	Renumbered.
Appendix F – PTASP General Manager Certification	Renumbered.
Appendix G – WMATA Board Resolution Record	Renumbered.
Appendix H – PTASP SSOA Certification	Renumbered.
Appendix I – ASP Revision History	New Appendix, previously a table within section 1.2, Plan Development Approval and Updates.
Version 5.0, Dec 31, 2024	
Safety Management Policy Letter	
1.0 General Requirements	Updated to reflect ASP meets all requirements of FTA's Public Transportation Safety Program and the National Public Transportation Safety Plan.
1.1 Transit Agency Information	Removed incorrect funding code.
1.2 Plan Development, Approval, and Updates	Updated to include process for management of changes affecting roles and responsibilities described in ASP.
1.3 Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan	Updated to include Emergency Exercises
1.3.1 Infectious Disease Mitigation	
1.4 Safety Performance Targets	Updated to reflect new PTASP requirements
1.4.1 Safety Performance Target Setting Methodology and Timeline	Updated timeline for Safety Performance Target setting
1.4.2 Safety Performance Targets	Updated to reflect new PTASP requirements on performance target and target setting
1.4.3 System Reliability Targets	Targets updated.
1.5 Risk Reduction Program	Updated to reflect new PTASP requirements

1.5.1 Visibility for Bus Operators	Updated to reflect new PTASP requirements
1.5.2 Transit Worker Assaults	Updated to reflect new PTASP requirements
1.6 Development and Implementation of a Safety Management System	
2.0 Safety Management Policy	Updated to reflect new staff in Department of Safety
2.1 Safety Management Policy Statement	Updated to reflect adding of safety-critical items
2.2 Employee Safety Reporting Program	
2.3 Communication of the Safety Management Policy	
2.4 Necessary Authorities, Accountabilities, and Responsibilities	
2.4.1 Accountable Executive	
2.4.2 SMS Executive	Updated department descriptions and Mission Assurance Coordinator (MAC) is now Safety Information Officer (SIO) and
2.4.3 Agency Leadership and Executive Management	Updated department descriptions
2.4.4 Key Staff	Updated to reflect adding of safety-critical items
2.4.5 Safety Committees	Updated to reflect new requirements for Safety Committees from the FTA updated PTASP requirements.
3.0 Safety Risk Management	Updated to reflect revised safety risk assessment process.
3.1 Safety Risk Management Process	Updated to reflect revised safety risk assessment process.
3.1.1 Safety Hazard Identification	Updated to reflect revised safety risk assessment process.
3.1.2 Safety Risk Assessment	Updated to reflect revised safety risk assessment process.
3.1.3 Safety Risk Mitigation	Updated to reflect revised safety risk assessment process.
3.2 Ongoing Management of Safety Risk	Updated to reflect adding of safety-critical items
3.2.1 Occupational Safety and Health Risk Management	Updated to reflect new priorities and changes within the office
3.2.2 Operational Safety Risk Management	Updated to reflect new priorities
3.2.3 Safety Certification	
3.2.4 Environmental Risk Management	
4.0 Safety Assurance	Updated to reflect SSOA requested language on inspections
4.1 Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement	
4.1.1 Monitoring Operations and Maintenance Procedures	
4.1.2 Monitoring of Operational Safety Risk Mitigations	Updated to reflect inclusion of safety-critical items and to reflect SSOA requested language on inspections
4.1.3 Safety Investigations	Update to reflect processes with the Safety Risk Coordinators (SRC)
4.1.4 Information Monitoring	

4.1.5 Emergency Risk Management	
4.2 Management of Change	
4.3 Continuous Improvement	Updated to reflect new PTASP requirements
4.4 Corrective Action Plans	
5.0 Safety Promotion	
5.1 Competencies and Training	
5.1.1 Employee Safety Training	Updated to reflect new PTASP requirements and updated text to reflect use of “Transit Worker”
5.1.2 Safety Rules and Procedures Training	Updated to reflect changes to Roadway Worker Protection Training and Incident Response Training. Removal of “Power Work Platforms” from training list, due to it being the redundant with “Aerial Lifts” training.
5.1.3 Contractor Safety	Updated to removed redundant text.
5.1.4 Training Recordkeeping	
5.1.5 Compliance with Training Requirements	
5.1.6 SMS-specific Training Requirements	
5.2 Safety Communication	Updated to reflect new PTASP requirements and milestones
5.2.1 Direct Staff Communication	
5.2.2 Hazard and Safety Risk Information	
5.2.3 Employee Safety Reporting Program Engagement	
6.0 Documentation	
Appendix A – SMS Strategic Roadmap	Updated to reflect 2025-2026-2027 time periods
Appendix B – Definitions	Updated with new terminology.
Appendix C – Acronyms	Updated with new terminology.
Appendix D – Safety-related Training by Group	Updated to reflect new training offerings.
Appendix E – JLMSC ASP Approval Record	
Appendix F – PTASP General Manager Certification	
Appendix G – WMATA Board Resolution Record	
Appendix H – PTASP SSOA Certification	
Appendix I – ASP Revision History	Updated to reflect latest version
Version 6.0, Dec 31, 2025	
Safety Management Policy Letter	Updated to include new Senior Executives
1.0 General Requirements	Updated to include FTA PTASP best practices
1.1 Transit Agency Information	Updated to include FTA PTASP best practices and new Senior Executive

1.2 Plan Development, Approval, and Updates	
1.3 Safety Performance Targets	Section was re-arranged from Section 1.4 to 1.3 for flow
1.3.1 Safety Performance Target Setting Methodology and Timeline	Section was re-arranged from Section 1.4.1 to 1.3.1 for flow
1.3.2 Safety Performance Targets	Section was re-arranged from Section 1.4.2 to 1.3.2 for flow
1.3.3 System Reliability Targets	Section was re-arranged from Section 1.4.3 to 1.3.3 for flow
1.4 Risk Reduction Program	Section was re-arranged from Section 1.5 to 1.4 for flow
1.4.1 Visibility for Bus Operators	Section was re-arranged from Section 1.5.1 to 1.4.1 for flow
1.4.2 Transit Worker Assaults	Section was re-arranged from Section 1.5.2 to 1.4.2 for flow and updated MICC description
1.5 Employee Safety Reporting Program	Section was re-arranged from Section 2.2 to 1.5 for flow and updated program descriptions
1.5.1 Employee Safety Reporting Program Engagement	Section was re-arranged from Section 5.2.3 to 1.5.1 for flow
1.6 Necessary Authorities, Accountabilities, and Responsibilities	Section was re-arranged from Section 2.4 to 1.6 for flow, updated to reflect new Senior Executives
1.6.1 Accountable Executive	Section was re-arranged from Section 2.4.1 to 1.6.1 for flow
1.6.2 SMS Executive	Section was re-arranged from Section 2.4.2 to 1.6.2 for flow
1.6.3 Agency Leadership and Executive Management	Section was re-arranged from Section 2.4.3 to 1.6.3 for flow, updated to reflect organizational changes
1.6.4 Key Staff	Section was re-arranged from Section 2.4.4 to 1.6.4 for flow
1.6.5 Safety Committees	Section was re-arranged from Section 2.4.5 to 1.6.5 for flow
1.7 Development and Implementation of a Safety Management System	Section was re-arranged from Section 1.6 to 1.7 for flow
2.0 Safety Management Policy	
2.1 Safety Management Policy Statement	
2.2 Communication of the Safety Management Policy	Section was re-arranged from Section 2.3 to 2.2 for flow
3.0 Safety Risk Management	Updated to reflect revised safety risk assessment process.
3.1 Safety Risk Management Process	Updated to reflect revised safety risk assessment process.
3.1.1 Safety Hazard Identification	Updated to reflect revised safety risk assessment process.
3.1.2 Safety Risk Assessment	Updated to reflect revised safety risk assessment process.
3.1.3 Safety Risk Mitigation	Updated to reflect revised safety risk assessment process.
3.2 Ongoing Management of Safety Risk	
3.2.1 Operational Safety Risk Management	Section was re-arranged from Section 3.2.2 to 3.2.1 for flow
3.2.2 Occupational Safety and Health Risk Management	Section was re-arranged from Section 3.2.1 to 3.2.2 for flow
3.2.3 Safety Certification	
3.2.4 Environmental Risk Management	

3.2.5 Hazard and Safety Risk Information	Section was re-arranged from Section 5.2.2 to 3.2.5 for flow
3.3 Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan	Section was re-arranged from Section 1.3 to 3.3 for flow
3.3.1 Infectious Disease Mitigation	Section was re-arranged from Section 1.3.1 to 3.3.1 for flow
3.4 Emergency Risk Management	Section was re-arranged from Section 4.1.5 to 3.4 for flow
3.5 Management of Change	Section was re-arranged from Section 4.2 to 3.5 for flow
3.6 Continuous Improvement	Section was re-arranged from Section 4.3 to 3.6 for flow
3.7 Corrective Action Plans	Section was re-arranged from Section 4.4 to 3.7 for flow
4.0 Safety Assurance	
4.1 Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement	
4.1.1 Monitoring Operations and Maintenance Procedures	
4.1.2 Monitoring of Operational Safety Risk Mitigations	
4.1.3 Safety Investigations	Updated to reflect changed milestone timeline
4.1.4 Information Monitoring	
5.0 Safety Promotion	
5.1 Competencies and Training	
5.1.1 Employee Safety Training	Updated to reflect current trainings offered at agency
5.1.2 Safety Rules and Procedures Training	Updated the sub header “Industrial Hygiene and Occupational Safety and Health Trainings” to “Additional Safety Training”
5.1.3 Contractor Safety	
5.1.4 Training Recordkeeping	
5.1.5 Compliance with Training Requirements	
5.1.6 SMS-specific Training Requirements	
5.2 Safety Communication	
5.2.1 Direct Staff Communication	Updated to reflect new milestone timelines for cultural re-assessment
6.0 Documentation	
Appendix A – SMS Strategic Roadmap	Updated to reflect 2026-2028 timeframe
Appendix B – Definitions	Updated to reflect latest definitions from PTASP and agency terminology
Appendix C – Acronyms	Updated to reflect latest definitions from PTASP and agency terminology
Appendix D – Safety-related Training by Group	Updated to reflect current trainings offered at agency
Appendix E – JLMSC ASP Approval Record	
Appendix F – PTASP General Manager Certification	

Appendix G – WMATA Board Resolution Record	
Appendix H – PTASP SSOA Certification	
Appendix I – ASP Revision History	Updated to reflect changes from 2025 Agency Safety Plan