SmarTrip Regional Partner Comparative Billing Statements Audit Report

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2024 and June 30, 2023



Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority Washington, DC



Table of Contents

| | <u>Page</u> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Independent Auditor's Report | 2 |
| SmarTrip Regional Partner Comparative Billing Statements | 4 |
| Notes to the SmarTrip Regional Partner Comparative Billing Statements | 5 |



RSM US LLP

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of Directors Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority

Opinion

We have audited the SmarTrip Regional Partner Comparative Billing Statements of the Regional Customer Service Center (RCSC), the Regional Software Maintenance Service (RSMS), and the Compact Point of Sale Devices (CPOS) of Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (the Authority) for each of the years ended June 30, 2024 and 2023, and the related notes (the Statements).

In our opinion, the accompanying Statements present fairly, in all material respects, the actual shared expenses of the RCSC, the RSMS, and the CPOS and the budgeted amounts billed for the years ended June 30, 2024 and 2023, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the Authority and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Emphasis of Matter—Basis of Accounting

We draw attention to Note 1 to the Statements, which describes that the accompanying statements were prepared for the purpose of providing information on the allocation of the actual operating expenses incurred and amounts billed for the RCSC, the RSMS and the CPOS for the Alexandria Transit Company, Arlington County, Virginia; Fairfax Connector, Fairfax County, Virginia; City-University Energysaver Bus, City of Fairfax, Virginia; Driving Alexandria Safely Home, Alexandria Transit Company; DC Circulator, District of Columbia; Loudoun County Transit, Loudoun County, Virginia; Maryland Transit Administration; Montgomery County Transit, Montgomery County, Maryland; Prince George's County Transit, Prince George's County, Maryland; and Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission (collectively, the participating jurisdictions) in accordance with the requirements of the Operating Funding Agreement and are not intended to be a complete presentation of the financial position and results of operations of the Authority. As a result, the financial statements may not be suitable for another purpose. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Responsibility of Management for the Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the Statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the historical summaries.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the historical summaries.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures
 that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the
 effectiveness of the Company's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Other-Matter Paragraph—Restriction of Use

This report is intended solely for the information and use of Participating Jurisdictions and the Authority's management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

RSM US LLP

Washington, D.C. January 31, 2025

Comparative Billing Statements

The following tables present budget to actual comparison of the Regional SmarTrip Program's amounts billed, operating expenses and the related amounts due to or from each of the respective Participating Jurisdictions for the fiscal years (FY) ended June 30, 2024 and June 30, 2023.

| FISCAL YEAR 2024 | Budgeted Amount Billed | | | | | | Variance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----|-------------|----|---------------|---------------|---------------|------|-------|------|---------|------|---------|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|------|--|--|--------------------------|
| Participating Jurisdiction | | Per Budget | | Adjustments | | Net Billed | RCSC 1 | RSMS | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | CPOS | | TOTA | | | Over/(Under) Payments |
| ART (Arlington Transit) | \$ | 60,845 | \$ | - | \$ | 60,845 | \$ 11,185 | \$ 30,156 | 5 | - | \$ | 41,341 | \$ | 19,504 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CONN (Fairfax Connector) | | 161,050 | | - | | 161,050 | 27,774 | 90,469 | | - | | 118,243 | | 42,807 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CUE (Fairfax City) | | 30,609 | | - | | 30,609 | 1,257 | 30,156 | | - | | 31,413 | | (804) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DASH (Alexandria) | | 52,705 | | - | | 52,705 | 9,300 | 30,156 | | - | | 39,456 | | 13,249 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DCCR (DC Circulator) | | 120,342 | | - | | 120,342 | 14,578 | 90,469 | | - | | 105,047 | | 15,295 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOUD (Loudoun) | | 41,397 | | _ | | 41,397 | 4,776 | 30,156 | | - | | 34,932 | | 6,465 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MTA | | 105,621 | | - | | 105,621 | 13,196 | 22,367 | | - | | 35,563 | | 70,058 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MCRO (Mont Cty Ride-On) | | 270,738 | | - | | 270,738 | 64,597 | 90,469 | | - | | 155,066 | | 115,672 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PG (The Bus) | | 48,181 | | - | | 48,181 | 7,038 | 30,156 | | - | | 37,194 | | 10,987 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PRTC | | 85,585 | | - | | 85,585 | 8,672 | 60,313 | | 4,585 | | 73,570 | | 12,015 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ | 977,073 | \$ | - | \$ | 977,073 | \$ 162,373 | \$ 504,867 | 5 | 4,585 | \$ | 671,825 | \$ | 305,248 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| FISCAL YEAR 2023 | Amount Billed | | | | | | Variance | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|----|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|---------|----|------------|
| Participating Jurisdiction | | Per Budget | Adjustments | | Net Billed | RCSC | RSMS | CPOS | TOTAL EXPENSES | | | er/(Under) |
| ART (Arlington Transit) | \$ | 60,012 | \$ - | \$ | 60,012 | \$ 21,850 | \$ 28,568 | \$ - | \$ | 50,418 | \$ | 9,594 |
| CONN (Fairfax Connector) | | 158,576 | - | | 158,576 | 54,258 | 85,705 | - | | 139,963 | | 18,613 |
| CUE (Fairfax City) | | 29,816 | - | | 29,816 | 2,455 | 28,568 | - | | 31,023 | | (1,207) |
| DASH (Alexandria) | | 51,880 | - | | 51,880 | 18,168 | 28,568 | - | | 46,736 | | 5,144 |
| DCCR (DC Circulator) | | 117,928 | - | | 117,928 | 28,479 | 85,705 | - | | 114,184 | | 3,744 |
| LOUD (Loudoun) | | 40,588 | - | | 40,588 | 9,329 | 28,568 | - | | 37,897 | | 2,691 |
| MTA | | 104,984 | - | | 104,984 | 25,779 | 16,546 | - | | 42,325 | | 62,659 |
| MCRO (Mont Cty Ride-On) | | 268,104 | - | | 268,104 | 126,192 | 85,705 | - | | 211,897 | | 56,207 |
| PG (The Bus) | | 47,364 | - | | 47,364 | 13,749 | 28,568 | - | | 42,317 | | 5,047 |
| PRTC | | 83,780 | - | | 83,780 | 16,940 | 57,136 | 4,405 | | 78,481 | | 5,299 |
| Total | \$ | 963,032 | \$ - | \$ | 963,032 | \$ 317,199 | \$ 473,637 | \$ 4,405 | \$ | 795,241 | \$ | 167,791 |

The allocation among the regional partners of RCSC shared expenses is calculated using FY2019 ridership data so that SmarTrip expenses are equitably allocated and not affected by the non-collection of fares by some jurisdictions, that would otherwise skew an equitable allocation. The RCSC contract ended on January 1, 2024 and is now managed internally by the Customer Experience & Engagement department.

- The Regional shared actual SmarTrip expenses for FY2024 and FY2023 were \$671,825 and \$795,241, respectively. Total shared budgeted SmarTrip Expenses for FY2024 and FY2023 were \$977,073 and \$963,032, respectively. Total overall actual SmarTrip expenses for FY2024 and FY2023 were \$2,440,183 and \$3,690,493, respectively. Budgeted SmarTrip expenses for FY2024 and FY2023 were \$4,850,001 and \$4,810,716, respectively. WMATA's share of actual SmarTrip Program expenses for FY2024 and FY2023 was \$1,768,357 and \$2,895,252, respectively.
- The Regional shared actual SmarTrip Expense variances were primarily driven by RCSC which decreased by (\$155K) or 48% between FY2024 to FY2023 or (\$359K) or 69% lower than budget in FY2024 due to the contract being managed internally as of January 1, 2024. The RCSC budget accounts for 53% of the total Regional shared budget. RSMS is higher by \$31K or 7% higher in FY2024 compared to FY2023 in actual expenses or \$57K or 11% higher to budget in FY2024 due to an annual contract increase for AT&T services. CPOS is \$180 or 4% higher in actual expenses from FY2024 to FY2023 due to a slight contract increase or (\$2K) or 56% lower to budget in FY2024.

Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority Notes to the SmarTrip Regional Partner Comparative Billing Statements For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2024 and 2023

Nature of Activity

On December 11, 2018, the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (Authority) renewed an Operations Funding Agreement (OFA) for a five-year term with the following participating regional transit agencies (collectively referred to as "Participating Jurisdictions"):

- Arlington Transit (ART), Arlington County, VA
- Fairfax Connector (CONN), Fairfax County, VA
- City-University Energysaver (CUE) Bus, City of Fairfax, VA
- Driving Alexandria Safely Home (DASH), Alexandria Transit Company
- DC Circulator, District of Columbia (DCCR)
- Loudoun County Transit (LOUD), Loudoun County, VA
- Maryland Transit Administration (MTA)
- Montgomery County Transit (Ride On), (MCRO) Montgomery County, MD
- Prince George's County Transit (PG The Bus), Prince George's County, MD
- Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission (PRTC)

The purpose of the OFA is to provide a seamless regional system for transit fare collections for both the service areas of the Authority and the MTA using smart card technology. The Authority and the Participating Jurisdictions have agreed to fund their share of the operating expenses of the SmarTrip system. The agreement defines the regionally shared operating expenses, allocation methods, and funding requirements.

Each year, the Authority is required to prepare SmarTrip Regional Partner Comparative Billing Statements to provide an allocation of the actual operating expenses incurred for the Regional SmarTrip Program by each of the Participating Jurisdictions for the current and prior fiscal years ended June 30. The actual operating expenses are audited at the end of each fiscal year.

Annual Budget Development

Each year, the Authority estimates expenses of operating the Regional SmarTrip Program and prepares an annual budget, which is reviewed and approved by the Participating Jurisdictions. The annual budget covers the following Regional SmarTrip Program service areas:

- Regional Customer Service Center (RCSC): Estimated expenses related to providing regional SmarTrip
 customer service and support. Activities include handling customer inquiries; processing smartcard
 replacements, refund requests, and account registrations; and fulfilling smartcard orders. Customer requests
 are received by phone, email and mail. RCSC contract ended on January 1, 2024 and is now managed internally
 by the Customer Experience & Engagement department.
- Regional Software Maintenance Service (RSMS): Estimated expenses related to the operation, enhancement, and maintenance of the fare collection software and computer networks of the SmarTrip system. On October 1, 2019, a new contract was awarded that bills expenses based on time and services replacing estimated expense. The retired name of this contract is the Regional Software Maintenance Agreement (RSMA).
- Compact Point of Sale Devices (CPOS): Estimated expenses related to the operation of the SmarTrip retail
 point of sale network. This network consists of third-party retail merchants, such as CVS and Giant, and
 regional commuter stores that sell smartcards, add stored value, and pass products to existing smartcards.

Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority Notes to the SmarTrip Regional Partner Comparative Billing Statements For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2024 and 2023

Regional Expense Allocation

The Authority bills each Participating Jurisdiction in advance of each quarter based on their share of the annual regional SmarTrip approved budget. As expenses related to the regional operation of the SmarTrip Program are incurred, the Authority tracks and reconciles these expenses to the approved budget. Actual operating expenses incurred by the Authority are allocated to the Participating Jurisdictions for each expense category based on the following methods:

- RCSC expenses are allocated to the Participating Jurisdictions based on the total amount of SmarTrip usage
 in each quarter. Specifically, this percentage is calculated by dividing an agency's quarterly usage by the total
 regional usage. The RCSC expenses are then multiplied by this percentage to determine the Participating
 Jurisdiction's share of the quarterly expenses. Because ridership data was not collected by some regional bus
 services in FY2023 and FY2022, FY2019 ridership data was used to allocate costs. The RCSC contract ended on
 January 1, 2024 and is now managed internally by the Customer Experience & Engagement department.
- RSMS expenses are allocated to the Participating Jurisdictions based on the number of garages each agency
 operates. An agency's number of garages is divided by the total number of regional garages to calculate the
 allocation percentage. The quarterly RSMS expenses are then multiplied by this percentage to determine the
 Participating Jurisdiction's share of the quarterly expenses.
- CPOS expenses are allocated based on the number of devices operated by each Participating Jurisdiction. An agency's total number of devices is divided by the total number of regional devices to calculate the allocation percentage; the quarterly CPOS expenses are then multiplied by this percentage to determine the Participating Jurisdiction's share of the quarterly expenses.

Actual operating expenses are tracked monthly and a year-end true up is performed to identify variances between the amounts collected from the Participating Jurisdictions and actual operating expenses incurred. After the annual reconciliation is completed, overpayments or underpayments between the amounts billed and actual operating expenses are required to be remitted to or collected from the Participating Jurisdictions. The Authority adjusts for overpayments and underpayments from each Participating Jurisdiction as part of a quarterly billing in the subsequent fiscal year.

Basis of Accounting

The Authority prepared the SmarTrip Regional Partner Comparative Billing Statements for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2024 and 2023 using the accrual basis of accounting as established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, as appropriate for business-type activities. The Authority recognizes revenues when earned and expenses when incurred.