The Need for Suburb-to-Suburb Transit in the DC Region

DW Rowlands, University of Maryland, Baltimore County 13 February 2010


The DC Suburbs Need Better Transit Connections

- Metrorail and much of Metrobus are designed to serve trips into the core.
- The suburbanization of poverty means many suburbanites can't afford to drive everywhere.
- Gentrification of the core means people who want or need a car-free lifestyle are having to live in the suburbs.
- We can't eliminate congestion without reducing suburbsuburb driving trips.


Retail and Medical Destinations Matter

- We often focus on trips to work, because they're easy to measure.
- "Care trips"—trips to do household chores and medical issues—matter!
 - These trip are most often made by women—an equity issue.
 - The person making these trips is less likely to have access to a car than commuters.
- "Care trips" have different characteristics than commute trips:
 - Often involve "trip chaining"—makes frequency more important.
 - Different destinations, often retail, medical, educational.
 - Less likely to match a traditional 9-5 commute.
 - Unlikely to make the same trip regularly; flexibility is more important.
 - These traits are often shared with commutes to low-income jobs.

Density and Land Use in the DC Area



Job Locations in the DC Area



Better Serving non-Work Trips

- Connections to Metrorail are important, but we also need to work on connecting activity centers to each other:
 - Think of the system as a grid: circumferential connections as well as radial.
- We need to make transfers easier:
 - Higher frequency: 15 minutes headways should be thought of as a minimum, not an ideal.
 - Improve bus stop infrastructure at transfer locations: lighting, shelters, countdown clocks.
- We need to take weekend and evening ridership seriously:
 - Service needs to run until around midnight, even in the suburbs.
 - Frequency is important even at off-peak times; especially since people tend to feel less safe waiting long times for a bus after dark.
- Flexibility for riders means an understandable, consistent system:
 - Riders need routes that don't change their service patterns depending on time of day.
 - More service but fewer service patterns to make the network understandable.

- This is a major circumferential (suburb-to-suburb) arterial in a major North American city.
- What do you think the bus frequency is at rush hour?
- At mid-day?
- At 11pm?



- This is Finch Avenue in Toronto.
- It's a circumferential arterial that crosses the end of the Yonge Street subway line.
- There is bus service every 5-10 minutes all the way until midnight.
- We need to provide this kind of service if we want the kind of mode share Canadian cities regularly get.



- The Toronto metro area has 6 million people.
- The DC metro area also has 6 million people.
- Toronto's regional transit mode share for commuters is nearly twice ours.
- In the short term, the fastest way to increase suburban mode share is to run buses frequently and all day.



- Canadian cities are good models:
 - Similar built environment to the US.
 - Much higher transit ridership.
- Seattle has been improving service, and has seen a ridership spike:
 - Bus lanes and priority at signals.
 - Many frequent routes, and maps that make frequency and hours of service clear and explicit.
 - Suburban ridership has grown even faster than in-city ridership.



What We Need

- Transit in the suburbs can't be treated as "transport of last resort."
 This means people need to be able to get to their destinations
 - Quickly.
 - When they need to be there.
 - Without worrying about whether they'll be able to get back.
- Bus lanes are important on suburban routes; collaborate with DoTs.
- Frequency matters, especially when passengers have to transfer.
 - Studies show 10 minute headways are the cut-off for "frequent" convenience.
 - When that can't be achieved, still need to minimize wait times.
- Need to have weekend and evening service, especially for care trips.

Sources

Currie, Graham, and Chris Loader. 2009. "High Ridership Growth from Extended Transit Service Hours: An Exploration of the Causes." *Transportation Research Record* 2110 (1): 120–127.

English, Jonathan. 2019. "Opinion: Toronto's Secret Success: Suburban Buses." *Globe and Mail*, October 25, 2019.

Galicia, Claudia, Elba Higueros, and Meghna Khanna. 2019. "Understanding How Women Travel." Los Angeles, CA: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority.

Ingvardson, Jesper Bláfoss, Otto Anker Nielsen, Sebastián Raveau, and Bo Friis Nielsen. 2018. "Passenger Arrival and Waiting Time Distributions Dependent on Train Service Frequency and Station Characteristics: A Smart Card Data Analysis." *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 90 (May): 292–306.

Lyons, Torrey, Reid Ewing, and Guang Tian. 2017. "Coverage vs Frequency: Is Spatial Coverage or Temporal Frequency More Impactful on Transit Ridership." UT-17.19. Salt Lake City, UT: Utah Department of Transportation, Research Division.